

Analysis Report

The Equipment Under Test (EUT), is a 2.4GHz BLE Transceiver for a Bluetooth Deadpool head. The sample supplied operated on 40 channels, normally at 2402 - 2480MHz. The channels are separated with 2MHz spacing.

The EUT is powered by 4 x 1.5V C batteries. After switching on EUT, it can be paired up with a smartphone and will be used to perform different functions through a mobile app.

Antenna Type: Internal integral antenna

Antenna Gain: 0dBi

Nominal rated field strength: 87dBμV/m at 3m (Average)

Maximum allowed field strength of production tolerance: +/- 3dB

According to the KDB 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01

Based on the Maximum allowed average field strength of production tolerance was 90dBμV/m at 3m.

Thus, it below calculated field strength according to minimum SAR exclusion threshold level as follows:

For mobile devices that are not exempt per Table B.1 [Table 1 of § 1.1307(b)(1)(i)(C)] at distances from 20 cm to 40 cm and in 0.3 GHz to 6 GHz, evaluation of compliance with the exposure limits in § 1.1310 is necessary if the ERP of the device is greater than $ERP_{20\text{cm}}$ in Formula (B.1) [repeated from § 2.1091(c)(1) and § 1.1307(b)(1)(i)(B)].

$$P_{\text{th}} (\text{mW}) = ERP_{20\text{ cm}} (\text{mW}) = \begin{cases} 2040f & 0.3 \text{ GHz} \leq f < 1.5 \text{ GHz} \\ 3060 & 1.5 \text{ GHz} \leq f \leq 6 \text{ GHz} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

If the ERP is not easily obtained, then the available maximum time-averaged power may be used (i.e., without consideration of ERP only if the physical dimensions of the radiating structure(s) do not exceed the electrical length of $\lambda/4$ or if the antenna gain is less than that of a half-wave dipole.

$$P_{th} \text{ (mW)} = \begin{cases} ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (d/20 \text{ cm})^x & d \leq 20 \text{ cm} \\ ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} & 20 \text{ cm} < d \leq 40 \text{ cm} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B. 2})$$

where

$$x = -\log_{10} \left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} \sqrt{f}} \right)$$

and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and $ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}$ is per Formula (B.1). The example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

| Frequency (MHz) | Distance (mm) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| 300 | 39 | 65 | 88 | 110 | 129 | 148 | 166 | 184 | 201 | 217 |
| 450 | 22 | 44 | 67 | 89 | 112 | 135 | 158 | 180 | 203 | 226 |
| 835 | 9 | 25 | 44 | 66 | 90 | 116 | 145 | 175 | 207 | 240 |
| 1900 | 3 | 12 | 26 | 44 | 66 | 92 | 122 | 157 | 195 | 236 |
| 2450 | 3 | 10 | 22 | 38 | 59 | 83 | 111 | 143 | 179 | 219 |
| 3600 | 2 | 8 | 18 | 32 | 49 | 71 | 96 | 125 | 158 | 195 |
| 5800 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 40 | 58 | 80 | 106 | 136 | 169 |

The worst case of SAR Exclusion Threshold Level at 2.48GHz with distance 5mm:
= 2.717mW

According to the KDB 412172 D01:

$$EIRP = [(FS \cdot D)^2 \cdot 1000 / 30]$$

Calculated Field Strength for 2.717mW is 99.6dBuV/m @3m

Since maximum average field strength plus production tolerance $\leq 99.6 \text{ dBuV/m @3m}$ and antenna gain is $\geq 0.0 \text{ dBi}$, it is concluded that maximum Conducted Power and Field Strength are well below the SAR Exclusion threshold level, so the EUT is considered to comply with SAR requirement without testing.