



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

SecurityClass
REPORT

No.

GUG/N03 :012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

SAR Test Report: T106 (PY71272101)

Date of test:

January 15 to 22, 2003

Laboratory:

Electromagnetic Near Field and Radio Frequency
Dosimetry Lab Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB
Nya Vatenttornet
SE-221 82 LUND, Sweden

Test Responsible:

H. Kami Shirazi
Type Approval Engineer
Kami.shirazi@sonyericsson.com + 46 46 23 26 44

Statement of Compliance

Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB declares under its sole responsibility that the product

Sony Ericsson Type 1272101-BV (T106); FCC ID: PY71272101

to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate RF exposure standards recommendations and guidelines. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(None)

© Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB, 2002

This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Sony Ericsson encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this report.



SecurityClass
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

1 Table of contents

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2

2 INTRODUCTION 3

3 DEVICE UNDER TEST 3

 3.1 ANTENNA DESCRIPTION 3

 3.2 DEVICE DESCRIPTION 3

4 TEST EQUIPMENT 4

 4.1 DOSIMETRIC SYSTEM 4

 4.2 ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT 4

5 ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS ON THE TISSUE 4

SIMULATING LIQUID 4

6 SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION 5

7 SAR MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 6

8 TEST RESULTS 7

9 REFERENCES 8

10 APPENDIX ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

 10.1 SAR DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON FOR SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION .. **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**

 10.2 SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOT **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**

 10.3 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST 13

 10.4 DEVICE POSITION ON SAM TWINS PHANTOM 16

 10.5 PROBE CALIBRATION PARAMETERS 18

 10.6 Reference SAR value for system validation using body material with No. EUS/CV/R-01:1118/REP



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

2 Introduction

In this test report, compliance of the Sony Ericsson T106 portable telephone with RF safety guidelines is demonstrated. The applicable RF safety guidelines and the SAR measurement specifications used for the test are described in the *SAR Measurement Specifications of Wireless Handsets* [1].

3 Device under Test

3.1 Antenna Description

Type	Internal antenna	
Location	Inside the back cover, near the top	
Dimensions	Max length	38mm
	Max width	14mm
Configuration	PIFA	

3.2 Device description

Device model	T106
Serial number	M12C27B13163
Mode	GSM 850
Multiple Access Scheme	TDMA
Maximum Output Power Setting	32.5dBm
Factory Tolerance in Power Setting	± 0.5dB
Maximum Peak Output Power	33dBm
Crest Factor	8
Transmitting Frequency Range	(824.2 – 848.8) MHz
Prototype or Production Unit	Preproduction
Device Category	Portable
RF exposure environment	General population / uncontrolled

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

4 Test equipment

4.1 Dosimetric system

SAR measurements were made using the DASY3 professional system (software version 3.1c) with SAM twin phantom, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The list of calibrated equipment is given below.

<i>Description</i>	<i>Serial Number</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
DASY3 DAE V1	428	4/2003
E-field probe ET3DV6	1584	12/2003
Dipole Validation Kit, D835 V2	438	2/2004

4.2 Additional equipment

<i>Description</i>	<i>Inventory Number</i>	<i>Due Date</i>
Signal generator ESG-D4000A	INV 462935	9/2003
Directional coupler HP778D	INV 2903	1/2003
Power meter R&S NRVD	INV 483920	1/2004
Power sensor R&S NRV-Z5	INV 2333	1/2004
Power sensor R&S NRV-Z5	INV 2334	1/2004
Termination 65N50-0-11	INV 2903	1/2003
Network analyzer HP8753C	INV421671	8/2003
S-parameter test set HP85047A	INV 421670	8/2003
Dielectric probe kit HP8507D	INV 2000053	2/2004
Wavetek STABILOK 4031D	INV 421578	7/2003

5 Electrical parameters on the tissue

Simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity, ϵ_r , and the conductivity, σ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the dielectric probe kit. These values are shown in the table below. The mass density, ρ , entered into the DASY3 software is also given. Recommended limits for permittivity ϵ_r , conductivity σ and mass density ρ are also shown.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

Application Note: The head and body tissue dielectric parameter recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table is prepared according to the following receipts. **For 835MHz Head: Water 41.45%, Salt 1.45%, Sugar 56.0% HEC 1.0%, Bactericide 0.1%. For Body 835MHz: Water 52.4%, Salt 1.4%, Sugar 45.0% HEC 1.0%, Bactericide 0.1%**

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
			ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ρ (g/cm ³)
850	Head	Measured January 16, 2003	39.8	0.87	1.0
		Recommended	41.5	0.90	1.0
	Muscle	Measured January 18, 2003	55.9	1.00	1.0
		Recommended	55.2	0.97	1.0

6 System accuracy verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY3 was performed using the dipole validation kit listed in section 3.1. The system verification test was conducted on the same day as the measurement of the DUT. Measurement made in ambient temperature 23.2 °C and humidity 40.8%. The obtained results are displayed in the table below. RF noise had been measured in liquid when all RF equipment in lab was set off. Measured value was 0.001 mW/g in 1g mass.

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Measured / Reference	SAR (W/kg) 1g mass	Dielectric Parameters			t (°C)
				ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ρ (g/cm ³)	
850	Head	Measured, January 16, 2003	9.83	39.8	0.87	1.0	23.1
		Reference EUS/CV/R-01:1118/REP	9.81	40.0	0.87	1.0	-
	Muscle	Measured, January 18, 2003	10.2	55.9	1.00	1.0	23.1
		Reference EUS/CV/R-01:1118/REP	9.92	53.3	0.93	1.0	-



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

7 SAR measurement uncertainty

SAR measurement uncertainty evaluation for Sony Ericsson T106 phone

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	GSM 850 Head	GSM 850 Body
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	2.6	N	1	2.6	2.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1.9	1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	3.9	3.9
Boundary Effect	11.0	R	√3	6.4	6.4
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	2.7	2.7
System Detection Limits	1.0	R	√3	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	R	√3	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.8	R	√3	1.1	1.1
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	R	√3	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioned Mechanical Tolerance	0.4	R	√3	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	R	√3	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithm for Max. SAR	3.9	R	√3	2.3	2.3
Measurement System Uncertainty				9.4	9.4
Test Sample Related					
Test Sample Positioning		R	√3	6.7	6.7
Device Holder Uncertainty		R	√3	5.9	5.9
Output Power Variation – Drift	GSM 850 5.4/0.9	R	√3	3.1	0.5
Test Sample Related Uncertainty				9.5	8.9
Phantom and Tissue Parameters					
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	√3	2.3	2.3
Liquid Conductivity (deviation from target value)	GSM 850 3.3/3.1	R	√3	1.9	1.8
Liquid Conductivity – measurement uncertainty	5	R	√3	2.9	2.9
Liquid Permittivity (deviation from target value)	GSM 850 4.0/1.3	R	√3	2.3	0.8
Liquid Permittivity – measurement uncertainty	5	R	√3	2.9	2.9
Phantom and Tissue Parameters Uncertainty				5.6	5.1
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS	14.5	13.9
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)				29	27.8



SecurityClass
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

8 Test results

The measured 1-gram averaged SAR values of the device against the head and the body are provided in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The humidity and ambient temperature of test facility were 44.4% - 40.8% and 22.5 °C – 24.2 °C respectively. The depth of the head tissue simulating liquid was 15.1cm and of the muscle tissue simulating liquid was 15.5cm. A base station simulator was used to control the device during the SAR measurement. The phone was supplied with full-charged battery for each measurement.

For head measurement, the device was tested on the right-hand phantom (corresponding to the right side of the head) and the left-hand phantom in two phone position, cheek (touch) and tilt (cheek + 15deg). The device was tested at the lowest, middle and highest frequencies in the transmit band.

Mode	Channel	Peak Output Power(dBm)	Phone Position	Liquid temp(°C)	SAR (w/kg) in 1g/10g mass	
					Right-hand	Left-hand
					Measured	Measured
850 GSM	128	32.2	Cheek	22.6/22.7	1.10/0,73	1.05/0,69
			Tilt	22.6/22.9	0.69/0,46	0.70/0,46
	190	32.5	Cheek	22.6/22.7	1.10/0,74	1.09/0,71
			Tilt	22.6/22.9	0.66/0,44	0.66/0,43
	251	32.9	Cheek	22.6/22.7	0.87/0,58	0.97/0,63
			Tilt	22.6/22.9	0.58/0,39	0.59/0,37

Table1: SAR measurement results for Sony Ericsson T106 telephone. Measured against the head.

For body-worn measurements, the device was tested against flat phantom representing the user body. Under measurement the phone was hold under the flat phantom and with 15mm distance, the measurement provides for both front and back part the phone to the phantom.

Mode	Channel	Peak Output Power(dBm)	Phone Position	Liquid temp(°C)	SAR(W/kg) in 1g/10g mass
					Measured
850 GSM	128	32.2	Back	22.6	0.83/0,52
			Front	22.7	0.52/0,35
	190	32.5	Back	22.7	0.67/0,45
			Front	22.8	0.47/32
	251	32.9	Back	22.8	0.58/0,39
			Front	22.9	0.37/0,25

Table 2: SAR measurement results for Sony Ericsson T106 telephone. Measured against the body.



Sony Ericsson

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

9 References

[1] M.Douglas, "SAR Measurement Specification of Wireless Handsets", Sony Ericsson internal document EUS/CV/R-01:1061/REP

[2] FCC, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields: Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Emissions," Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97- 01).

[3] IEEE, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques," Std 1528-200x, Draft 6.5 – August 20, 2001.

SecurityClass
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File

T106


SAM Phantom 1 Phantom; Righ Hand Section; Position: (91°,301°); Frequency: 824 MHz

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1584;ConvF(7.00,7.00,7.00); Crest factor: 8.0; Head 835MHz: $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.10 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.734 mW/g (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.23 dB



Distributions of max SAR in GSM 850 mode at 824.2MHz.measured against the head for cheek phone position January 15, 2003

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File


T106

SAM Phantom 1 Phantom; Right Hand Section; Position: (91°,301°); Frequency: 824 MHz

 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1584;ConvF(7.00,7.00,7.00); Crest factor: 8.0; Head 835MHz: $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 39.8$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.10 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.734 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0



Z(x) distribution of max SAR in GSM 850 mode at 824.2MHz. Measured against the head for cheek phone position January 15, 2003

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File
T106


SAM Phantom 1 Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 824 MHz

 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1584;ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Crest factor: 8.0; Muscle 835MHz: $\sigma = 1.00 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 55.9$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.828 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.554 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.04 dB



Distributions of max SAR in GSM 850 mode at 824.2MHz.measured against the body for back phone+15mm distance from flat position of the phantom January 22, 2003

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File


T106

SAM Phantom 1 Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,270°); Frequency: 824 MHz

 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1584;ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Crest factor: 8.0; Muscle 835MHz: $\sigma = 1.00 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 55.9$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.828 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.554 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0



Z(x) distribution of max SAR in GSM 850 mode at 824.2MHz.measured against the body for back phone+15mm distance from flat position of the phantom January 22,2003

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320


Rev

B

Reference

File

9.1 Photographs of the device under test



Front side

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B

Reference

File



Left side

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date


030320

Rev


B

Reference

File



Back side



Battery

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320


Rev

B

Reference

File

9.2 Device position on SAM Twins Phantom



Device position against the head: Cheek (touch) phone position

SecurityClass
REPORT

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date


030320

Rev


B

Reference

File



Device position against the head: Tilt (cheek+15deg) phone position



Device position against the body: Backside Phone holds 15cm away from the flat position of phantom

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

**SecurityClass
REPORT**

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev


B

Reference

File

9.3 Probe calibration parameters

Conversion Factor Assessment



Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
	ConvF X	$7.0 \pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	$7.0 \pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha
	ConvF Z	$7.0 \pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth
			0.37
			2.32
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
	ConvF X	$5.4 \pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	$5.4 \pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha
	ConvF Z	$5.4 \pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth
			0.51
			2.36



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)

LD/SEM/GUG/NM/H. Kami Shirazi

Approved

LD/SEM/GUG/NMC/Mats Hansson

Checked

No.

GUG/NV 03:012

Date

030320

Rev

B


Reference

File

ET3DV6 SN:1684

December 19, 2002

Conversion Factor Assessment



Body	835 MHz	$\sigma_c = 55.2 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Body	900 MHz	$\sigma_c = 55.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.05 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
	ConvF X	$6.6 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	$6.6 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Alpha
	ConvF Z	$6.6 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Depth
			0.35
			2.50
Body	1900 MHz	$\sigma_c = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Body	1800 MHz	$\sigma_c = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
	ConvF X	$5.0 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	$5.0 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Alpha
	ConvF Z	$5.0 \pm 9.5\% (k=2)$	Depth
			0.67
			2.14