

From: "Ron Pulvermacher" <ronp@matrixpd.com>  
To: "David" <david.z@rflab.com>  
Subject: FW: revise operational description-further clarification  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2008 12:29:48 -0600  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0  
Thread-Index:  
AchcbQIIW0nWimj9RhGhSke8Em1h7ABaO+rQAAEaMpAAAICQIAAD5OHAADHo  
1GACJAYMAAA6tD7gACgP4CAAA4v0MAD/wM/g

X-Nonsпам: None  
X-Antivirus: avast! (VPS 080118-0, 01/18/2008), Inbound message  
X-Antivirus-Status: Clean

Joe,  
That is correct.

From: Joe Dichoso [mailto:Joe.Dichoso@fcc.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 8:46 AM  
To: Ron Pulvermacher; David  
Cc: John Reed; Andrew Leimer  
Subject: RE: revise operational description-further clarification

Hello Ron,  
Sounds good to me. I take it that the device no longer will send an integrity signal.  
-Joe

-----  
From: Ron Pulvermacher [mailto:ronp@matrixpd.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2008 2:43 PM  
To: Joe Dichoso; 'David'  
Cc: John Reed; Andrew Leimer  
Subject: RE: revise operational description-further clarification

Joe,  
We will change our software so that the device only transmits during an alarm mode where the temperature exceeds a set point and will conform to 15.231 a-d. This will satisfy our initial customer.  
Because we need a international frequency and a low frequency that transmits through liquids, we cannot use 915MHz.  
For other customers we will begin a new FCC certification under 15.231e that limits the power.  
Does this make sense?

Regards,

Ron Pulvermacher  
Matrix Product Development, Inc.  
608-834-1661  
[www.matrixpd.com](http://www.matrixpd.com)