FCC ID: OM3VTRAKH150



For MFA internal use only	Please keep this page with the <u>report</u> in out <u>files</u> .
·	
Applicant:	Galaxy Tracking Systems L.L.C.
Model:	VTRAKH150
FCC ID:	OM3VTRAKH150
FCC ID:	UMSVIKAKHISU
Formulaire:	L:\\Project\\Formulaire\\FCC.MPE.rtf
Last Modified:	2000-Oct-17
Purpose:	Environmental Assessment (MPE)
•	,
MFA Project ID:	n0420007
	p0430007
Client ID:	GALAXYTRACKING
MFA Document ID:	d0450022
Date:	May 7, 2004
This Printing	2004-Jun-23 Wed
Writer:	MF/cva
Wilce!!	111/644
< Check here if this report has bee	
< Check here if this report was ma	de from another report.
Original document:	
< Check here if this report varies s	ignificantly from the formulaire

FCC ID: OM3VTRAKH150

# M. Flom Associates, Inc. - Global Compliance Center

3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107, Chandler, Arizona 85224-1571 www.mflom.com info@mflom.com (480) 926-3100, FAX: 926-3598

Date:	May 7,	2004
-------	--------	------

Applicant: Galaxy Tracking Systems LLC

3120 Fire Road

Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234

Attention of: (215) 672-8005 ext. 131; FAX: -8708

John J. Rybarczyk, Senior Manager, Contracts E-mail: Jack.Rybar @GalaxyScientific.com

Mailing: Galaxy Scientific Corp.

600 Louis Dr., Suite 202 Warminster, PA 18974

Attention of: Michael O' Brien, Software Engineer

(215) 672-8005; FAX: -8708

email: michael.obrien@galaxyscientific.com

Equipment: VTRAKH150 FCC ID: OM3VTRAKH150

P.O. Number: GTS-2251

FCC Rules: Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

47 CFR 1.1310

MPE - Mobiles X Fixed Based Station \_\_\_\_\_

#### Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find your copy of the Supplemental Test Data Report, the whole for Environmental Assessment (MPE) of the referenced equipment as shown.

Should you need any clarification, just fax or phone. Thank you again for this order - it has been a pleasure to be of service.

Sincerely yours,

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

enclosure(s) MF/cva

FCC ID: OM3VTRAKH150

## **Environmental Assessment**

for

**Mobiles/Fixed Base Station** 

for

FCC ID: FCC ID: OM3VTRAKH150 Model:VTRAKH150

to

**Federal Communications Commission** 

47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE)

Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

Date Of Report: May 7, 2004

On the Behalf of the Applicant:

Galaxy Tracking Systems L.L.C.

At the Request of: P.O. GTS-2251

Galaxy Scientific Corp. 600 Louis Dr., Suite 202 Warminster, PA 18974

Attention of: Michael O' Brien, Software Engineer

(215) 672-8005; FAX: -8708

email: michael.obrien@galaxyscientific.com

Supervised By: Morton Flom, P. Eng.

## **Table of Contents**

Rule	Description	Page
	Test Report	1
	Identification of the Equipment Under Test	2
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	4
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	5



Page Number 1 of 7.

Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:

a) Test Report (Supplemental)

b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc.

(FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107

(Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225

c) Report Number: d0450022

d) Client: Galaxy Scientific Corp.

600 Louis Dr., Suite 202 Warminster, PA 18974

e) Identification: VTRAKH150

FCC ID: OM3VTRAKH150 Vehicle Tracking Unit

f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual tests.

g) Report Date: May 7, 2004 EUT Received: March 15, 2004

h, j, k): As indicated in individual tests.

i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.

I) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.

m) Supervised by:

Description:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

n) Results: The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.

o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written

permission from this laboratory.

2 of 7.

## **Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)**

## Name and Address of Applicant:

Galaxy Tracking Systems LLC 3120 Fire Road Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234

Manufacturer:	
Applicant	
FCC ID:	OM3VTRAKH150
Model Number:	VTRAKH150
Description:	Vehicle Tracking Unit
Type of Emission:	11K0F1D
Frequency Range, MHz:	150 to 174
Power Rating, Watts: Switchable X Variab	2 ole N/A
Modulation:	AMPS TDMA CDMA OTHER
Antenna:	Helical Monopole X Whip Other

**Note:** For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 3 dBi) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.

3 of 7.

## **NIST**



September 15, 1999

Mr. Morton Flom M. Flom Associates Inc. 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107 Chandler, AZ 85224

Dear Mr. Flom:

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chiesee Taipei Bureau of Standards, Metrology, and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Beonomic Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC MRA). Your laboratory is now formally designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, Phase I Procedures, of the APEC MRA) between the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRO) in the United States, covering equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and nominated laboratories will be posted on the NIST website at <a href="https://is.nist.gov/mra">https://is.nist.gov/mra</a> under the "Asia" category.

As of August 1, 1999, you may submit test data to BSMI to verify that the equipment to be imported into Chinese Talpei satisfies the applicable EMC requirements. Year assigned BSMI number is Ed2-IN-Re-Ball; you must use this number when sending test report to BSMI. Your designation will remain in force as long as your NYLAP and/or AZLA and/or BSMI accreditation remains valid for the CNS 13438.

Please note that BSMI requires that the entity making application for the present one that DSMI requires that the entry making application for itself approval of regulated equipment must make such application in person at their Taipei office. BSMI also requests the namet of the authorized signatories who are authorized to sign the test reports. You can send this information via fax to C-Taipei CAB Response Manager at 301-975-5414. I am also enclosing a copy of the cover sheet that, according to BSMI requirements, must accempany every test report. If you have any questions, please contact Robert Gladhill at 301-975-4273 or Joe Dhillon at 301-975-5521. We appreciate your continued interest in our international conformity assessment activities.

Sincerely.

peline Rollin Belinda L. Collins, Ph.D. Director, Office of Standards Services

Enclosure

NIST

# **Industry Canada**



Industrie Canada Industry Canada Certification and Engineering Bureau 1241 Clyde Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K2C 1Y3

February 24, 1998

Mr. M. Flom M. Flom Associates, Inc. 3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107 Chandler, Arizona 85224-1571

The Bureau has received your test report for the Open Area Test Site located at Chandler, Arizona, dated January 30, 1998 and the supplemental information received February 24, 1998. I have reviewed the report and find it complies with RSP 100, Issue 7, section 3.3 Description of Open Area Test Site.

Tel. No. (613) 952-3650 Fax. No. (613) 952-1088

Our File: 46327- 2044 Submission: 19320 O

The site is acceptable to Industry Canada for the performance of radiated measurements. Please reference the file number "IC 2044" in the body of all test reports containing measurements made on this site. This reference numbers is the indication of Industry Canada's acceptance of your site. Your company has been added to our published list of qualified sites on the Bureau's web page. It is located at: http://spectrum is ge. cas'-cert/ Please keep the contact information current by notifying us if it changes or is in error.

Keep informed of the latest Industry Canada regulations by visiting the Bureau's site on the World Wide Web;

http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/~cert/ or the Industry Canada main site at; http://strategis.ic.gc.ca

Whenever major construction or repairs to the site are completed, a re-submission of the tenuation characteristics will be required.

Yours sincerely.

Brian Kosper

Brian Kasper Head, EMC and Standards tification and Engineering Bu

Canadä

Page Number

4 of 7.

# Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2000, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of  $10^{\circ}$  to  $40^{\circ}$ C ( $50^{\circ}$  to  $104^{\circ}$ F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst-case measurements.

Page Number 5 of 7.

Name of Test: Environmental Assessment

**Specification**: FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310

**Measurement Guide**: ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992

**Test Equipment**: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system,

consisting of:

AR Field Sensor FP6001 (Calibrated Apr-04)

Measurement Procedure:

- 1. The following measurements were performed with a Narda probe using ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.
- 2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.
- 3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.
- 4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.
- 5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula Power Density = EIRP /  $4\pi R^2$  (Peak Watts/m<sup>2</sup>). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.
- 6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of  $0^{\circ}$  to  $360^{\circ}$ .
- 7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).

**Results**: Attached.

Page Number 6 of 7.

**Test Setup**: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



Page Number 7 of 7.

Name of Test: R.F. Radiation Exposure

FCC Rules: 1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091 Description, EUT: See page 2 of Test Report

 $Limit [mW/cm^2] = 100$ Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure 0.3-1.234 MHz: Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = (180/f^2)$ 47 CFR 1.1310 1.34-30 MHz: Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = 0.2$ Table 1, (B) 30-300 MHz: Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = f/1500$ 300-1500 MHz

1500-100,000 MHz: Limit  $[mW/cm^2] = 1.0$ 

150.05 162.00 173.95 Test Frequencies, MHz

Power, Conducted, W = 2 Antenna Gain = 3 dBiAntenna Model 1/4 Wave Whip

 $= 2.0 \times 2 \times 50\%$ Pre-test  $Power_{[W EIRP]} = P_{[conducted]} \times G_{[antenna]}$ 

Calculations Limit<sub>[mW/cm2]</sub> 0.2

 $Limit_{[W/m2]} = 10 \times Limit_{[mW/cm2]}$ 2.0

 $R_{[m]} = [P_{[W EIRP]} / (4\pi \times Limit_{[W/m2]})]^{1/2}$ =  $[2.0/(4\pi \times 2)]^{1/2}$ 0.40 = 40 cm

Results at		Power Density, mW/cm <sup>2</sup>				
tested	Probe Height,	Freq. 150.05MHz	Freq. 162.00MHz	Freq. 173.95MHz		
distances	m	Distance 40cm	Distance 40cm	Distance 40cm		
	2.0	0.075	0.079	0.081		
	1.8	0.081	0.083	0.080		
	1.6	0.096	0.097	0.102		
	1.4	0.128	0.124	0.119		
	1.2	0.134	0.133	0.141		
	1.0	0.150	0.152	0.148		
	0.8	0.140	0.148	0.144		
	0.6	0.139	0.140	0.137		
	0.4	0.121	0.127	0.119		
	0.2	0.098	0.092	0.076		

Power Density The measured power density readings were summed and the results Calculations: divided by the number of readings to calculate the average.

	150.05MHz	162.00MHz	173.95MHz
Whole body average $(0.2 - 2.0 \text{ m, mW/cm}^2) =$	0.116	0.147	0.143
Lower body average $(0.2 - 0.8 \text{ m, mW/cm}^2) =$	0.125	0.127	0.119
Upper body average $(1.0 - 2.0 \text{ m, mW/cm}^2) =$	0.111	0.111	0.112

### (The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual)

### **Mandatory Safety Instructions to Installers & Users**

Use only manufacturer or dealer supplied antenna.

#### Antenna Minimum Safe Distance 40cm.

Antenna Gain: +3 dB referenced to isotropic.

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

**Antenna Mounting**: The antenna supplied by the manufacturer or radio dealer must not be mounted at a location such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna i.e. 40cm.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

**Antenna Substitution**: Do not substitute any antenna for the one supplied or recommended by the manufacturer or radio dealer. You may be exposing person or persons to excess radio frequency radiation. You may contact your radio dealer or the manufacturer for further instructions.

**Warning**: Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to a person(s) of at least **40cm**.

You, as the qualified end-user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and nearby persons for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/Controlled Exposure Environment, for work-related use. Transmit only when person(s) are at least the minimum distance from the properly installed, externally mounted antenna.

# Testimonial and Statement of Certification

## This is to certify that:

- 1. **That** the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
- 2. **That** the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
- 3. **That** the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
- 4. **That**, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

Certifying Engineer:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.