



TEST REPORT FROM RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Test Report Serial No:
RFI/SARE2/RP72900JD03A

Supersedes Test Report Serial No:
RFI/SARE1/RP72900JD03A

This Test Report Is Issued Under The Authority Of Steve Flooks, Service Leader Radio Performance Group: 	
Checked By: Brian Watson 	Report Copy No: PDF01
Issue Date: 14 February 2008	Test Dates: 21 January to 22 January 2008

This report is issued in Adobe Acrobat portable document format (PDF). It is only a valid copy of the report if it is being viewed in PDF format with the following security options not allowed: Changing the document, Selecting text and graphics, Adding or changing notes and form fields.

This report may be copied in full. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.

**Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56**

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Table of Contents

1. Customer Information	4
2. Equipment Under Test (EUT)	5
3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures	9
4. Deviations from the Test Specification	9
5. Operation and Configuration of the EUT during Testing	10
6. Summary of Test Results	12
7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results	13
Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used	26
Appendix 2. Measurement Methods	29
Appendix 3. SAR Distribution Scans	31
Appendix 4. Photographs	60
Appendix 5. Validation of System	79
Appendix 6. Simulated Tissues	81
Appendix 7. DASY4 System Details	82

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Address:	5 Hazoref St. Holon 58856 ISRAEL
Contact Name:	Mr. I David

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

2. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

The following information (with the exception of the date of receipt) has been supplied by the customer:

2.1. Description of EUT

The equipment under test is a SKeeper™ compact wearable mobile communication and safety device intended to make life safer and easier for elderly people, chronically ill patients, children and lone workers.

Using its embedded Siemens MC56 wireless module and built-in speakerphone, SKeeper™ users can place cellular voice calls to pre-defined numbers (e.g. a relative or a health professional) or receive calls from anyone.

2.2. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Description:	Wearable Cellular Phone
Brand Name:	SKeeper™
Model Name or Number:	S-56
Serial Number:	C045 (temporary Serial Number)
IMEI Number:	352023-00-306649
Hardware Version Number:	2.1
Software Version Number:	1
Hardware Revision of GSM Module:	MC56 HW Release 4
Software Revision of GSM Module:	MC56 SW Release 04.00
FCC ID Number:	VZU-SKEEPERS-56
Country of Manufacture:	Israel
Date of Receipt:	09 January 2008

2.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

During the course of testing the EUT was not modified.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

2.4. Accessories

The following accessories were supplied with the EUT during testing:

Description:	Neck Strap
Brand Name:	Aerotel Medical Systems Ltd
Model Name or Number:	Not Applicable
Serial Number:	Not Applicable
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	None Stated
Connected to Port	Not Applicable

Description:	Wrist Strap
Brand Name:	Aerotel Medical Systems Ltd.
Model Name or Number:	Not Applicable
Serial Number:	Not Applicable
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	None Stated
Connected to Port	Not Applicable

Description:	Battery Charger
Brand Name:	Shenzhen ENG Electronics Co., LTD.
Model Name or Number:	3A-041WU05
Serial Number:	Not Applicable
Cable Length and Type:	1.53 meter
Country of Manufacture:	China
Connected to Port	Charger port Unique to Manufacturer

Description:	Battery
Brand Name:	GP
Model Name or Number:	NTA2365
Serial Number:	Not Applicable
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	None Stated
Connected to Port	Battery 3 Pins

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

2.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Communication Test Set
Brand Name:	Will'tek
Model Name or Number:	4202S
Serial Number:	513018
Cable Length and Type:	1.5m Rosenberger cable
Connected to Port:	RF Input/Output Port

Description:	Radio Communication Analyser
Brand Name:	Anritsu
Model Name or Number:	MT8820A
Serial Number:	6K0000047
Cable Length and Type:	1.5m Rosenberger
Connected to Port:	RF Input/Output Port

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

2.6. Additional Information Related to Testing

Equipment Category	GSM850 and PCS1900		
Type of Unit	Portable (Standalone battery powered device)		
Intended Operating Environment:	Within GSM Coverage		
Transmitter Maximum Output Power Characteristics:	GSM850	33dBm	
	PCS1900	30dBm	
Transmitter Frequency Range:	GSM850	(824 to 849) MHz	
	PCS1900	(1850 to 1910) MHz	
Transmitter Frequency Allocation of EUT When Under Test:	Channel Number	Channel Description	Frequency (MHz)
	128	Low	824.2
	189	Middle	836.4
	251	High	848.8
	512	Low	1850.2
	660	Middle	1879.8
	81	High	1909.8
Modulation(s):	217 Hz GMSK		
Modulation Scheme (Crest Factor):	8.3		
Antenna Type:	MiniQuad 850/900/1800/1900 MHz internal antenna		
Antenna Length:	Unknown		
Number of Antenna Positions:	1 Fixed		
Power Supply Requirement:	3.7v DC / 600mAh		
Battery Type(s):	Lithium-Ion		

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures

3.1. Test Specification

Reference:	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)
Title:	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields.
Purpose of Test:	To determine whether the equipment met the basic restrictions as defined in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01) using the SAR averaging method as described in the test specification above.

3.2. Methods and Procedures Reference Documentation

The methods and procedures used were as detailed in:

Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating compliance with FCC Guidelines for human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields", OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C, FCC, Washington, D.C, 20554, 2001.

Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger and Neils Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transaction on microwave theory and techniques, Vol. 44, pp. 105-113, January 1996.

Neils Kuster, Ralph Kastle and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision", IEICE Transactions of communications, Vol. E80-B, No.5, pp. 645-652, May 1997.

3.3. Definition of Measurement Equipment

The measurement equipment used complied with the requirements of the standards referenced in the methods & procedures section above. Appendix 1 contains a list of the test equipment used.

4. Deviations from the Test Specification

At the clients request the SAR measurements were performed to cover the neck, wrist, partial body and head.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

5. Operation and Configuration of the EUT during Testing

5.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s) unless otherwise stated:

- PCS1900 call allocated mode.
- GSM850 call allocated mode.

The reason for choosing this configuration was that it has been defined by the customer as being typical of normal use and likely to be worst case.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

5.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s) unless otherwise stated:

- Standalone Mobile Station with Neck Strap in body and head configuration.
- Standalone Mobile Station with Wrist Strap in body and head configuration.

Head Configuration

- a) The device was placed in a normal operating position with the centre of the ear-piece aligned with the ear canal on the phantom.
- b) With the ear-piece touching the phantom the centre line of the device was aligned with an imaginary plane (X and Y axis) consisting of three lines connecting both ears and the mouth.
- c) For the cheek position the device was gradually moved towards the cheek until any point of the mouth-piece or keypad touched the cheek.
- d) For the tilted position the EUT was positioned as for the cheek position, and then the horizontal angle was increased by fifteen degrees (the phone keypad was moved away from the cheek by fifteen degrees).
- e) SAR measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimise the drift.
- f) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
- g) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (hot spot) was determined relative to the device and its antenna.
- h) The EUT was transmitting at full power throughout the duration of the test powered by a fully charged battery.

Body Configuration

- a) The EUT was placed in a normal operating position where the centre of EUT was aligned with the centre reference point on the flat section of the 'SAM' phantom.
 - b) With the EUT touching the phantom at an imaginary centre line. The EUT was aligned with a marked plane (X and Y axis) consisting of two lines.
 - c) For the touch-safe position the device was gradually moved towards the flat section of the 'SAM' phantom until any point of the EUT touched the phantom.
 - d) For position(s) greater than 0mm separation the EUT was positioned as per the touch-safe position, and then the vertical height was decreased/adjusted as required.
 - e) SAR measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimise the drift.
 - f) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
 - g) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (hot spot) was determined relative to the device and its antenna.
 - h) The EUT was transmitting at full power throughout the duration of the test powered by a fully charged battery.
-

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

6. Summary of Test Results

Test Name	Specification Reference	Compliance Status
Specific Absorption Rate-GSM850 Head Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied
Specific Absorption Rate-GSM850 Body Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied
Specific Absorption Rate-GSM850 Ankle and Wrist Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied
Specific Absorption Rate-PCS1900 Head Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied
Specific Absorption Rate-PCS1900 Body Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied
Specific Absorption Rate-PCS1900 Ankle and Wrist Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied

6.1. Location of Tests

All the measurements described in this report were performed at the premises of
RFI Global Services Ltd, Ewhurst Park, Ramsdell, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG26 5RQ.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

7.1. General Comments

This section contains test results only.

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to section 8 for details of measurement uncertainties.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2. Test Results

7.2.1. Specific Absorption Rate - GSM850 Head Configuration 1g

Test Summary:

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	1.540

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	23.0 to 22.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	22.0 to 22.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Touch	Left	189	1.320	1.600	0.280	1	Complied
Touch	Left	251	1.090	1.600	0.510	1	Complied
Touch	Left	128	1.540	1.600	0.060	1	Complied
Touch	Left	189	1.260	1.600	0.340	2	Complied
Touch	Left	128	1.500	1.600	0.100	2	Complied
Touch	Left	251	0.937	1.600	0.663	2	Complied
Touch	Right	189	1.080	1.600	0.520	1	Complied
Touch	Right	128	1.400	1.600	0.200	1	Complied
Touch	Right	251	0.903	1.600	0.697	1	Complied
Touch	Right	189	1.220	1.600	0.380	2	Complied
Touch	Right	128	1.500	1.600	0.100	2	Complied
Touch	Right	251	0.987	1.600	0.613	2	Complied

Note(s):

1. With Neck Strap Attached
2. Wrist Strap Attached

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.2. Specific Absorption Rate - GSM850 Body Configuration 1g

Test Summary:

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.707

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	22.0 to 22.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	22.0 to 22.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Front of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	189	0.707	1.600	0.893	1, 2	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	189	0.099	1.600	1.501	1, 2	Complied

Note(s):

1. With Neck Strap Attached
2. SAR measurements were performed with the EUT at a separation distance of 0mm from the SAM phantom flat section.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.3. Specific Absorption Rate - GSM850 Ankle and Wrist Configuration 1g**Test Summary:**

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.684

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	22.0 to 22.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	22.0 to 22.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Front of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	189	0.684	1.600	0.916	1, 2	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	189	0.103	1.600	1.497	1, 2	Complied

Note(s):

1. Wrist Strap Attached
2. SAR measurements were performed with the EUT at a separation distance of 0mm from the SAM phantom flat section.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.4. Specific Absorption Rate - PCS1900 Head Configuration 1g**Test Summary:**

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.667

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	23.0 to 22.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	22.0 to 22.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Touch	Left	660	0.560	1.600	1.040	1	Complied
Touch	Left	660	0.542	1.600	1.058	2	Complied
Touch	Right	660	0.667	1.600	0.933	1	Complied
Touch	Right	660	0.573	1.600	1.027	2	Complied

Note(s):

1. *With Neck Strap Attached*
2. *Wrist Strap Attached*

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.5. Specific Absorption Rate - PCS1900 Body Configuration 1g

Test Summary:

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.574

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	22.0 to 22.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	22.0 to 21.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Front of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	660	0.574	1.600	1.026	1, 2	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	660	0.042	1.600	1.558	1, 2	Complied

Note(s):

1. With Neck Strap Attached
2. SAR measurements were performed with the EUT at a separation distance of 0mm from the SAM phantom flat section.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.6. Specific Absorption Rate - PCS1900 Ankle and Wrist Configuration 1g

Test Summary:

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.522

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	22.0 to 22.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	22.0 to 21.0

Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Level (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Margin (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Front of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	660	0.522	1.600	1.078	1, 2	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (SAM)	660	0.042	1.600	1.558	1, 2	Complied

Note(s):

1. Wrist Strap Attached
2. SAR measurements were performed with the EUT at a separation distance of 0mm from the SAM phantom flat section.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.7. EIRP/ERP Measurement

Channel Number	Frequency (MHZ)	TX Power before Test (dBm)	Note
128	824.2	17	ERP
189	836.4	17.5	ERP
251	848.8	17.5	ERP
512	1850.2	21	EIRP
660	1879.8	21.7	EIRP
810	1909.8	21.5	EIRP

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

7.2.8. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently, the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor, such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document “approximately” is interpreted as meaning “effectively” or “for most practical purposes”.

Test Name	Confidence Level	Calculated Uncertainty
Specific Absorption Rate – GSM850 Head Configuration 1g	95%	±18.02
Specific Absorption Rate - GSM850 Body Configuration 1g	95%	±18.03
Specific Absorption Rate - PCS1900 Head Configuration 1g	95%	±18.44
Specific Absorption Rate - PCS1900 Body Configuration 1g	95%	±18.30

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty, the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)**7.3. Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at GSM850 Head 1g, GSM Modulation Scheme calculated in accordance with IEC 62209-1 & IEEE 1528**

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C _i (10g)	Standard Uncertainty		u _i or u _{eff}
							+ u (%)	- u (%)	
B	Probe calibration	11.000	11.000	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	5.500	5.500	∞
B	Axial Isotropy	0.500	0.500	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.250	0.250	∞
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	2.600	2.600	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	1.300	1.300	∞
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞
B	Linearity	0.600	0.600	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.346	0.346	∞
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞
B	Readout Electronics	0.560	0.560	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.280	0.280	∞
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	Integration Time	1.730	1.730	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.999	0.999	∞
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞
A	Test Sample Positioning	0.584	0.584	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.584	0.584	10
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6400	1.848	1.848	∞
A	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	3.410	3.410	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6400	2.182	2.182	5
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6000	1.732	1.732	∞
A	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	4.140	4.140	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6000	2.484	2.484	5
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			9.19	9.19	>500
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			18.02	18.02	>500

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)**7.4. Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at GSM850 Body 1g. GSM Modulation Scheme calculated in accordance with IEC 62209-1 & IEEE 1528**

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C _i (10g)	Standard Uncertainty		v _i or v _{eff}
							+ u (%)	- u (%)	
B	Probe calibration	11.000	11.000	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	5.500	5.500	∞
B	Axial Isotropy	0.500	0.500	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.250	0.250	∞
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	2.600	2.600	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	1.300	1.300	∞
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞
B	Linearity	0.600	0.600	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.346	0.346	∞
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞
B	Readout Electronics	0.560	0.560	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.280	0.280	∞
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	Integration Time	1.730	1.730	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.999	0.999	∞
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞
A	Test Sample Positioning	0.584	0.584	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.584	0.584	10
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6400	1.848	1.848	∞
A	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	3.600	3.600	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6400	2.304	2.304	5
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6000	1.732	1.732	∞
A	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	4.000	4.000	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6000	2.400	2.400	5
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			9.20	9.20	>500
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			18.03	18.03	>500

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)**7.5. Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at PCS1900 Head 1g, EGSM Modulation Scheme
calculated in accordance with IEC 62209-1 & IEEE 1528**

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C _i (10g)	Standard Uncertainty		v _i or v _{eff}
							+ u (%)	- u (%)	
B	Probe calibration	11.000	11.000	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	5.500	5.500	∞
B	Axial Isotropy	0.500	0.500	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.250	0.250	∞
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	2.600	2.600	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	1.300	1.300	∞
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞
B	Linearity	0.600	0.600	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.346	0.346	∞
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞
B	Readout Electronics	0.560	0.560	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.280	0.280	∞
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	Integration Time	1.730	1.730	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.999	0.999	∞
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞
A	Test Sample Positioning	0.584	0.584	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.584	0.584	10
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6400	1.848	1.848	∞
A	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	4.370	4.370	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6400	2.797	2.797	5
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6000	1.732	1.732	∞
A	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	4.450	4.450	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6000	2.670	2.670	5
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			9.41	9.41	>300
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			18.44	18.44	>300

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)**7.6. Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at PCS1900 Body 1g, EGSM Modulation Scheme calculated in accordance with IEC 62209-1 & IEEE 1528**

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C _i (10g)	Standard Uncertainty		u _i or u _{eff}
							+ u (%)	- u (%)	
B	Probe calibration	11.000	11.000	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	5.500	5.500	∞
B	Axial Isotropy	0.500	0.500	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.250	0.250	∞
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	2.600	2.600	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	1.300	1.300	∞
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞
B	Linearity	0.600	0.600	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.346	0.346	∞
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞
B	Readout Electronics	0.560	0.560	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.280	0.280	∞
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	Integration Time	1.730	1.730	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.999	0.999	∞
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞
A	Test Sample Positioning	0.584	0.584	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.584	0.584	10
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6400	1.848	1.848	∞
A	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	4.170	4.170	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6400	2.669	2.669	5
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6000	1.732	1.732	∞
A	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	4.230	4.230	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6000	2.538	2.538	5
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			9.34	9.34	>400
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			18.30	18.30	>400

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Last Calibrated	Cal. Interval (Months)
A034	Narda 20W Termination	Narda	374BNM	8706	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1094	Digital Camera	Sony	MVC - FD81	125805	-	-
A1097	SMA Directional Coupler	MiDISCO	MDC6223-30	None	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1137	3dB Attenuator	Narda	779	04690	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1174	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent Technologies	85070C	Us99360072	Calibrated before use	-
A1328	Handset Positioner	Schmid & Partners	Modification	SD 000 H01 DA	-	-
A1182	Handset Positioner	Schmid & Partners	V3.0	None	-	-
A1184	Data Acquisition Electronics	Schmid & Partner	DAE3	394	24 May 2007	12
A1185	Probe	Schmid & Partner	ET3 DV6	1528	06 July 2007	12
A1238	SAM Phantom	Schmid & Partners	SAM b	001	Calibrated before use	-
A1566	SAM Phantom	Schmid & Partners	SAM a	002	Calibrated before use	-
A1237	1900 MHz Dipole Kit	Schmid & Partner	D1900V2	540	11 June 2007	24
A1329	900 MHz Dipole Kit	Schmid & Partner	D900V2	185	18 May 2007	24
A1497	Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	zhl-42w (sma)	e020105	Calibrated as part of system	-
A215	20 dB Attenuator	Narda	766-20	9402	Calibrated as part of system	-
A512	Double ridged Horn	EMCO	3115	3993	17 Sept 2004 (Monitoring use only)	-

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.
Skepper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Test Equipment Used (Continued)

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Last Calibrated	Cal. Interval (Months)
C1144	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147AF00 1503030	41842-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
C1145	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147AF00 3003030	41843-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
C1146	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147AF03 0003030	41752-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
G051	Signal Generator	Gigatronics	7100/.01-20	749472	Calibrated before use	-
G0528	Robot Power Supply	Schmid & Partner	DASY	None	Calibrated before use	-
G087	PSU	Thurlby Thandar	CPX200	100701	Calibrated before use	-
M010	NRV Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV	882 317/065	06 July 2007	12
M1015	Network Analyser	Agilent Technologies	8753ES	US39172406	24 September 2007	12
M1047	Robot Arm	Staubli	RX908 L	F00/SD89A1/A/01	Calibrated before use	-
M1069	Diode Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z2	838824/010	19 April 2007	12
M1129	Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	URY-Z2	890242/16	12 June 2007	12
M136	Temperature/Humidity /Pressure Meter	RS Components	None	None	Internal Calibration	-
M509	Thermometer	Testo	110	40378800433	20 April 2007	12
M1140	Radio Communication Analyser	Anritsu	MT8820A	6K0000047	16 March 2006 (use to setup call)	-
M1270	Temperature/Humidity /Pressure Meter	RS Components	None	None	Internal Calibration	-
M1093	Communications Test Set	Will tek	4202S	0513018	-	-
S256	SAR Lab	RFI	Site 56	N/A	Calibrated before use	-

NB In accordance with UKAS requirements, all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule.

Test of: Aerotel Medical Systems (1998) Ltd.

Skeeper S-56

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

A.1.1. Calibration Certificates

This section contains the calibration certificates and data for the Probe(s) and Dipole(s) used, which are not included in the total number of pages for this report.



A1185
 12/07/07 NM

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client

RFI

Certificate No: **ET3-1528_Jul07**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object

ET3DV6 - SN:1528

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v6

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

July 6, 2007

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00670)	Mar-08
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00670)	Mar-08
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00670)	Mar-08
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00592)	Aug-07
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00671)	Mar-08
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00593)	Aug-07
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	4-Jan-07 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan07)	Jan-08
DAE4	SN: 654	20-Apr-07 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Apr07)	Apr-08
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-06)	In house check: Oct-07

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niclas Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: July 6, 2007

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}:** Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}:** DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:** Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy):** in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset:** The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1528

Manufactured:	March 21, 2000
Last calibrated:	July 12, 2006
Recalibrated:	July 6, 2007

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1528**Sensitivity in Free Space^A****Diode Compression^B**

NormX	1.52 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	96 mV
NormY	1.83 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	94 mV
NormZ	1.57 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	96 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect**TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.9	4.7
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.2

TSL 1750 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.1	5.9
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.3	0.6


Sensor OffsetProbe Tip to Sensor Center **2.7 mm**

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.




^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$ 



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800$ MHz)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
835	± 50 / ± 99	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.32	2.62	6.39 ± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.37	2.41	6.30 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	0.51	2.72	5.12 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.58	2.53	4.98 ± 11.0% (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.31	2.80	6.19 ± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.32	2.89	5.90 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	0.67	2.32	4.78 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.75	2.22	4.57 ± 11.0% (k=2)

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ , ϑ), $f = 900$ MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)