

Test Report Prepared By:

Electronics Test Centre  
27 East Lake Hill  
Airdrie, Alberta  
Canada  
T4A 2K3

[sales@etc-mpbtech.com](mailto:sales@etc-mpbtech.com)  
<http://www/etc-mpb.com>


Telephone: 1-403-912-0037

ETC Report #: s22e25a169 Release 1

Date: May 08, 2025

**EMC testing of the Spyder Controls Corporation RF Bow Keypad, Nitro is  
in accordance with FCC Part 15.231 (e) and ANSI C63.10-2013.**

Tested by: Brendan Van Hee, Youssef Metwally  
Test Dates: April 03-04, 2025  
Prepared for: Spyder Controls Corporation  
7102 - 52 St. #10  
Lacombe, Alberta  
Canada  
T4L 1Y9  
Telephone: 1-(403) 782-9738



---

Imran Akram  
[iakram@etc-mpbtech.com](mailto:iakram@etc-mpbtech.com)  
EMC Technologist  
Electronics Test Centre (Airdrie)



---

Marc Rousseau  
[marc.rousseau@mpbc.ca](mailto:marc.rousseau@mpbc.ca)  
QA Manager  
Electronics Test Centre (Airdrie)

## REVISION RECORD

ISSUE	DATE	AUTHOR	REVISIONS
DRAFT 1	2025-04-08	I. Akram	Initial draft submitted for review.
DRAFT 2	2025-05-06	I. Akram	Added FCC ID/Serial#
Release 1	2025-05-08	I. Akram	Sign Off

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	Scope.....	4
1.2	Applicant.....	4
1.3	Test Sample Description.....	4
1.4	General Test Conditions .....	4
1.5	Reference Standards .....	5
1.6	Test Methodology.....	5
1.6.1	Variations in Test Methodology.....	5
1.6.2	Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications .....	5
1.6.3	Uncertainty of Measurement:.....	5
2.0	TEST CONCLUSION	6
2.1	AC Power Line Conducted Emissions: Transmit Mode.....	7
2.2	Channel Occupied Bandwidth .....	8
2.2.1	Test Methodology: ANSI C63.10:2013.....	8
2.2.2	Deviations From The Standard:.....	8
2.2.3	Test Equipment.....	8
2.2.4	Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications .....	9
2.2.5	Summary of test Results / Plots:.....	9
2.3	Duty Cycle Correction Factor .....	11
2.3.1	Test Methodology: ANSI C63.10:2013.....	11
2.3.2	Deviations From The Standard:.....	11
2.3.3	Test Equipment.....	11
2.3.4	Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications .....	12
2.3.5	Summary of test Results / Plots:.....	12
2.4	Transmission Time .....	15
2.4.1	Test Methodology:.....	15
2.4.2	Deviations From The Standard:.....	15
2.4.3	Test Equipment.....	15
2.4.4	Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications .....	16
2.4.5	Summary of test Results / Plots:.....	16
2.5	EUT Positioning Assessment.....	19
2.6	Radiated Spurious Emissions .....	20
2.6.1	Test Methodology: ANSI C63.10-2013, Clause 6.6.4 .....	22
2.6.2	Deviations From The Standard:.....	22
2.6.3	Test Equipment.....	23
2.6.4	Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications .....	23
2.6.5	Radiated Emissions Data: TX 431.06 MHz.....	24
2.6.6	Radiated Emissions Data: 433.06 MHz .....	27
2.7	RF Exposure.....	32
3.0	TEST FACILITY	33
3.1	Location.....	33
3.2	Grounding Plan .....	33
3.3	Power Supply.....	33
3.4	Emissions Profile .....	33
	End of Document	34

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Scope**

The purpose of this report is to present the results of compliance testing performed in accordance with FCC Part 15.231(e) and ANSI C63.10-2013. All test procedures, limits, criteria, and results described in this report apply only to the Spyder Controls Corporation test sample, referred to herein as the EUT (Equipment Under Test).

The samples have been provided by the customer and good condition.

This report does not imply product endorsement by the Electronics Test Centre, A2LA, nor any Canadian Government agency.

### **1.2 Applicant**

This test report has been prepared for Spyder Controls Corporation, located in Lacombe, Alberta, Canada.

### **1.3 Test Sample Description**

As provided to ETC (Airdrie) by Spyder Controls Corporation:

Product Name:	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	
Frequency Band	260-470 MHz	
Type of Modulation	FSK	
Frequency	431.06 MHz, 433.06 MHz	
Associated Antenna	Non-Detachable, Loop, -6 dBi	
Model #	BSSPZEA00	
Serial #	N0000001	
Max Spurious Emission	53.99 dBuv/m (Avg)	
Max. 20dB Bandwidth	268.6 KHz	
Power:	Internal Battery	
<b>Note:</b> Worse case evaluation analysis for both channels is performed on both variants Ranger and <b>Nitro</b> model was selected to perform full radiated spurious emission analysis as it found slightly worse than two variant. Full emission scan is performed on both channels. The variant enclosures are of the same materials, with the only little bit difference in their geometry. Both variant using the same PCB.		
Variant	Enclosure	PCB
NITRO		
Ranger		

The device is a wireless device. It incorporates an internal antenna.

### **1.4 General Test Conditions**

The EUT was set up and exercised using the configurations, modes of operation and arrangements defined in this report only. All inputs and outputs to and from other equipment associated with the EUT were adequately simulated.

Where relevant, the EUT was only tested using the monitoring methods and test criteria defined in this report.

The environmental conditions are recorded during each test, and are reported in the relevant sections of this document.

## 1.5 Reference Standards

Standards	Description
FCC, title 47 CFR § 15.231	Periodic operation in the band 40.66-40.70 MHz and above 70 MHz.
FCC, title 47 CFR § 15.207	Conducted limits for an intentional radiator that is designed to be connected to the public utility (AC) power line.
FCC, title 47 CFR § 15.107	Conducted limits for equipment that is designed to be connected to the public utility (AC) power line.
FCC, title 47 CFR § 15.209	Radiated emission limits; general requirements
FCC, title 47 CFR § 15.109	Radiated emission limits; from unintentional radiators digital devices.
ANSI C63.10:2013/2020	American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices
ANSI C63.4-2014	American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Radio – Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the range of 9 KHz to 40 GHz

## 1.6 Test Methodology

Test methods are specified in the Basic Standard as referenced and/or modified by the Product Standard in the part of Section 2 of this report associated with each particular test case.

### 1.6.1 Variations in Test Methodology

Any variance in methodology or deviation from the reference Standard is documented in the part of Section 2 of this report associated with each particular Test Case.

### 1.6.2 Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications

EUT setup, configuration, protocols for operation and monitoring of EUT functions, and any modifications performed in order to meet the requirements, are detailed in each Test Case of Section 2 of this report.

### 1.6.3 Uncertainty of Measurement:

The factors contributing to uncertainty of measurement are identified and calculated in accordance with CISPR 16-4.

This uncertainty estimate represents an expended uncertainty expressed at approximately 95% confidence using a coverage factor of  $k = 2$ .

Test Method	Uncertainty
Radiated Emissions Level (30 MHz – 1 GHz)	±5.8 dB
Radiated Emissions Level (1 GHz – 18 GHz)	±4.9 dB

## 2.0 TEST CONCLUSION

### STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The customer equipment referred to in this report was found to comply with the requirements, as summarized below.

The measurement uncertainty is not accounted for determination of the statement of compliance. The statement of compliance is based only on the measurement value recorded.

The EUT was subjected to the following tests. Compliance status is reported as **Compliant** or **Non-compliant**. **N/A** indicates the test was Not Applicable to the EUT.

**Note:** Maintenance of compliance is the responsibility of the Manufacturer. Any modifications to the product should be assessed to determine their potential impact on the compliance status of the EUT with respect to the standards detailed in this test report.

The following table summarizes the tests performed in terms of the specification, class or performance criterion applied, and the EUT modification state.

Test Case	Test Type	Specification	Test Sample	Mods	Config.	Result
2.1	AC Conducted Emissions	FCC Part 15.207(a)	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	see § 2.1	<b>N/A</b>
2.2	Duty Cycle	ANSI C63.10 FCC part15.35(c)	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	see § 2.2	<b>Compliant</b>
2.3	Occupied Bandwidth	ANSI C63.10 FCC part 15.231(c)	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	see § 2.3	<b>Compliant</b>
2.4	Transmission Time	FCC Part 15.231(e)	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	see § 2.4	<b>Compliant</b>
2.5	EUT Position	ANSI C63.10	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	see § 2.5	<b>Fix Position</b>
2.6	Tx Radiated Emissions	FCC Part 15.231(e), FCC15.209, FCC 15.205	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	see § 2.6	<b>Compliant</b>
2.7	RF Exposure	FCC Part 1.1307(b)(1), KDB 447798	RF Bow Keypad, Nitro	none	N/A	<b>Exempt</b>

Refer to the test data for applicable test conditions.

## 2.1 AC Power Line Conducted Emissions: Transmit Mode

Test Lab: Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie	EUT: RF Bow Keypad, Nitro
Test Personnel:	Standard: FCC Part 15.207
Date:	Basic Standard: ANSI C63.10-2013

**EUT status: N/A**

**Comments:** The device is only powered by an internal battery, or a vehicle DC supply.  
There is no connection to the AC mains

## 2.2 Channel Occupied Bandwidth

<b>Test Lab:</b> Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie	<b>EUT:</b> RF Bow Keypad, Nitro
<b>Test Personnel:</b> Brendan Van Hee	<b>Standard:</b> FCC PART 15.231
<b>Date:</b> 2025-04-04 (21.8° C, 16.0% RH)	<b>Basic Standard:</b> ANSI C63.10: 2013
<b>EUT status: Compliant</b>	

### Specification: FCC Part 15.231(c)

The bandwidth of the emission shall be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency for devices operating above 70 MHz and below 900 MHz. For devices operating above 900 MHz, the emission shall be no wider than 0.5% of the center frequency. Bandwidth is determined at the points 20 dB down from the modulated carrier.

#### 2.2.1 Test Methodology: ANSI C63.10:2013

This measurement is performed with modulation.

The RF output of EUT with an antenna connector is fed to the input of the spectrum analyzer through appropriate attenuation. If the EUT antenna is integral to the device, an antenna is placed to capture the transmitted signals.

The spectrum analyzer is set for a frequency span selected to clearly display the channel. The RBW is set  $\geq$  1% of the 20 dB BW. The Peak detector is used, with the trace set to Max Hold.

The automated 99% BW function of the spectrum analyzer is engaged, and the 20 dB OBW is measured with the x dB function.

#### 2.2.2 Deviations From The Standard:

There were no deviations from the EUT setup or methodology specified in the standard.

#### 2.2.3 Test Equipment


Testing was performed with this equipment:

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	Asset #	Cal. Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Cal. Due (yyyy-mm-dd)
EMI receiver	Agilent	N9038A (FW A.25.05)	6130	2024-08-15	2025-08-15
Antenna	SunAR RF Motion	JB1	6905	2023-11-29	2025-11-29
Temp/RH logger	Extech	42270	5892	2024-04-08	2025-04-08
Emission Cable (30 – 1000 MHz)	IW	KPS-1501A-3600	4419	2025-01-31	2026-01-31
Pre- Amplifier	Hp	8447D	9242	2025-01-31	2026-01-31

#### 2.2.4 Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications

The EUT was set to transmit continuously by programming to simulate the continuous press on the button. The output was modulated as in normal operation. The EUT met the requirements without modification.

#### EUT configuration for Occupied Bandwidth testing:




#### 2.2.5 Summary of test Results / Plots:


Carrier Frequency [MHz]	Maximum Limit 20dB BW [KHz]	Measured 20dB BW [KHz]	Margin [KHz]
431.06	1077.650	267.1	810.55
433.06	1082.650	268.6	814.05

**Screen Captures from the spectrum analyzer:**

**20dB BW @ 431.06 MHz:**



**20dB BW @ 433.06 MHz:**



### 2.3 Duty Cycle Correction Factor

Test Lab: Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie	EUT: RF Bow Keypad, Nitro
Test Personnel: Brendan Van Hee	Standard: FCC Part 15.231
Date: 2025-04-04 (21.8° C, 16.0% RH)	Basic Standard: ANSI C63.10-2013
<b>EUT status: Compliant</b>	

#### Specification:

Measurements of duty cycle and transmission duration shall be performed using one of the following techniques:

b) The zero-span mode on a spectrum analyzer or EMI receiver if the response time and spacing between bins on the sweep are sufficient to permit accurate measurements of the ON and OFF times of the transmitted signal

**§15.35(c)**, when the radiated emission limits are expressed in terms of the average value of the emission, and pulsed operation is employed, the measurement field strength shall be determined by averaging over one complete pulse train, including blanking intervals, as long as the pulse train does not exceed 0.1 seconds

#### 2.3.1 Test Methodology: ANSI C63.10:2013

This measurement is performed with modulation.

Set the spectrum analyzer to Zero-Span (time domain), centered on the channel frequency. Adjust the sweep time to clearly capture the transmitted signal. Set the RBW to  $\geq 100$  kHz. Set the VBW to 3\* RBW. Use the Peak detector. Use the Marker functions to measure the 'on time' of the transmitter. This may require adding up the pulses within the Tx burst. Capture a 100 ms time span to determine the Duty Cycle.

#### 2.3.2 Deviations From The Standard:

There were no deviations from the EUT setup or methodology specified in the standard.

#### 2.3.3 Test Equipment

Testing was performed with this equipment:


Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	Asset #	Cal. Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Cal. Due (yyyy-mm-dd)
EMI receiver	Agilent	N9038A (FW A.25.05)	6130	2024-08-15	2025-08-15
Antenna	SunAR RF Motion	JB1	6905	2023-11-29	2025-11-29
Temp/RH logger	Extech	42270	5892	2024-04-08	2025-04-08
Emission Cable (30 – 1000 MHz)	IW	KPS-1501A-3600	4419	2025-01-31	2026-01-31
Pre- Amplifier	Hp	8447D	9242	2025-01-31	2026-01-31

### 2.3.4 Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications

The EUT does not support continuous transmission, voice, video or the remote control of toys. The EUT sends a control signal to trigger a display function in response to a button being pressed by the operator. The EUT transmission was manually initiated.

The EUT met the requirements without modification.

### EUT configuration for Periodic Operation testing:



### 2.3.5 Summary of test Results / Plots:

#### Duty Cycle Calculation

The Duty Cycle is defined as the ratio of the 'On' time during a 100 ms interval.

$$\text{Duty Cycle} = (\text{Pulse Length in ms}) / 100$$

The Duty Cycle Correction Factor is determined according to the following equation:

$$@ 431.06 \text{ MHz, Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)} = 20 * \log_{10}(\text{Duty Cycle})$$

$$\text{Pulse Width: } 116.0\mu\text{s} + 5.042\text{ms} = 5.158\text{ms} = 5.16$$

$$\text{Total ON time} = 5.16 \times 2 = 10.32$$

$$\text{Duty Cycle} = 10.32 / 100 = 0.103$$

$$\text{DCCF} = 20 * \log_{10}(0.103) = -19.74 \text{ dB}$$

$$@ 433.06 \text{ MHz, Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)} = 20 * \log_{10}(\text{Duty Cycle})$$

$$\text{Pulse Width: } 119.9\mu\text{s} + 5.023\text{ms} = 5.143\text{ms}$$


$$\text{Total ON time} = 5.14 \times 2 = 10.28$$

$$\text{Duty Cycle} = 10.28 / 100 = 0.1028 = 0.103$$


$$\text{DCCF} = 20 * \log_{10}(0.103) = -19.74 \text{ dB}$$

**Note: The 100 ms traces show some bleed-through from the adjacent carrier frequency, but at more than 50 dB below the frequency of interest, this was not considered as part of the DCCF calculation.**


## 431.06 MHz pulse width:




## 431.06 MHz Duty Cycle:



## 433.06 MHz pulse width:



## 433 MHz Duty Cycle:



## 2.4 Transmission Time

<b>Test Lab:</b> Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie	<b>EUT:</b> RF Bow Keypad, Nitro
<b>Test Personnel:</b> Brendan Van Hee	<b>Standard:</b> FCC PART 15.231
<b>Date:</b> 2025-04-04 (21.8° C, 16.0% RH)	<b>Basic Standard:</b> ANSI C63.10: 2013
<b>EUT status: Compliant</b>	

### Specification: FCC Part 15.231(e)

According to FCC 15.231(e), devices operated under the provisions of this paragraph shall be provided with a means for automatically limiting operation so that the duration of each transmission shall not be greater than one second and the silent period between transmissions shall be at least 30 times the duration of the transmission but in no case less than 10 seconds.

#### 2.4.1 Test Methodology:

This measurement is performed with modulation.

With the EUT's antenna attached, the EUT's output signal was received by the test antenna, which was connected to the spectrum analyzer. Set the center frequency **to** 431.06MHz or 433.06MHz, than set the spectrum analyzer to Zero Span for the release time reading. During the testing, the switch was released then the EUT automatically deactivated.

#### 2.4.2 Deviations From The Standard:

There were no deviations from the EUT setup or methodology specified in the standard.

#### 2.4.3 Test Equipment


Testing was performed with this equipment:

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	Asset #	Cal. Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Cal. Due (yyyy-mm-dd)
EMI receiver	Agilent	N9038A (FW A.25.05)	6130	2024-08-15	2025-08-15
Antenna	SunAR RF Motion	JB1	6905	2023-11-29	2025-11-29
Temp/RH logger	Extech	42270	5892	2024-04-08	2025-04-08
Emission Cable (30 – 1000 MHz)	IW	KPS-1501A-3600	4419	2025-01-31	2026-01-31
Pre- Amplifier	Hp	8447D	9242	2025-01-31	2026-01-31

#### 2.5.4 Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications

With the EUT's antenna attached, the EUT's output signal was received by the test antenna, which was connected to the spectrum analyzer. Set the center frequency to 431.06MHz or 433.06MHz

#### EUT configuration for Occupied Bandwidth testing:




#### 2.4.5 Summary of test Results / Plots:


Item	Frequency (MHz)	Measured Value	Limit	Result
Transmission Time	431.06	0.760 sec	< 1 sec	Compliant
	433.06	0.800 sec		
Silent time	431.06	>39.16 Sec	> 10 Sec	Compliant
	433.06	>39.12 Sec		
Silent Time /Transmission Time	431.06	> 51.53	> 30	Compliant
	433.06	> 48.9		

## 431.06 MHz

Screen Captures from the spectrum analyzer: Transmission time




Screen Captures from the spectrum analyzer: Silent Time




## 433.06 MHz

Screen Captures from the spectrum analyzer: Transmission time



Screen Captures from the spectrum analyzer: Silent Time



## 2.5 EUT Positioning Assessment

Test Lab: Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie

EUT: RF Bow Keypad, Nitro

Test Personnel:

Standard: FCC Part 15.231


Date:

Basic Standard: ANSI C63.10-2013

### EUT Worse Axis: Not/applicable Fix Position in final installation

Specification: ANSI C63.4-2014, Clause 6.3.2.1

Portable, small, lightweight, or modular devices that may be handheld, worn on the body, or placed on a table during operation shall be positioned on a non-conducting platform, the top of which is 80 cm above the reference ground plane. The preferred area occupied by the EUT arrangement is 1 m by 1.5 m, but it may be larger or smaller to accommodate various sized EUTs (see Figure 6, Figure 7, and Figure 9). For testing purposes, ceiling- and wall-mounted devices also shall be positioned on a tabletop (see also 6.3.4 and 6.3.5). In making any tests involving handheld, body-worn, or ceiling-mounted equipment, it is essential to recognize that the measured levels may be dependent on the orientation (attitude) of the three orthogonal axes of the EUT. Thus, exploratory tests as specified in 8.3.1 shall be carried out for various axes orientations to determine the attitude having maximum or near-maximum emission level.



## 2.6 Radiated Spurious Emissions

<b>Test Lab:</b> Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie	<b>EUT:</b> RF Bow Keypad, Nitro
<b>Test Personnel:</b> Brendan Van Hee, Yousef Metwally	<b>Standard:</b> FCC Part 15.231(e) <b>Basic Standard:</b> ANSI C63.10-2013
<b>Date:</b> 2025-04-03 (21.9° C, 16.2% RH)	
<b>EUT status: Compliant</b>	

### Specification: FCC Part 15.231(e)

In addition to the provisions of §15.205, the field strength of emissions from intentional radiators operated under this section shall not exceed the following:

<b>Fundamental frequency (MHz)</b>	<b>Field strength of fundamental</b>		<b>Field strength of spurious emissions</b>	
	<b>(<math>\mu</math>V/m)</b>	<b>(dB<math>\mu</math>V/m)</b>	<b>(<math>\mu</math>V/m)</b>	<b>(dB<math>\mu</math>V/m)</b>
40.66-40.70	1000	60	100	40
70-130	500	53.98	50	33.98
130-174	500 to 1500*	53.98 to 63.52*	50 to 150*	33.98 to 43.52*
174-260	1,500	63.52	150	43.52
<b>260-470</b>	<b>1,500 to 5,000*</b>	<b>63.52 to 73.98*</b>	<b>150 to 500*</b>	<b>43.52 to 53.98*</b>
Above 470	5,000	73.98	500	53.98

\*Linear interpolations.

(1) The above field strength limits are specified at a distance of 3 meters. The tighter limits apply at the band edges.

(2) Intentional radiators operating under the provisions of this section shall demonstrate compliance with the limits on the field strength of emissions, as shown in the above table, based on the average value of the measured emissions. As an alternative, compliance with the limits in the above table may be based on the use of measurement instrumentation with a CISPR quasi-peak detector. The specific method of measurement employed shall be specified in the application for equipment authorization. If average emission measurements are employed, the provisions in §15.35 for averaging pulsed emissions and for limiting peak emissions apply. Further, compliance with the provisions of §15.205 shall be demonstrated using the measurement instrumentation specified in that section.

(3) The limits on the field strength of the spurious emissions in the above table are based on the fundamental frequency of the intentional radiator. Spurious emissions shall be attenuated to the average (or, alternatively, CISPR quasi-peak) limits shown in this table or to the general limits shown in §15.209, whichever limit permits a higher field strength.

**§15.209 Radiated emission limits; general requirements.**

(a) Except as provided elsewhere in this subpart, the emissions from an intentional radiator shall not exceed the field strength levels specified in the following table:

Frequency (MHz)	Field strength		Measurement distance (meters)	
	( $\mu$ V/m)	(dB $\mu$ V/m)		
0.009-0.490	2400/F(kHz)	128.5- 93.8	300	3
0.490-1.705	24000/F(kHz)	73.8 – 62.97	30	3
1.705-30.0	30	69.54	30	3
30-88	100**	40	3	3
88-216	150**	43.52	3	3
216-960	200**	46.02	3	3
Above 960	500	53.98	3	3

\*\*Except as provided in paragraph (g), fundamental emissions from intentional radiators operating under this section shall not be located in the frequency bands 54-72 MHz, 76-88 MHz, 174-216 MHz or 470-806 MHz. However, operation within these frequency bands is permitted under other sections of this part, e.g., §15.231 and §15.241.

**Specification: ANSI C63.10-2013, Clause 5.9**

An unlicensed wireless device shall be tested to demonstrate that any emissions within restricted frequency bands specified by the regulatory authority are spurious emissions only. Unless otherwise specifically authorized, the spurious emission shall meet prescribed limits and the fundamental transmit signal shall not fall within these frequency bands. Test reports shall provide measured data to demonstrate compliance with these regulatory requirements.

**Restricted Bands of Operation:**

MHz	MHz	MHz	MHz	MHz	GHz	GHz
0.0900000 – 0.1100000	8.2910000 - 8.2940000	16.804250 - 16.804750	162.01250 - 167.17000 <span style="color: blue;">█</span>	1660.0000 – 1710.0000	3.6000000 – 4.4000000	14.470000 – 14.500000
0.4950000 - 0.5050000 <span style="color: blue;">█</span>	8.3620000 - 8.3660000	25.500000 - 25.670000	167.72000 - 173.20000 <span style="color: blue;">█</span>	1718.8000 – 1722.2000	4.5000000 – 5.1500000	15.350000 – 16.200000
2.1735000 - 2.1905000	8.3762500 - 8.3867500	37.500000 - 38.250000	240.00000 – 285.00000	2200.0000 – 2300.0000	5.3500000 – 5.4600000	17.700000 – 21.400000
4.1250000 - 4.1280000	8.4142500 - 8.4147500	73.000000 - 74.600000	322.00000 - 335.40000	2310.0000 – 2390.0000	7.2500000 – 7.7500000	22.010000 – 23.120000
4.1772500 - 4.1777500	12.290000 - 12.293000	74.800000 - 75.200000	399.90000 – 410.00000	2483.5000 – 2500.0000 <span style="color: blue;">█</span>	8.0250000 – 8.5000000	23.600000 – 24.000000
4.2072500 - 4.2077500	12.519750 - 12.520250	108.00000 - 121.94000 <span style="color: yellow;">**</span>	608.00000 – 614.00000	2655.0000 – 2900.0000	9.0000000 – 9.2000000	31.200000 – 31.800000
5.6770000 - 5.6830000	12.576750 - 12.577250	123.00000 - 138.00000 <span style="color: yellow;">**</span>	960.00000 – 1240.00000 <span style="color: yellow;">***</span>	3260.0000 – 3267.0000	9.3000000 – 9.5000000	36.430000 – 36.500000
6.2150000 - 6.2180000	13.360000 - 13.410000	149.90000 - 150.05000 <span style="color: blue;">█</span>	1300.0000 – 1427.0000 <span style="color: yellow;">***</span>	3332.0000 – 3339.0000	10.600000 – 12.700000	Above 38.600000
6.2677500 - 6.2682500	16.420000 - 16.423000	156.52475- 156.52525	1435.0000 – 1626.5000	3345.8000 – 3358.0000	13.250000 – 13.400000	
6.3117500 - 6.3122500	16.694750 - 16.695250	156.70000 - 156.90000	1645.5000 – 1646.5000	3500.0000 – 3600.0000 <span style="color: red;">****</span>		

\* US only

\*\* Canada 108 – 138 MHz

\*\*\* Canada 960 – 1427 MHz

\*\*\*\* Canada only

### **2.6.1 Test Methodology: ANSI C63.10-2013, Clause 6.6.4**

From 9 kHz to 150 kHz (resolution bandwidth of 200 Hz) and from 150 kHz to 30 MHz (resolution bandwidth 9 kHz) measurements are performed with a loop antenna.

From 30 MHz to 1000 MHz, measurements are performed with a broadband biconilog antenna and a resolution bandwidth of 120 kHz.

Above 1000 MHz, measurements are performed with a DRG Horn antenna or a Standard Gain horn, and a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz. The EUT is raised to 150 cm above the ground plane, and the area between the EUT and the antenna mast is covered with RF absorbent material.

The scan is performed at discreet increments of turntable azimuth and antenna height, which are selected in accordance with the applicable standard in order to assure capture of frequencies of interest. Optimization is performed based on the scan data.

Frequencies having peak emissions within 6 dB of the limits are optimized. The EUT is rotated in azimuth over 360 degrees and the direction of maximum emission is noted.

Antenna height is varied from 1 – 4 meters at this azimuth to obtain the maximum emission. Then the maximum level is measured with the appropriate detector and recorded. Up to 1 GHz, measurements are performed with a Quasi-Peak detector. Above 1 GHz, measurements are recorded with Peak and/or Average detectors, as applicable.

**Note:** The EUT was assessed for worst-case orientation. All radiated testing was performed with this orientation, as shown in the test setup photos.

### **2.6.2 Deviations From The Standard:**

There were no deviations from the EUT setup or methodology specified in the standard.

### 2.6.3 Test Equipment

Testing was performed with this equipment:


Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	Asset #	Calibration Date (yyyy mm dd)	Calibration Due-Date (yyyy mm dd)
EMC Software	UL	Ver. 9.5	SWE021	N/A	N/A
EMI receiver	Agilent	N9038A (FW A.25.05)	6130	2024-08-15	2025-08-15
Biconilog Antenna	SunAR RF Motion	JB1	6905	2023-11-29	2025-11-29
DRG Horn	Tensor	4105	9588	2023-06-28	2025-06-28
Temp/RH logger	Extech	42270	5892	2024-04-08	2025-04-08
Emission Cable (30 – 1000 MHz)	IW	KPS-1501A-3600	4419	2025-01-31	2026-01-31
Emission Cable (1000 – 5000 MHz)	A.H. System Inc.	SAC-26G-8.23	6187	2025-01-31	2026-01-31
Pre- Amplifier	Hp	8447D	9242	2025-01-31	2026-01-31
Low Noise Amplifier (1 – 18 GHz)	MITEQ	JS43-01001800-21-5P	4354	2025-01-31	2026-01-31

### 2.6.4 Test Sample Verification, Configuration & Modifications

The EUT was made to transmit continuously by programming to simulate the continuous press on the button. The output was modulated as in normal operation.

The EUT was not modified.

### EUT configuration for Radiated Spurious Emissions testing:



Above 1 GHz EUT Height is 150 cm.

### 2.6.5 Radiated Emissions Data: TX 431.06 MHz

The emissions data are presented in tabular form, showing antenna polarization, the uncorrected spectrum analyzer reading, the correction factors applied, the net result, the value of the limit at the frequency investigated, and the Delta between the result and the limit.

Marker NO.	Freq. (MHz)	Raw reading (dB $\mu$ V)	Antenna Factor (dB/m)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	Corrected Peak Field Strength Reading (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Peak Field Strength Limit (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Peak Field Strength Margin [dB]	Corrected Average Field Strength Reading (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Average Field Strength Limit	Average Field Strength Margin [dB]	Polarization
Field Strength of Fundamental test result												
1	431.06	90.34	20.7	-21.7	-19.74	89.34	92.77	-3.43	69.6	72.77	-3.17	Horizontal
3	431.06	92.1				91.1		-1.67	71.36		-1.41	Vertical
Field Strength of Spurious Emission test result												
2	862.20	60.47	25.9	-19.2	-19.74	67.17	74	-6.83	47.43	54	-6.57	Horizontal
4	862.40	58.87				65.57		-8.43	45.83		-8.17	Vertical
1	1293.6	83.14				73.14		-0.86	53.4		-0.6	Horizontal
7	1293.6	80.03				70.03		-3.97	50.29		-3.71	Vertical
2	1724.8	55.34				48.04		-25.96	28.3		-25.7	Horizontal
8	1724.9	57.65				50.35		-23.65	30.61		-23.39	Vertical
3	2156.0	67.8				61.7		-12.3	41.96		-12.04	Horizontal
9	2156.0	66.07				59.97		-14.03	40.23		-13.77	Vertical
4	2587.4	54.72				50.22		-23.78	30.48		-23.52	Horizontal
10	2587.4	51.49				46.99		-27.01	27.25		-26.75	Vertical
5	3018.5	57.44				54.34		-19.66	34.6		-19.40	Horizontal
11	3018.3	53.22				50.12		-23.88	30.38		-23.62	Vertical
6	3449.7	51.72				50.12		-23.88	30.38		-23.62	Horizontal
12	3449.5	50.32				48.72		-25.28	28.98		-25.02	Vertical
13	4309.7	40.66				41.06		-32.94	21.32		-32.68	Horizontal
14	4312.0	45.99				46.39		-27.61	26.65		-27.35	Horizontal
15	4743.2	50.84				52.64		-21.36	32.9		-21.10	Horizontal
16	3880.9	52.4				52.6		-21.4	32.86		-21.14	Vertical
17	4311.9	50.04				50.44		-23.56	30.7		-23.30	Vertical
18	4743.1	53.18				54.98		-19.02	35.24		-18.76	Vertical

Meter Reading in dB $\mu$ V + Antenna Factor in dB/m + Gain/Loss Factor in dB = Corrected Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m.  
Average field Strength (dB $\mu$ V/m) = Peak field strength(dB $\mu$ V/m) + Duty Cycle correction Factor (dB)

\* - Restricted Band,


Delta = Field Strength - Limit

#### Notes:


- Duty Cycle Correction Factor as calculated from §15.35(c)
- When a preamp is used, the resulting gain is compensated, producing a negative value for the Cable Loss.
- Measurements reported are the result of adjusting the turntable azimuth and antenna height to obtain the maximum EUT emission. This may produce a different reading than the plot trace. The plot is a Peak Hold function obtained at discreet increments of height and azimuth, while the reported measurement is obtained with the peak detector after the height and azimuth have been adjusted for maximum emission.
- Preliminary scans were performed in Transmit mode.
- The EUT was assessed up to 5 GHz.

**Negative values for Delta indicate compliance.**


### Plot of Radiated Emissions: Horizontal polarization




### Plot of Radiated Emissions: Vertical polarization



## Plot of Radiated Emissions: Horizontal polarization



## Plot of Radiated Emissions: Vertical polarization



### 2.6.6 Radiated Emissions Data: 433.06 MHz

The emissions data are presented in tabular form, showing antenna polarization, the uncorrected spectrum analyzer reading, the correction factors applied, the net result, the value of the limit at the frequency investigated, and the Delta between the result and the limit.

Marker NO.	Freq. (MHz)	Raw reading (dB $\mu$ V)	Antenna Factor (dB/m)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	Corrected Peak Field Strength Reading (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Peak Field Strength Limit (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Peak Field Strength Margin [dB]	Corrected Average Field Strength Reading (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Average Field Strength Limit	Average Field Strength Margin [dB]	Polarization
Field Strength of Fundamental test result												
1	433.06	90.45	20.8	-21.7	-19.74	89.55	92.84	-3.29	69.81	72.84	-3.03	Horizontal
3	433.06	92.72				91.82		-1.02	72.08		-0.76	Vertical
Field Strength of Spurious Emission test result												
2	866.2	60.43	26.0	-19.3	-19.74	67.13	74	-6.87	47.39	54	-6.61	Horizontal
4	866.2	58.52				65.22		-8.78	45.48		-8.52	Vertical
1	1299.3	83.73				73.73		-0.27	53.99		-0.01	Horizontal
2	1732.5	57.17				49.87		-24.13	30.13		-23.87	Horizontal
3	2165.4	68.94				62.84		-11.16	43.10		-10.9	Horizontal
4	2598.6	55.36				50.96		-23.04	31.22		-22.78	Horizontal
5	3031.7	55.28				52.18		-21.82	32.44		-21.56	Horizontal
6	3464.7	49.97				48.37		-25.63	28.63		-25.37	Horizontal
7	1299.3	77.35				67.35		-6.65	47.61		-6.39	Vertical
8	1732.4	58.85				51.55		-22.45	31.81		-22.19	Vertical
9	2165.5	65.43				59.33		-14.67	39.59		-14.41	Vertical
10	2598.5	55.41				51.01		-22.99	31.27		-22.73	Vertical
11	3031.7	52.07				48.97		-25.03	29.23		-24.77	Vertical
12	3464.8	50.69				49.09		-24.91	29.35		-24.65	Vertical
13	3897.9	52.51				52.71		-21.29	32.97		-21.03	Horizontal
14	4330.9	47.13				47.63		-26.37	27.89		-26.11	Horizontal
15	4762.7	49.74				51.64		-22.36	31.9		-22.10	Horizontal
16	3897.9	53.19				53.39		-20.61	33.65		-20.35	Vertical
17	4329.7	50.12				50.62		-23.38	30.88		-23.12	Vertical
18	4762.8	50.35				52.25		-21.75	32.51		-21.49	Vertical

Meter Reading in dB $\mu$ V + Antenna Factor in dB/m + Gain/Loss Factor in dB = Corrected Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m.  
Average field Strength (dB $\mu$ V/m) = Peak field strength(dB $\mu$ V/m) + Duty Cycle correction Factor (dB)

\* - Restricted Band,


Delta = Field Strength - Limit

#### Notes:


- Duty Cycle Correction Factor as calculated from §15.35(c)
- When a preamp is used, the resulting gain is compensated, producing a negative value for the Cable Loss.
- Measurements reported are the result of adjusting the turntable azimuth and antenna height to obtain the maximum EUT emission. This may produce a different reading than the plot trace. The plot is a Peak Hold function obtained at discreet increments of height and azimuth, while the reported measurement is obtained with the peak detector after the height and azimuth have been adjusted for maximum emission.
- Preliminary scans were performed in Transmit mode.
- The EUT was assessed up to 5 GHz.

**Negative values for Delta indicate compliance.**


## Plot of Radiated Emissions: Horizontal polarization




## Plot of Radiated Emissions: Vertical polarization




## Plot of Radiated Emissions: Horizontal polarization




## Plot of Radiated Emissions: Vertical polarization




### Plot of Radiated Emissions: Horizontal polarization (Ambient)




### Plot of Radiated Emissions: Vertical polarization (Ambient)



### Plot of Radiated Emissions: Horizontal polarization (Ambient)



### Plot of Radiated Emissions: Vertical polarization (Ambient)



## 2.7 RF Exposure

Test Lab: Electronics Test Centre, Airdrie

EUT: Spyder Controls

Standard: FCC PART 1.1307(b)(1), FCC  
§2.1091, §1.1310 and FCC KDB 447498:2015.

**EUT status: Exempt**

**Compliant:** See RF exposure evaluation submitted separately.

## **3.0 TEST FACILITY**

### **3.1 Location**

The Spyder Controls was tested for emissions at the Electronics Test Centre laboratory located in Airdrie, Alberta, Canada. The Radio Frequency Anechoic Chamber (RFAC), identified as Chamber 1, has a usable working space measuring 10.6 m long x 7.3 m wide x 6.5 m high.

Measurements taken at this site are accepted by Industry Canada as evidence of conformity per registration file # 2046A. This site is also listed with the FCC under Designation Number CA2046.

The floor, walls and ceiling consist of annealed steel panels. The walls and ceiling are covered with ferrite tile, augmented by RF absorbant foam material on the end wall nearest the turntable, and on the adjacent walls and the ceiling. The chamber floor supports a 15 cm high internal floor, constructed of annealed steel panels, that forms the ground plane, and is bonded to the chamber walls.

The 3-m diameter turntable is flush-mounted with the floor. A sub-floor cable-way is provided to route cables between the turntable pit and EUT support equipment located in the Control Room. Cables reach the EUT through an opening in the centre of the turntable.

Test instrumentation and EUT support equipment is located in the Control Room, consisting of two shielded vestibules joined together at the side of the main room. Cables are routed through bulkhead panels between the rooms and the test chamber as required. Power feeds are routed into the main room and vestibules through line filters providing at least 100 dB of attenuation between 10 kHz and 10 GHz.

Either floor mounted or table-top equipment can be tested at this facility.

### **3.2 Grounding Plan**

The Spyder Controls was placed at the centre of the test chamber turntable on top of a polystyrene foam table. The EUT was not grounded, in accordance with Spyder Controls Corporation specifications.

### **3.3 Power Supply**

All EUT power was supplied by internal battery.

### **3.4 Emissions Profile**

Ambient emission profiles were generated throughout the tests and are included in the test data.

## End of Document