



Company Internal  
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

**Report issued by Accredited SAR Laboratory**

**for**

**PY7A1052171 (G502c)**

**Date of test:** 16<sup>th</sup> - 25<sup>th</sup> of June, 2008

**Laboratory:** Sony Ericsson SAR Test Laboratory  
Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB  
Nya Vattentornet  
SE-221 82 LUND, Sweden

**Testing Engineer:** Magnus Söderman  
magnus1.soderman@sonyericsson.com  
+46-46-2127229

**Testing Approval:** Mats Hansson  
Mats.hansson@sonyericsson.com  
+46-46-19 33 57

**Statement of Compliance**

Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB declares under its sole responsibility that the product

***Sony Ericsson Type AAC-1052171-BV; FCC ID PY7A1052171; IC 4170B-A1052171***

to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate RF exposure standards recommendations and guidelines. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(None)

**Company Internal  
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

**LD/SEMC/BGLIVM Magnus Söderman**

No.

**BGLI08:635**

Approved

Checked

Date

Rev

Reference

**LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC Mats Hansson**
**0800729**
**080627**
**A**
**File**

This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 (SWEDAC accreditation no. 1847).



Laboratories are accredited by the Swedish Board for Accreditation and Conformity Assessment (SWEDAC) under the terms of Swedish legislation. The accredited laboratory activities meet the requirements in SS-EN ISO/IEC 17025 (2005). This report may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Sony Ericsson encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this report.

© Sony Ericsson Mobile Communication AB, 2008

## Table of contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>CUSTOMER DETAILS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>DEVICE UNDER TEST.....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	ANTENNA DESCRIPTION.....	3
3.2	DEVICE DESCRIPTION .....	4
<b>4</b>	<b>TEST EQUIPMENT.....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	DOSIMETRIC SYSTEM .....	5
4.2	ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT .....	5
<b>5</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS ON THE TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>SAR MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>TEST RESULTS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>APPENDIX.....</b>	<b>11</b>
10.1	PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST .....	11
10.2	DEVICE POSITION AT SAM TWIN PHANTOM .....	13
10.3	ATTACHMENTS.....	14



Company Internal  
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 1 Introduction

In this test report, compliance of the Sony Ericsson PY7A1052171 (G502c) portable telephone with RF safety guidelines is demonstrated. The applicable RF safety guidelines and the SAR measurement specifications used for the test are described in the SAR Measurement Specifications of Wireless Handsets [1].

## 2 Customer details

<b>Company Name:</b>	Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB
<b>Address:</b>	3/F Building A Wang Jing High-Tech Park No.2 Li Ze Zhong Er Lu Chao Yang District Beijing China
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Wen Yanhong

## 3 Device Under Test

### 3.1 Antenna Description

<b>Type</b>	Internal antenna	
<b>Location</b>	At the top	
<b>Dimensions</b>	Max length	18 mm
	Max width	39 mm
<b>Configuration</b>	PIFA	

**Company Internal  
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

*BGLI08:635*

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

### 3.2 Device Description

<b>Device model</b>	AAC-1052171-BV		
<b>Market name</b>	G502c		
<b>Serial numbers (EUT #)</b>	BX900AJ8JB (#12172) Color Black BX900AJ3ZZ (#12174) Color Bronze		
<b>Mode</b>	GSM 1900		
<b>Crest factor</b>	8.3		
<b>Multiple access scheme</b>	TDMA		
<b>Channel No.</b>	512	512	661
<b>Maximum output power setting<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>	29.5	29.5	29.5
<b>Factory tolerance in power setting<sup>1</sup></b>	±0.5 dB		
<b>Maximum peak output power<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>	30.0	30.0	30.0
<b>Data mode</b>	GPRS		
<b>Crest factor</b>	4.15		
<b>Maximum output power setting<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>	28.0	28.0	28.0
<b>Factory tolerance in power setting<sup>1</sup></b>	±0.5 dB		
<b>Maximum peak output power<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>	28.5	28.5	28.5
<b>Data mode</b>	EDGE		
<b>Crest factor</b>	4.15		
<b>Maximum output power setting<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>	26.5	26.5	26.5
<b>Factory tolerance in power setting<sup>1</sup></b>	±0.5 dB		
<b>Maximum peak output power<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>	27.0	27.0	27.0
<b>Transmitting frequency range [MHz]</b>	1850.2 - 1909.8		
<b>GPRS Multislot class</b>	10		
<b>EDGE class</b>	10		
<b>GPRS Capability class</b>	B		
<b>Prototype or production unit</b>	Preproduction		
<b>Hardware version</b>	EDGE PQ		
<b>Software version</b>	R1FA023		
<b>Device category</b>	Portable		
<b>RF exposure environment</b>	General population / uncontrolled		
<b>Bluetooth max. conducted output power<sup>1</sup> [dBm]</b>			

<sup>1</sup> Output power values were supplied by the customer.

**Company Internal  
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

*BGLI08:635*

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 4 Test equipment

### 4.1 Dosimetric system

SAR measurements were made using the DASY4 professional system (software version 4.7, Build 71) with SAM twin phantom, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The list of calibrated equipment is given below.

Description	Serial Number	Due Date
DASY4 DAE3	419	2009-03
E-field probe ET3DV6	1569	2009-01
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d002	2009-01

### 4.2 Additional equipment

Description	Inventory Number	Due Date
Signal generator R&S	INV 20007667	2009-03
Directional coupler	S/N 062	2009-03
Power meter R&S NRVD	INV 20007669	2009-03
Power sensor R&S NRV-Z5	INV 20007672	2009-03
Power sensor R&S NRV-Z5	INV 20007673	2009-03
Network analyzer HP8753C	INV 421671	2009-03
S-parameter test set HP85047A	INV 421670	2009-03
Dielectric probe kit HP8507D	INV 20000053	N/A
Base station simulator CMU200	INV 20002149	2009-03
Thermometer Fluke 51	INV 2071	2009-03

**Company Internal  
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 5 Electrical parameters on the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity,  $\epsilon_r$ , and the conductivity  $\sigma$ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the dielectric probe kit. These values are shown in the table below. The mass density,  $\rho$ , entered into the DASY4 software is also given. Recommended limits for permittivity  $\epsilon_r$ , conductivity  $\sigma$  and mass density  $\rho$  are also shown.

f [MHz]	Tissue type	Measured / Recommended	Dielectric Parameters		Density
			$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ [S/m]	$\rho$ [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]
1900	Head	Measured, 2008-06-18	38.5	1.42	1.00
		Recommended	40.0	1.4	1.00
1900	Body	Measured, 2008-06-19	50.8	1.55	1.00
		Recommended	53.3	1.52	1.00

## 6 System accuracy verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY4 was performed using the dipole validation kit listed in section 4.1. The system verification test was conducted on the same day as the measurement of the DUT. The measurements were made at an ambient temperature of 22-23 °C and humidity 40-50 %. The obtained results are displayed in the table below.

RF noise had been measured in liquid when all RF equipment in lab was switched off. Measured value was 0.0002 mW/g in 1g mass.

f [MHz]	Tissue type	Measured / Reference	SAR [W/kg] 1g / 10g	Dielectric Parameters		Density	Liquid T [°C]
				$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ [S/m]	$\rho$ [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	
1900	Head	Measured, 2008-06-18	39.0 / 20.5	38.5	1.42	1.00	22.9
		Reference	37.4 / 19.8	40.0	1.4	1.00	22.0
1900	Body	Measured, 2008-06-19	40.8 / 21.4	50.8	1.55	1.00	22.9
		Reference	38.6 / 20.6	53.3	1.52	1.00	22.0

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 7 SAR measurement uncertainty

### *SAR measurement uncertainty evaluation for Sony Ericsson PY7A1052171 (G502c) phone According to IEEE 1528*

Uncertainty Component	Uncer. (%)	Prob Dist.	Div.	C <sub>i</sub>	1g mass
<b>Measurement System</b>					
Probe Calibration	±5.9	N	1	1	±5.9
Axial Isotropy	±4.7	R	√3	0.7	±1.9
Spherical Isotropy	±9.6	R	√3	0.7	±3.9
Boundary effect	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6
Probe linearity	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7
Detection limit	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6
Readout electronics	±0.3	N	1	1	±0.3
Response time	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5
Integration time	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5
RF Ambient Conditions	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7
Mech. Constraints of robot	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2
Probe positioning	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7
Extrap, interpolation and integration	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6
<b>Measurement System Uncertainty</b>					±8.4
<b>Test Sample Related</b>					
Device positioning	±3.5	N	1	1	±3.5
Device holder uncertainty	±3.5	N	1	1	±3.5
Power drift	±5.0	R	√3	1	±2.9
<b>Test Sample Related Uncertainty</b>					±5.5
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>					
Phantom uncertainty	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3
Liquid conductivity (measured)	±2.5	R	1	0.64	±1.6
Liquid conductivity (target)	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8
Liquid Permittivity (measured)	±2.5	R	1	0.6	±1.5
Liquid Permittivity (target)	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters Uncertainty</b>					±4.1
<b>Combined standard uncertainty</b>					±10.8
<b>Extended standard uncertainty (k=2)</b>					±21.6

Company Internal  
 REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

 LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

 LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 8 Test results

The ambient humidity and temperature of test facility were 40-50% and 22-23°C respectively. A base station simulator was used to control the device during the SAR measurement. The DUT was supplied with a fully charged battery for each measurement.

Two different colors, black and bronze, were used for testing to find the worst-case scenario SAR value. One color were selected for testing and once the worst-case position and mode was found, the other color was also tested at this position.

For head measurement, the DUT was tested on the right-hand side, and the left-hand side of the phantom in two phone positions, cheek (touch) and tilt (cheek + 15°). The DUT was tested at the lowest, middle and highest frequencies in the transmission band. The measured 1-gram averaged SAR values of the DUT towards the head are provided in Table 1.

For body measurement the DUT was tested with the back(antenna) and front(display) towards the phantom flat section with 15 mm distance in both speech and data mode. The device was tested at the lowest, middle and highest frequencies in the transmission band. For portable hands free (PHF) usage the Sony Ericsson head set HPB-60 was connected to the DUT. The measured 1-gram averaged SAR values of the DUT towards the body are provided in Table 2.

Band	Channel	Measured output power <sup>2</sup> [dBm]	Position	Liquid T [°C]	Measured SAR [W/kg]	
					Right-hand 1g mass	Left-hand 1g mass
GSM 1900	512	29.8	Cheek	22.9	0.91	1.05
			Tilt	22.9	1.02	1.12
	661	29.8	Cheek	22.9	0.92	1.15
			Tilt	22.9	1.06	1.21
			Tilt <sup>3</sup>	22.9	-	1.10
	810	30.0	Cheek	22.9	0.71	0.85
			Tilt	22.9	0.77	0.81

**Table 1: SAR measurement result for Sony Ericsson PY7A1052171 telephone at highest possible output power. Measured towards the head.**

<sup>2</sup> Measured output power values were provided by the customer.

<sup>3</sup> Delta test on the other color.

Company Internal  
 REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

 LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

 LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

Band	Channel	Measured output power <sup>4</sup> [dBm]	Position / Mode	Liquid T [°C]	Measured SAR [W/kg] 1g mass
GSM 1900	512	28.4	Back / GPRS	22.9	0.63
		29.8	Back / PHF	22.9	0.46
	661	28.5	Back / GPRS	22.9	0.58
	810	28.3	Back / GPRS	22.9	0.41
		28.4	Back <sup>5</sup> / GPRS	22.9	0.40
		28.3	Front / GPRS	22.9	0.26
		26.8	Back / EDGE	22.9	0.28

**Table 2: SAR measurement result for Sony Ericsson PY7A1052171 telephone at highest possible output power. Measured towards the body.**

<sup>4</sup> Measured output power values were provided by the customer.

<sup>5</sup> Delta test on the other color.

Company Internal  
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

*BGLI08:635*

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 9 References

- [ 1 ] R.Plicanic. "SAR Measurement Specification of Wireless Handsets". Sony Ericsson SAR Test Laboratory internal document GUG/N 03:141
- [ 2 ] FCC. "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields: Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Emissions." Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97- 01).
- [ 3 ] IEEE. "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques." Std 1528-2003. June. 2003.
- [ 4 ] IEC 62209-1. "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices in the frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz". February 2005.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

BGLI08:635

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

## 10 Appendix

### 10.1 Photographs of the device under test



Battery and cover removed



Front side



Rear side

Company Internal  
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

*BGLI08:635*

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File



Right- and Left-hand side

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

*BGLI08:635*

Date

080627

Rev

A



Reference

File

## 10.2 Device position at SAM Twin Phantom



*DUT position towards the head: Cheek (touch) position*



*DUT position towards the head: Tilt (touch + 15°) position*



*DUT position towards the body*

**Company Internal  
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGLIVM *Magnus Söderman*

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGLIVRC *Mats Hansson*

Checked

0800729

No.

*BGLI08:635*

Date

080627

Rev

A

Reference

File

**10.3 Attachments**

- System validation
- Measurement plots for head and body position
- Probe calibration
- Dipole calibration

**Test Laboratory: Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB****Perf1900\_1****DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d002**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.42$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1569; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 2008-01-23
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn419; Calibrated: 2008-03-05
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: SAM QD 000 P40 CB; Serial: TP-1396
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Perf 1900/Area Scan (41x71x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.5 mW/g


**Perf 1900/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 88.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.064 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.9 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 9.74 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.13 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.8 mW/g



0 dB = 10.8mW/g

**Test Laboratory: Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB****Perf1900\_2****DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d002**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.55$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1569; ConvF(4.68, 4.68, 4.68); Calibrated: 2008-01-23
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn419; Calibrated: 2008-03-05
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: SAM QD 000 P40 CB; Serial: TP-1396
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Perf 1900/Area Scan (41x71x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 12.3 mW/g


**Perf 1900/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 83.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.046 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.2 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 10.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.36 mW/g**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.3 mW/g



0 dB = 11.3mW/g

# Interpolated SAR(x,y,z,f0)

MSL1900; Z Scan: Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0



**Test Laboratory: Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB****BodyGPRS1900****DUT: AAC-1052171-BV (EmilieEDGE); Type: 3 band; Serial: BX900AJ3ZZ**

Communication System: GSM1900\_GPRS; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15

Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1850.2$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.5$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 51$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1569; ConvF(4.68, 4.68, 4.68); Calibrated: 2008-01-23
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used))  
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn419; Calibrated: 2008-03-05
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: SAM QD 000 P40 CB; Serial: TP-1396
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**GPRS low #12174/Area Scan (31x71x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.732 mW/g


**GPRS low #12174/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.001 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.10 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.631 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.365 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.681 mW/g



0 dB = 0.681mW/g

**Test Laboratory: Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB****BodyGPRS1900****DUT: AAC-1052171-BV; Type: 3 band; Serial: BX900AJ3ZZ**

Communication System: GSM1900\_GPRS; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1910$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1569; ConvF(4.68, 4.68, 4.68); Calibrated: 2008-01-23
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used))  
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn419; Calibrated: 2008-03-05
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: SAM QD 000 P40 CB; Serial: TP-1396
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**EDGE high #12174/Area Scan (31x71x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.320 mW/g


**EDGE high #12174/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.490 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.276 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.162 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.296 mW/g



0 dB = 0.296mW/g

**Test Laboratory: Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB****BodyGPRS1900****DUT: AAC-1052171-BV (EmilieEDGE); Type: 3 band; Serial: BX900AJ3ZZ**

Communication System: GSM1900\_GPRS; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1910$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 50.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1569; ConvF(4.68, 4.68, 4.68); Calibrated: 2008-01-23
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used))  
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn419; Calibrated: 2008-03-05
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: SAM QD 000 P40 CB; Serial: TP-1396
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

**Frontside high #12174/Area Scan (31x71x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.292 mW/g


**Frontside high #12174/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 13.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.029 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.416 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.258 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.155 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.278 mW/g



0 dB = 0.278mW/g



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## Sony Ericsson SAR Measurement Specification of Wireless Terminals

### Contents

1	Introduction and scope .....	2
2	References .....	2
3	Physical quantities, units and constants.....	4
4	Definitions .....	4
5	Measurement system specifications .....	4
5.1	General .....	4
5.2	Phantom.....	5
5.3	SAR measurement equipment .....	5
5.4	Scanning system .....	6
5.5	Wireless device holder .....	6
5.6	Other equipment .....	6
6	Protocol for SAR assessment .....	7
6.1	Measurement preparation .....	7
6.2	Tests to be performed .....	7
6.3	Measurement procedure .....	7
6.4	Post processing .....	3
7	Measurement uncertainty .....	3
Annex A	Tissue simulating material preparation .....	9
Annex B	Dielectric property measurements .....	12
Annex C	SAR measurement procedure .....	13
Annex D	Measurement system performance check and validation .....	15
Annex E	Uncertainty budgets for 1g and 10g SAR assessments with DASYS4.....	17

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## 1. Introduction and scope

It is a Sony Ericsson policy that all RF transmitting product models shall comply with relevant recommendations, standards and regulations on human exposure to electromagnetic fields. In the reference section below, the most important RF safety guidelines are listed [9-13]. If no national standard or regulation is available in a country, the international recommendation from ICNIRP [9] shall be applied.

The RF safety guidelines specify *basic restrictions* and *reference levels*. In the frequency range of interest for mobile communications, the basic restrictions are expressed as Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) limits and the reference levels as field strength or power density limits. The reference levels are provided for the purpose of simple measurements of compliance with the basic restrictions, and they are primarily applicable in the far-field region of a RF source. Measured values greater than the reference levels do not necessarily mean that the basic restrictions are exceeded.

In the near-field region of mobile communication devices (handsets), field strength values exceeding the reference levels may be observed. Compliance with the basic SAR restrictions has therefore to be verified. SAR (W/kg) is a measure of the rate of RF energy absorption in tissue. The localized SAR limits depend on whether the device is classified for use by the general public (uncontrolled environment) or workers (controlled environment). Mobile communication equipment are usually used by the general public and should consequently be in compliance with the general public limits, which are 2.0 W/kg averaged in 10 gram of tissue in the ICNIRP guidelines [9] and 1.6 W/kg averaged in 1 gram in the ANSI/IEEE standard [10]. Because of the lower limit and the smaller averaging mass, the ANSI/IEEE limit is slightly more conservative than the ICNIRP limit. The averaging times are also different, 6 minutes in the ICNIRP recommendations and 30 minutes in the IEEE guidelines.

This document describes the SAR measurement procedures used by the SAR testing laboratories of Sony Ericsson. SAR measurement standardization is currently evolving. Many standards and guidelines have recently been released [1, 2 and 5] or are in progress (e.g. [3, 4]). Sony Ericsson is firmly committed to using the latest technology and the latest standards to ensure that the SAR measurements are of the highest quality.

## 2. References

### SAR measurement standards and guidelines

The following standards and guidelines are used as a basis for the SAR measurement specification described herein. Although these documents are well harmonized, some differences exist. References [1] and [2] are approved European standards, [5] is IEEE standard, [3] and [4] draft measurement standards and references [6] are published guidelines. This measurement specification closely conforms to these documents.

- [1] European Standard EN 50360, "Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)", CENELEC, July 2001.
- [2] European Standard EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz – 3 GHz)", CENELEC, July 2001.
- [3] IEC 62209\_Part1 (CD), "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices in the frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz", IEC, February 2005
- [4] IEC 62209 Part 2, "Evaluation of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Fields from Handheld and Body-Mounted Wireless Communication Devices in the Frequency Range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz: Human models, Instrumentation, and Procedures, Part 2: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for two-way radios, palmtop terminals, laptop terminals, desktop terminals, and body-mounted devices including accessories and multiple transmitters", Draft Version 0.6, October 2002
- [5] Standard 1528-2003, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques", IEEE, June, 2003,
- [6] Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields: Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", FCC, June 2001.

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

- [7] Radiocommunications (Electromagnetic Radiation Human Exposure) Standard 2003, Australian Communications Authority (ACA), February 2003.
- [8] ARIB Standard STD-T56, "Method of Measuring the Specific Absorption Rate from Portable Wireless Terminals", 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Association of Radio Industries and Businesses, January 24<sup>th</sup>, 2002. (Translation by Asia Technical Translation Pty Ltd 10/04/02).

**Other references**

- [9] ICNIRP, "Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)", International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), Health Physics, vol. 74, pp 494-522, April 1998.
- [10] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, "Safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz", The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., New York, 1992.
- [11] CENELEC ENV 50166-2, "Human exposure to electromagnetic fields: High-frequency (10 kHz – 300 GHz)", European Prestandard, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization (CENELEC), January 1995.
- [12] MPT, "Radio-radiation protection guidelines for human exposure to electromagnetic fields", Telecommunications Technology Council, Ministry of Posts and Telecommunications, Japan, April 1997.
- [13] AS/NZS 2772.1(Int):1998, Interim Australian/New Zealand Standard, "Radiofrequency fields, Part 1: Maximum exposure levels – 3 kHz to 300 GHz", Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand, 1998.
- [14] FCC Report and Order, ET Docket 93-62, FCC 96-326, Federal Communications Commission (FCC), August 1996.
- [15] Safety code 6, Canadian Standard, Health Canada, 1999.
- [16] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, Niels Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 44, pp. 105-113, January 1996.
- [17] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, "DASY3 User Manual", August 1999 Edition, Zurich, Switzerland.
- [18] Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Thomas Schmid and Niels Kuster, "Broadband calibration of E-field probes in lossy media", *IEEE transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1954-1962, October 1996.
- [19] K. Pokovic, T Schmid and N. Kuster, "E-field Probe with Improved Isotropy in Brain Simulating Liquids", *Proceedings ELMAR*, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996.
- [20] NIS 81, "The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurements", Technical Report, NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, Edition 1, May 1994.
- [21] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, "Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", NIST Technical Report 1297, National Institute of Standards and Technology, September 1994.
- [22] T. Schmid and N. Kuster, "Preliminary uncertainty budget for SAR evaluations with DASY3," contribution to IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, Subcommittee 2, July, 1998.
- [23] ISO/IEC Guide Expres (1995-01),"Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (1995)", Ed. 1.0 English, 1995.
- [24] HP 8752C Network analyzer User's guide. Hewlett Packard part number 08752-90157.
- [25] HP 85070D Dielectric probe kit manual, Hewlett Packard part number 85070-90009.
- [26] M. Siegbahn, "A SAR test procedure for wireless devices with simultaneous multi-band transmission", EAB/TF-02:118, Rev. A, November 19, 2002

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

### 3. Physical quantities, units and constants

The physical quantities, units and constants given in section 3 of [2] and section 4 of [3] are applicable for this procedure document.

### 4. Definitions

The definitions given in section 4 of [2], section 3 of [3] and section 2 of [4] apply.


### 5. Measurement system specifications

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 5 of [2] and [3] and in sections 3, 4 of 5 of [4].

#### 5.1 General

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 5.1 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.6.1.1 of [4].

Tests are performed using a miniature electric field probe that is positioned by a robot whose movements are software controlled. The probes are positioned to measure the internal electric field of a liquid-filled phantom representing the human head while the phantom is exposed to electromagnetic energy from a wireless device. The software processes the electric field data to determine the SAR distribution and the highest mass-averaged SAR.



**Figure 5.1 SAR measurement system**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

The SAR measurement system used in the Sony Ericsson SAR testing laboratories is the DASY near-field scanner manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on the E-field probe technique and includes a high precision 6-axis robot, liquid-filled plastic phantoms and miniature electric field probes [16, 17]. The specifications of the system are further described below. Figure 5.1 is a picture of the SAR measurement system.

The Ericsson SAR testing laboratories conform to the following environmental conditions:

- Measurements are conducted in a metal screen room, which is designed to provide shielding from external radiofrequency signals and to prevent devices under test from interfering with local wireless networks.
- The ambient temperature is kept in the range 20 – 25°C (this simultaneously satisfies several recommendations and requirements [2-5], which are 15 – 30°C, 18 – 25°C and 20 – 26°C, respectively).
- The relative humidity of the laboratory is kept within 30 – 70% [5].
- During measurements, the temperature of the liquid is kept within  $\pm 2$  °C of the temperature at which the dielectric parameters were measured [2-4].
- The ambient noise level is kept low so that the 1-gram averaged SAR is below 12 mW/kg when the device under test (DUT) is turned off (this simultaneously satisfies the requirements of [2] and [4]).

## 5.2 Phantom

Phantom requirements and specifications are provided in section 5.2 of [2] and [3] and in section 4 of [4 and 5].

The phantom used is an implementation of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) model [2-5]. It consists of three measurement areas or sections, one section corresponding to right hand side use and an identical but mirrored section for the left-hand side. In the middle of the phantom there is a flat section for tests of mobile phones when worn on the body. The flat section is also used for system validation.

The phantom shell was manufactured by SPEAG to meet stringent shape, thickness and material requirements [2-5]. The length and width of the flat section are at least  $0.75 \lambda_0$  and  $0.6 \lambda_0$  respectively at frequencies of 824 MHz and above ( $\lambda_0$  = wavelength in air).

The phantom is filled with a tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm at each ear reference point [2-5]. The dielectric properties of the liquid conform to all the tabulated values [2-5]. Liquids are prepared according to Annex A and dielectric properties are measured according to Annex B.

## 5.3 SAR measurement equipment

Measurement equipment requirements and specifications are provided in section 5.3 of [2] and [3] and in section 3 of [4 and 5].

The Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY) Professional by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG consists of the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 connected to the readout electronics DAE3 which is attached to the tool joint of a Stäubli RX90L 6-axis high precision robot. The measurement signal is transferred via a fiber optical link from the DAE3 to the electro-optical converter EOC3 that is connected to the ISA type PC card in the system computer.

The dosimetric probe is sensitive to E-fields and incorporates three dipoles arranged so that the overall response is close to isotropic [14, 15]. The probe sensors are covered by an outer protective shell made of plastic, which is resistant to organic solvents i.e. glycol. In the center line of the probe an optical fiber for surface detection is located. The table below summarizes the technical data of this probe. The E-fields probes have been calibrated once per year and after calibration Technical Lead Engineer or Technical Engineer are responsible to change correction factor in DASY system software for each probes. Other probe parameters are provided in the uncertainty budget in Section 7.

The DAE probe electronics incorporates one measurement amplifier for each sensor dipole and a mechanical surface detection system that automatically stops the robot in a probe collision emergency. The fiber optical surface detection system is located

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

in the EOC. Either the mechanical or the optical surface detection system is used for controlling the distance between the probe and the inner surface of the phantom shell.

Property	Data
Frequency range	30 MHz – 3 GHz
Linearity	± 0.2 dB
Dynamic Range	5 $\mu$ W/kg - >100 W/kg
Tip diameter (including protective cover)	6.8 mm
Distance from probe tip to sensors	2.7 mm
Length of sensor dipoles	3 mm
Optical surface detection repeatability	± 0.2 mm

**Table 5.1 The technical data for the SAR probe ET3DV6.**

Property	Data
Frequency range	10 MHz – 6 GHz
Linearity	± 0.2 dB
Dynamic Range	5 $\mu$ W/kg - >100 W/kg
Tip diameter (including protective cover)	4 mm
Distance from probe tip to sensors	2 mm
Length of sensor dipoles	2 mm
Optical surface detection repeatability	± 0.2 mm

**Table 5.2 The technical data for the SAR probe ES3DV3**

The data acquisition electronic have been calibrated once per year and after calibration Technical Lead Engineer or Technical Engineer are responsible to change correction factor in DASY system software for each DAE.. System performance check is conducted for the complete system for each relevant tissue equivalent liquid at the appropriate frequency.

## 5.4 Scanning system

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 5.4 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.5 of [4 and 5].

The robotic scanning system works in such a way that the system identifies the measurement areas in the phantom shell by three reference points located on the phantom table and a laser beam on the robot stand which determines the alignment of the probe. The coordinates of the three reference point are entered into the system by manually steering the robotic arm so that the probe tip is above each of these points. Measurement grids can then be defined in each of the available measurement sections of the phantom, the right ear section, the left ear section and in the middle of the shell, the flat section. The robotic arm automatically positions the probe in the selected measurement grid and the distance from the probe tip to the inner surface of the phantom shell is controlled by either a mechanical or an optical surface detection system. The measurement grids are defined so that the whole tested device is covered. During the measurement the local SAR results can be continuously monitored.

## 5.5 Wireless device holder

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 5.5 of [2] and [3] and in section 4.1.4 of [4 and 5].

The wireless device holder is a positioning system that allows for very accurate and repeatable device positioning [17]. Tilt and rotation angles have a positioning repeatability better than 1°. Care is taken at the laboratory to ensure that the wireless

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

device is placed in the holder in such a way that the holder has a minimal effect on the measured results. Test personnel are trained on proper positioning techniques.

## 5.6 Other equipment

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 5.6 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.5 of [4 and 5].

The measurement system also includes dipole antennas for system performance checking and system validation procedures at frequency bands of interest. The dipole antennas conform to the specifications of Annex G of [3] and Annex F of [4]. These dipole antennas are checked yearly according to the following items:

- dipole arms are parallel to a flat surface with a tolerance of 2°.
- return loss at the center frequency is below -20 dB while the dipole is positioned under the flat phantom according to Section 7 of [4].
- current distribution along the dipole is symmetric within 5%, as measured using an H-field probe.
- SAR is measured in a flat phantom and compared with reference SAR values in Table D.1 of [3] or in Table 7.1 of [4 and 5].

SAR measurement system performance check is described in detail in Annex D.

## 6 Protocol for SAR assessment

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 6 of [2] and [3] and in section 5 of [4 and 5].

This section presents an overview of the process of assessing SAR for a wireless terminal in Sony Ericsson SAR testing laboratories, the setup of the tested device and the measurement system, which tests are performed and how the test results are processed.

### 6.1 Measurement preparation

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 6.1 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.4 and 5.6 of [4 and 5].

Prior to conducting SAR measurements of the DUT, the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid are measured (see Annex B). System performance check (see Annex D) is performed prior to the SAR measurements or when any part of the SAR testing system has been altered, which includes change of probe and calibration of tissue simulating liquid etc.

For SAR compliance measurements, the peak output power level of the mobile phone is set to the maximum power level of that device with a digital radio tester acting as a base station. The peak power level is measured with either a power meter, a sensor suitable for the carrier frequency and the duty cycle, or a digital radio tester.

Tests are conducted for each of the test configurations of the DUT (operational modes, test frequencies, and configurations).

If the device is intended to be used next to the ear, it is positioned next to the SAM head phantom in the “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left and right sides of the phantom according to section 5.4 of [4 and 5].

If the device is intended to be used while placed against the body, the phone is tested on the flat section of the phantom. The device, with its original carry case(s) and with hands-free accessories, shall be positioned on the phantom simulating the intended use position, i.e. with the case placed against the phantom shell. Alternatively, the device can be placed against the phantom using a spacer that separates the device from the phantom by the minimum distance allowable using all carry cases. Additional guidance given in [5] on conducting body-worn measurements should be followed.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## 6.2 Tests to be performed

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 6.2 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.6 of [4 and 5].

A wireless handset can have many test conditions (operational modes, test frequencies, configurations and test positions against the phantom). At a minimum, the steps outlined in the above listed sections are followed to determine the maximum spatial-averaged SAR of the device.

## 6.3 Measurement procedure

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 6.3 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.6 of [4 and 5].

The measurement procedure is described in detail in Annex C. The measurement procedure can be summarized in the following steps:

1. Setup of DASY: liquid parameters, test device properties, probe, DAE and measurement areas are specified in the system configuration setting.
2. Setup of the device: positioning on the phantom, output power level and test channel are selected and checked.
3. SAR measurement, the following measurement jobs are conducted:
  - Reference measurement where the robot moves the probe to a fixed reference position in the tissue liquid and the E-field is recorded.
  - Coarse SAR scan with grid covering the whole device for finding maximum.
  - Fine cubical SAR scan around maximum for obtaining mass averaged SAR.
  - Second reference measurement for checking device output power drift. Repeat the SAR measurement if the drift is higher than  $\pm 5\%$  ( $\pm 0.21$  dB).
  - Surface checks (optional) where the robot repeatedly moves the probe to the phantom surface at a specified point to check the repeatability of the mechanical and optical surface detection are conducted before the reference measurements if needed. If the repeatability is greater than  $\pm 0.1$  mm, the system should be inspected (e.g. check for air bubbles trapped under the probe) and the surface check procedure should be repeated.

## 6.4 Post processing

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 6.4 of [2] and [3] and in section 5.5 of [4 and 5].

The specific absorption rate (SAR) is calculated from the recorded E-fields by the following expression:

$$SAR = \sigma \frac{E^2}{\rho}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the measured electric conductivity (S/m) of the liquid,  $E$  is the measured root-mean-squared E field (V/m), and  $\rho$  is the chosen tissue density ( $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3 = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$  should always be used [2,4 and 5]). The SAR distribution of the tested device is determined by a coarse scan where the probe is moved in a coarse grid following the inner surface of the phantom. The size of the scanned region should be large enough to guarantee that all possible SAR peaks are included. The distance between adjacent measured points should be 10 – 20 mm [2-5]. Spline interpolation is then used to determine the point of maximum SAR.

The mass averaged SAR is determined by a fine cubical scan, a measurement taken on a fine grid around the position of the maximum SAR. The grid typically consists of 5x5x7 points with 8 mm between the individual points [2] and thus contains about 27 grams of tissue. Numerical extrapolation is then used to determine the SAR values between measurement points in the cube and in the small region between the cube and the inner surface of the phantom where the E-field sensors cannot be positioned. The extrapolation distance is the sum of the probe tip - sensor offset, the surface detection distance and the grid offset. The extrapolation is based on fourth-order polynomial functions. Next, a 3D-spline interpolation algorithm is used to interpolate the measured data to a 1g cube (20x20x20=8000 points) over which the SAR is averaged. The cube is shifted throughout the fine scan area until the highest averaged SAR is found. The same procedure is repeated for the 10 gram cube



Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

(also 20x20x20=8000 points). If the 1g and 10g maximum SAR is found when the averaging cube is touching any side of the measurement grid a message “Maximum outside” is given and a second fine scan has to be conducted. This in order to assess the absolute maximum mass averaged SAR.

## 7 Measurement uncertainty

Requirements and recommendations are listed in section 7 of [2] and [3] and in sections 3 and 4 of [4 and 5]. The measurement uncertainty of the DASY has been determined according to the NIS81 [18] and NIST1297 documents [19]. The total uncertainty of the SAR assessment is composed of two main factors: measurement uncertainty and source uncertainty. Each of these uncertainties consists of a number of individual factors. A detailed breakdown of uncertainties, according to T. Schmid *et. al.* [20], is provided in Annex E. The combined uncertainty ( $k=1$ ) of the 1g SAR assessment is  $\pm 13.6\%$  and for 10g SAR assessments  $\pm 13.3\%$ . The extended uncertainties ( $k=2$ ) is  $\pm 27.1\%$  and  $\pm 26.6\%$  for 1g and 10g assessments, respectively [21].

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

 Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## Annex A Tissue simulating material preparation

This section describes the preparation procedure for the tissue simulating liquids used in SAR testing.

### A.1 Liquid parameters

The liquids prepared for the SAR testing meet both the requirements of [2] in table 1 and the requirements of [3] and [4 and 5] in table 2. The parameters below are applicable to average head tissue simulating material. Since dielectric parameters for average body tissue have not yet been developed, liquids meeting the below stated data are also used for measurements of body SAR. The parameters for a liquid used in SAR measurements has to be within  $\pm 5\%$  of the target values.

Frequency (MHz)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
300	45	0.85
450	44	0.88
900	42	0.99
1450	41	1.20
1800	40	1.38
2450	39	1.84
3000	39	2.40

Table A.1. The dielectric properties of tissue simulating material given in [2].

Frequency (MHz)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87
450	43.5	0.87
835	41.5	0.90
900	41.5	0.97
1450	40.5	1.20
1800	40.0	1.40
1900	40.0	1.40
2000	40.0	1.40
2450	39.2	1.80
3000	38.5	2.40

Table A.2. The dielectric properties of tissue simulating material given in [3], [5] and [6].

### A.2 Liquid recipes

#### Ingredients

Water	distilled water
Sugar	as available in food shops
Salt	as available in food shops (Note, no iodine!)
Cellulose	HEC Hydroxyethyl-cellulose (Optional ingredient)
Preservative	Preventol D7 Bayer AG or Sodium Nitrate
DGBE	Diethyleneglycolbutyl ether (CAS No. 112-34-5)

**Note 1:** It is important to follow the instructions provided in the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for any material, or any local regulations. It is also important to have material handling procedures (including procedures for handling, storage and disposal).

Company Internal  
 INSTRUCTION

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

**Note 2:** Similar materials can also be substituted for the ones above (e.g. deionized water instead of distilled water). The specifications of the materials (e.g. purity) are not critical (although they may change the recipes below), because the after the tissue simulating liquid is made, its dielectric parameters must be verified to be within the target ranges.

**Preparation equipment**

- Balance (range: 0-6000 g, accuracy:  $\pm 0.1$ g)
- Stirrer with hotplate
- Jars and beakers
- Mixing spoon

**Liquids for the 835 MHz and 900 MHz**

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>835 MHz and 900 MHz head</b>	
	<b>weight (%)</b>	<b>weight (g)</b>
Distilled water	40.29	532.63
HEC	0.24	3.20
NaCl	1.40	18.29
Preservative	0.18	2.4
Sugar	57.90	765.49
<b>Total amount</b>		<b>1322.00</b>
<b>Goal</b>		
Frequency (MHz)	835	900
Relative Permittivity	41.5	41.5
Conductivity	0.90	0.97

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>835 MHz and 900 MHz body</b>	
	<b>weight (%)</b>	<b>weight (g)</b>
Distilled water	50.75	633.91
HEC	-	0.00
NaCl	0.94	11.76
Preservative	0.10	1.2
Sugar	48.21	602.12
<b>Total amount</b>		<b>1249.00</b>
<b>Goal</b>		
Frequency (MHz)	835	900
Relative Permittivity	55.2	55.0
Conductivity	0.97	1.05

**Liquids for the 1800 MHz and 1900 MHz**

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>1800 MHz and 1900 MHz head</b>	
	<b>weight (%)</b>	<b>weight (g)</b>

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

No.

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

GUG/N 03:141

Approved

Checked

Date

Rev

Reference

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

060220

E

File

Distilled water	55.24	552.42
DGBE	44.45	444.52
NaCl (Salt)	0.31	3.06
<b>Total amount</b>		<b>1000.00</b>
<b>Goal</b>		
Frequency (MHz)	1800	1900
Relative Permittivity	40.0	40.0
Conductivity	1.40	1.40

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>1800 MHz and 1900 MHz body</b>	
	<b>weight (%)</b>	<b>weight (g)</b>
Distilled water	70.17	701.66
DGBE	29.44	294.42
NaCl (Salt)	0.39	3.92
<b>Total amount</b>		<b>1000.00</b>
<b>Goal</b>		
Frequency (MHz)	1800	1900
Relative Permittivity	53.3	53.3
Conductivity	1.52	1.52

**Liquids for the 2450 MHz**

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>2450 MHz head</b>	
	<b>weight (%)</b>	<b>weight (g)</b>
Distilled water	55.0	550.00
DGBE	45.0	450.00
<b>Total amount</b>		<b>1000.00</b>
<b>Goal</b>		
Frequency (MHz)	2450	
Relative Permittivity	39.2	
Conductivity	1.80	

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>2450 MHz body</b>	
	<b>weight (%)</b>	<b>weight (g)</b>
Distilled water	68.64	686.64
DGBE	31.37	313.65
<b>Total amount</b>		<b>1000.00</b>
<b>Goal</b>		
Frequency (MHz)	2450	
Relative Permittivity	52.7	
Conductivity	1.95	

**A.2 Preparation procedure****Sugar-based liquids**

Add the water to a large container. Begin heating and stirring.

Add the cellulose, preservative and salt (if required). While keeping the container covered, leave the solution on the heating plate until the mixture becomes sufficiently transparent and homogeneous. The temperature of the mixture should be hot enough to aid in mixing the ingredients but cool enough to prevent a significant amount of water evaporation.

Add the sugar. Hand stirring may be necessary at the beginning until the sugar is sufficiently dissolved.

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

Keep the liquid hot and the container covered until the solids are dissolved and the liquid is homogenous.

Turn the hotplate off and allow the liquid to cool off to room temperature prior to performing dielectric measurements.

**Alcohol-based liquids**

1. Add all the ingredients in a large container.
2. Stir until the liquids are solved.

**A.3 Tissue liquid maintenance**

In order to keep the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulating liquids within their target ranges, ingredients may be added to adjust the parameters. For example, one can add water to increase the permittivity, sugar to reduce the permittivity or salt to increase the conductivity. Parameters should each be within a  $\pm 5\%$  range of target values.

A batch of tissue simulating liquid may last several months or more but regular maintenance is necessary in order to keep the dielectric properties within target ranges. The electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquids are assessed prior to SAR compliance testing and checked that they are within tolerance of the specified values (see tables above). The parameters are subject to small variations due to evaporation, and ingredients have to be added on a regular basis in order to adjust the parameters. The amount of ingredient to add depends on the parameter deviations and the total liquid volume and is therefore not easily calculated. However, based on experience, for sugar-salt-water liquids a rule of thumb can be applied: to a 25-liter liquid with a permittivity deviation of about -7% and a conductivity deviation around -3% to -7%, 200 – 400 grams of water should be added. It is recommended that a batch be disposed of and replaced with a new batch when it becomes difficult to keep its dielectric parameters within the ranges specified.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## Annex B: Dielectric property measurements

This annex describes the procedures used to measure the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid.

### B.1 Equipment

- HP network analyzer, models in the HP8753 series, HP8752C or similar
- HP dielectric probe kit HP85070 of versions A, B, C or D [25]
- HP 85070 software (any software version)
- PC using GPIB card [24] for communication with network analyzer
- Syringe
- Small glass jars for liquid samples
- Thermometer

### B.2 Procedure for testing tissue simulating liquid

1. Turn the NWA (Network analyzer) on and allow it to warm up.
2. Start the PC and run the HP 85070 software.
3. Mount dielectric probe kit so that interconnecting cable to NWA will not be moved during measurement or calibration.
4. Perform calibration according to the HP85070 manual [25]. In short the following steps are covered:
  - Inspect the probe and ensure that it is properly cleaned.
  - Pour distilled water in a sample container and measure the water temperature.
  - Set start and stop frequency, frequency step and water temperature.
  - Perform calibration measurement with probe in air, connected to short circuiting block and in distilled water. Assure proper contact which requires attaching the block firmly. Monitor the polar chart on the network analyzer to assure good contact as explained in the manual.
5. Assure that the probe is thoroughly cleaned before performing the measurement.
6. Inspect the liquid for in homogeneities. Surface bubbles can be moved to one side, but if there are numerous bubbles throughout the liquid (e.g. as happens after a new liquid has been poured into a phantom), wait until the bubbles have floated to the surface before proceeding. Also remove any debris or lumps in the liquid.
7. Stir the liquid to be measured.
8. Measure the temperature of the tissue simulating liquid in the phantom. Save value for reference, SAR measurements are only conducted for temperatures  $\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  from this reference temperature.
9. Extract a sample (approximately 50 ml or more).
10. Measure liquid shortly after calibration of the network analyzer and at most within an hour of this calibration. It is also important to measure the liquid sample soon after extracting it so that evaporation and temperature variation do not affect the results.
11. Immerse the dielectric probe in the liquid sample. Check that there are no air bubbles in front of the opening in the dielectric probe kit.
12. Perform measurements. Repeat measurement five times to increase reliability and use average value for comparison with target value. If a single measurement deviates substantially from the rest then redo that measurement to reject possible artifact. The accuracy specified by the dielectric probe kit manufacturer [23] is  $\pm 5\%$  for the dielectric constant  $\epsilon'$  and  $\pm 0.05$  for the loss tangent  $\epsilon''/\epsilon'$ .
13. Conductivity  $\sigma$  can be calculated from  $\epsilon''$  according to
$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' \cong \epsilon'' f \text{ (GHz)}/18.$$
14. Clean the probe thoroughly after use.
15. Pour the sample back into the phantom.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## Annex C: SAR measurement procedure

This section gives a step-by-step procedure for measuring the DUT. The instructions of this section are only valid under the assumption that the measurement equipment is calibrated. More information is found in the user manual for the measurement system [13].

### C.1 Initial setup

1. Ensure that the computer, robot controller and DAE are turned on, and that the DASY software is running.
2. Press the robot button on the toolbar to set up the communications between the software and the robot. Go through the self-check procedure in the software to ensure that the system is properly running and set up for measurement.
3. Choose the appropriate test configuration in the "Setup" menu. NOTE, check that the medium parameters in the "options" window are equal to those measured previously with the dielectric probe kit for the liquid in the phantom.
5. Remove the plastic cover on the phantom.
6. Verify that the system knows the reference points on the phantom. Check the distance between the reference points and the probe tip with the plastic spacer. If it does not accurately locate one or more of the points, install the reference points. Should the installation fail to give results within the tolerances set out in the factory settings for the phantom, the procedure will give an error and the user will have to reinstall the reference points. Afterwards, move the probe to the resting point above the flat section.
7. Stir the liquid in the phantom to ensure that it is homogeneous. Surface bubbles can be moved to one side, but if there are numerous bubbles throughout the liquid (e.g. as happens after a new liquid has been poured into a phantom), wait until the bubbles have floated to the surface before proceeding. Also remove any debris or lumps in the liquid.
8. Measure the temperature of the tissue simulating liquid in the phantom. The liquid temperature has to be within  $\pm 2$  °C of the temperature recorded when the electrical parameters were measured.

### C.2 Measurement procedure

The following steps should be carried out for each of the test conditions described in Section 6.2.

1. Open the appropriate predefined measurement file or prepare a new measurement file by selecting jobs from the menu. The measurement file contains the following jobs: reference measurement, drift measurement, coarse scan covering the whole device, two cubical fine scans and a final drift measurement. The recording time for the coarse and fine scans is 1 second, which gives sufficient accuracy, but for reference/drift measurements 4 seconds giving increased noise-reduction. Additionally, a surface check can be inserted before the reference/drift measurements. During this check, the robot repeatedly moves the probe to the phantom surface at a specified point to check the repeatability of the mechanical and optical surface detection. If the repeatability is worse than  $\pm 0.1$  mm, the system should be inspected (e.g. check for air bubbles trapped under the probe) and the surface check procedure should be repeated. Save the measurement file under an appropriate name.
2. Move the probe so that the tip is below the surface of the liquid in the selected measurement section. Stir the liquid again to remove any bubbles trapped under the probe tip.
3. Power on the DUT and set it to transmit at full power in one of the operational configurations (as described in Section 6.1). Check the signal with the spectrum analyzer.
4. Position the DUT against the phantom in one of the required test positions (as described in Section 6.1).
5. Select and start the first five measurement jobs; reference measurement, 3-minute time sweep, drift measurement, the coarse and one fine scan). Note the time sweep can be omitted once the device has warmed up.



Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

6. Check the measured fine scan when the measurement jobs have been completed. If the maximum SAR has been found within the measured grid (no system message "Maximum outside") delete the second fine scan in the file and proceed with the final drift measurement. Otherwise, measure both the second fine scan and the drift measurement. Additionally, check for local maxima of at least 50% of maximum SAR.
7. Check the system drift. If the measurement data is not within  $\pm 5\%$  ( $\pm 0.21$  dB), check the DUT and change battery if necessary, check the DASY and repeat the measurement. If the drift cannot be maintained within 5%, add the drift to the measured SAR value.
8. Save the measurement data and enter it into the laboratory log.

### C.3 Post measurement procedure

When the SAR measurements are finished, do the following:

1. Power off the DUT.
2. Move the probe to the resting point and clean it with water.
3. Put the plastic cover on the phantom.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## Annex D: Measurement system performance check and validation

### D.1 General

Measurement system validation consists of three procedures:

1. System performance checking
2. System validation
3. Inter laboratory comparison

These three procedures are defined in section 7 of [4 and 5]. System performance checking and inter laboratory comparison are also described in Annex D of [2] (they are called Simplified performance checking and system validation, respectively) and in Annex D of [3].

System performance checking is conducted prior to the SAR testing of a wireless device with a reference dipole antenna and the flat section of the SAM phantom. The results are compared to reference data provided by the system manufacturer, Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. The DASY3 manufacturer conducts system validation. Inter laboratory comparison between the Ericsson SAR testing laboratories is conducted according to a separate procedure.

### D.2 System performance check procedure

System performance check is conducted according to the following steps.

#### Setup of the dipole antenna

1. Turn on the signal generator, power meter and power amplifier (if used). Allow them sufficient time to warm up, to reduce drift.
2. Position the dipole antenna under the flat phantom and adjust the height of the stand until the specified spacer is touching the shell of the flat phantom. The center of the dipole antenna should be positioned under the middle of the flat phantom, as indicated by a mark on the flat phantom.
3. Connect one end of the coax line to the power meter sensor and the other end to the output of the signal generator. If the power amplifier is used, connect it between the signal generator and the power meter sensor.
4. Set the signal generator to transmit in CW mode and ensure that any signal modulation is turned off. This ensures that the power amplifier will transmit a pure sinusoid.
5. Set the frequency of the signal generator to the resonant frequency of the dipole antenna.
6. Set the output power of the signal generator (and optionally adjust the gain of the power amplifier) so that the same amount of power as used by the system manufacturer at calibration is delivered to the power meter.
7. Disconnect the coax line from the power meter and connect it to the dipole antenna.

#### Setup of the DASY

1. Mount the 3D electric field probe, as shown in the SPEAG manual.
2. Remove the plastic cover on the phantom.
3. Power up the DAE. The LED indicates that the power is on.
4. Power up the computer.
5. Turn on the robot controller
6. Start the DASY software on the computer.

Company Internal  
INSTRUCTION

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

7. Choose the appropriate measurement configuration in the “Setup” menu of the software for the dipole measurement. Record the dielectric constant and conductivity of the liquid in the program.
8. Press the robot button on the toolbar to set up the communications between the software and the robot. Go through the self-check procedure in the software to ensure that the system is properly running and set up for measurement.
9. Verify that the robot knows the reference points on the phantom. Check the distance between the reference points and the probe tip with the plastic spacer. If it does not accurately locate one or more of the reference points to within  $\pm 5$  mm, install the reference points. Should the installation fail to give results within the tolerances set out in the factory settings for the phantom, the procedure will give an error and the user will have to reinstall the reference points. Afterward, move the probe to the resting point above the flat section.
10. Measure the temperature of the tissue simulating liquid in the phantom. The liquid temperature has to be within  $\pm 2$  °C of the temperature recorded when the electrical parameters were measured.

**Measurement procedure**

1. Open a measurement file. Select the predefined dipole test provided by SPEAG. This file includes all of the necessary measurements for the dipole test. Rename the file with an appropriate name and save it in the appropriate directory.
2. Tell the robot to move the probe tip below the surface of the liquid. Stir the liquid again to remove any bubbles trapped under the probe tip.
3. Select and start the measurement jobs in the file. These include the reference check, coarse scan, fine scan, and drift measurements.

**Analysis of measured data**

1. Normalize the measurement data to 1 Watt and compare the one-gram and ten-gram averaged peak SAR values to the standard values provided in the reference documents. If they do not agree within  $\pm 10\%$ , check the system parameters (e.g. antenna output power, dielectric parameters of the tissue simulating liquid, homogeneity of the liquid) and repeat the measurement.
2. Also check that the distribution of measured SAR agrees with that provided in the reference data. The peak SAR should be located over the center of the dipole, and the SAR should monotonically decrease away from this point. If the SAR distribution does not compare well with the reference data, repeat the measurement.
3. Make sure that the drift measurement is within  $\pm 5\%$  ( $\pm 0.2$  dB). If not, check the system parameters and repeat the measurement.
4. Save the measurement data and enter it into the logbook.
5. Move the probe out of the liquid and clean it with warm water.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

Approved

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/N 03:141

Date

060220

Rev

E

Reference

File

## Annex E Uncertainty budgets for 1g and 10g SAR assessments with DASY

### E.1 Uncertainty budget for measurements of 1g mass averaged SAR

Error description	Uncertainty (%)	Distrib.	Divisor	$c_i$ 1g	Standard unc 1g (%)	$v_i^2$ or $v_{eff}$
<b>Measurement system</b>						
Probe calibration	± 4.4	Normal	1	1	± 4.4	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	± 3.9	∞
Spatial resolution	± 0.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.0	∞
Boundary effects	± 5.5	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 3.2	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.4	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Mech. Constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrap. and integration	± 3.9	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
<b>Test sample related</b>						
Device positioning	± 6.0	Normal	0.89	1	± 6.7	12
Device holder uncertainty	± 5.0	Normal	0.84	1	± 5.9	8
Power drift	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.9	∞
<b>Phantom and setup</b>						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas)	± 10.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 3.5	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas)	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
<b>Combined standard uncertainty</b>					± 13.6	
<b>Extended standard uncertainty (k=2)</b>					± 27.1	

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

No.

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NM Ramadan Plicanic

GUG/N 03:141

Approved

Checked

Date

Rev

Reference

LD/SEMC/BGUG/NMC Mats Hansson

060220

E

File

**E.2 Uncertainty budget for measurements of 10g mass averaged SAR**

Error description	Uncertainty (%)	Distrib.	Divisor	$c_i$ 10g	Standard unc 10g (%)	$v_i^2$ or $v_{eff}$
<b>Measurement system</b>						
Probe calibration	± 4.4	Normal	1	1	± 4.4	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	± 3.9	∞
Spatial resolution	± 0.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.0	∞
Boundary effects	± 5.5	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 3.2	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.4	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Mech. Constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrap. and integration	± 3.9	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
<b>Test sample related</b>						
Device positioning	± 6.0	Normal	0.89	1	± 6.7	12
Device holder uncertainty	± 5.0	Normal	0.84	1	± 5.9	8
Power drift	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.9	∞
<b>Phantom and setup</b>						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.4	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas)	± 10.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 2.9	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.4	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas)	± 5.0	Rectang.	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.4	∞
<b>Combined standard uncertainty</b>					± 13.3	
<b>Extended standard uncertainty (k=2)</b>					<b>± 26.6</b>	