

SAR TEST REPORT

Report Number: 3047435-002

Project Number: 3048625

October 20, 2003

**Evaluation of the
2100NC personal tracking system**

Model Number: 2250

FCC ID:

OAM2250

FCC Part 2.1093

For

ISECUREtrac Corp.

Test Performed by:
Intertek
731 Enterprise Drive
Lexington, KY 40510

Test Authorized by:
ISECUREtrac Corp.
5022 South 114th Street
Omaha, NE 68137

Prepared By:  Date: 1/23/2004

Bryan C. Taylor, Team Leader

Approved By: _____ Date: 1/23/2004

Reviewing Engineer, Title

This report may be reproduced only with the written permission of Intertek and if reproduced must be reproduced in its entirety.

This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

The results contained in this report were derived from measurements performed on the identified test samples. Any implied performance of other samples based on this report is dependent on the representative adequacy of the samples tested.



Intertek

731 Enterprise Drive, Lexington, KY 40510

Telephone: 859-226-1000 Fax: 859-226-1040 Web: www.etlsemko.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	JOB DESCRIPTION	4
2.1	CLIENT INFORMATION	4
2.2	TEST PLAN REFERENCE:	4
2.3	EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)	5
2.3.1	<i>System Support Equipment</i>	6
2.3.2	<i>Table 2-1: System Support Equipment</i>	6
2.3.3	<i>Cables associated with EUT</i>	6
2.3.4	<i>System Block Diagram</i>	6
2.3.5	<i>Justification</i>	7
2.3.6	<i>Mode(s) of operation</i>	7
2.4	MODIFICATIONS REQUIRED FOR COMPLIANCE	7
2.5	RELATED SUBMITTAL(S) GRANTS	7
2.6	TEST SITE DESCRIPTION	8
2.7	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	9
2.8	MEASUREMENT TRACTABILITY	10
3	SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE	11
3.1	TEST LIMITS	11
3.2	TEST EQUIPMENT	12
3.3	TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID DESCRIPTION AND VALIDATION	13
3.4	DIPOLE SYSTEM VALIDATION	14
3.4.1	<i>Test Procedure</i>	15
3.4.2	<i>Conducted Output Power:</i>	15
3.4.3	<i>Test Positions:</i>	15
3.4.4	<i>Reference Power Measurement:</i>	15
3.4.5	<i>Coarse Scan:</i>	15
3.4.6	<i>Zoom Scan:</i>	15
3.4.7	<i>Data Extrapolation:</i>	15
3.4.8	<i>Reference Power Measurement:</i>	16
3.4.9	<i>RF Ambient Activity:</i>	16
3.5	TEST RESULTS	16

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

1 INTRODUCTION

The 2250 was evaluated for SAR in accordance with the requirements for RF Exposure compliance testing defined in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01). Testing was performed at the Intertek Testing Services facility in Lexington, Kentucky.

For the evaluation, the dosimetric assessment system DASY3 was used. The phantom employed was the "SAM Twin Phantom". The total uncertainty for the evaluation of the spatial peak SAR values averaged over a cube of 1g tissue mass had been assessed for this system to be $\pm 27.4\%$.

The device was tested at the maximum output power. This was accomplished using a Rhode & Schwarz CMU-200 base station simulator to force the device into a "call". Once in a "call" the base station simulator was configured to send the EUT an "all up bits" signal which forced the device to transmit at maximum power output.

The maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

Phantom	Position	Worst Case Extrapolated SAR _{1g} mW/g ¹
Flat Section	Belt Clip Against Phantom; Cell Band Channel 384; Internal Antenna	0.200

Based on the worst case data presented above, the sample tested was found to be in compliance with the requirements defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01).

¹ Data has been corrected for duty cycle.

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

2 JOB DESCRIPTION

2.1 Client information

The 2100NC personal tracking system
has been tested at the request of

Company: ISECUREtrac Corp.
5022 South 114th Street
Omaha, NE 68137

Name of contact: Jef Higgason
Telephone: (402)-537-5663
Fax: (402)-537-9847

2.2 Test plan reference:

Tests were performed to the following standards:

- FCC Part 2.1093

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

2.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

The 2250 was a Mobile Wireless Terminal used for scanning credit cards. The unit operated in the CDMA 800 and 1900 band using an internal antenna. The following is a detailed description of the EUT.

Product	2100NC personal tracking system	
EUT Model Number	2250	
EUT Serial Number	Not Marked Not Labeled	
Whether quantity (>1) production is planned	Quantity production is planned.	
Cellular Phone standards	CDMA 800 and 1900	
Type(s) of Emission	1M29G9W	
RF Output Power	23.86 dBm – CDMA 800 25.76 dBm – CDMA1900	
Frequency Range	824.7 – 848.31 MHz	CDMA800
	1850 – 1910 MHz	CDMA1900
Antenna & Gain	Integrated, non-retractable (internal)	
Detachable Antenna	None	
Belt Clip	Detachable plastic belt clip with metal spring. Provides 2.5 cm of spacing between the body and the chassis of the device.	
Battery Option	7.2V 22mAh Li-ion Battery ²	
External input	<input type="checkbox"/> Audio <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Digital Data	

EUT receive date: 9/24/2003
EUT receive condition: The EUT was received in good condition with no apparent damage.
Test start date: 9/24/2003
Test completion date: 10/8/2003

The test results in this report pertain only to the item tested.

² No other battery options are offered.

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

2.3.1 System Support Equipment

Table 2-1 contains the details of the support equipment associated with the Equipment Under Test during the testing.

2.3.2 Table 2-1: System Support Equipment


Description	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	FCC ID number
Charging Base	ISECUREtrac Corp	2250	007095-0514	Not Labeled
Charging Base	ISECUREtrac Corp	2250	007095-0811	Not Labeled

2.3.3 Cables associated with EUT

There were no cables used with the EUT. It was operated in a stand alone mode and powered by batteries.

2.3.4 System Block Diagram

The diagram shown below details the interconnection of the EUT and its accessories during the testing. For specific layout, refer to the test configuration photograph in the relevant section of this report.



Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

2.3.5 Justification

The EUT was operated in the stand-alone configuration and tested with the belt clip against the phantom. Since the device was only able to be worn against the abdomen using the supplied belt clip, no other test configurations were used.

2.3.6 Mode(s) of operation

The EUT was powered from a fully charged 7.2 Vdc 2200 mAH Li-Ion Battery during all testing.

2.4 Modifications required for compliance

No modifications were implemented by Interteks.

2.5 Related Submittal(s) Grants

None

2.6 Test Site Description

The SAR test site located at 731 Enterprise Drive, Lexington KY 40510 is comprised of the SPEAG model DASY 3 automated near-field scanning system, which is a package, optimized for dosimetric evaluation of mobile radios [3]. This system is installed in an ambient-free shielded enclosure with RF absorbing material on the walls and ceiling. The Ambient temperature is controlled to $22.2 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. Because the HVAC operates as a closed system, the relative humidity remains constant at $50 \pm 5\%$. During the SAR evaluations, the RF ambient conditions are monitored continuously for signals that might interfere with the test results. The tissue simulating liquid is also stored and validated in this area in order to keep it at the same constant ambient temperature as the room.

Figure 2-1: SAR Test Site



Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

2.7 Measurement Uncertainty

The Table below includes the uncertainty budget suggested by the IEEE Std 1528-200X and determined by SPEAG for the DASY3 measurement System. The extended uncertainty (K=2) was assessed to be 27.0 %

Uncertainty Component	Tolerance (± %)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c_i	Standard Uncertainty, (± %)	v_i^2 or v_{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	4.5	Normal	1	1	4.5	Inf.
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	1.9	Inf.
Spherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{c_p}$	3.9	Inf.
Boundary Effect	5.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	3.2	Inf.
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.7	Inf.
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.6	Inf.
Readout Electronics	1.0	Normal	1	1	1.0	Inf.
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.5	Inf.
Integration Time	1.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.8	Inf.
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.7	Inf.
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.2	Inf.
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.7	Inf.
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	3.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.3	Inf.
Test sample Related						
Test Sample Positioning	6.0	Normal	0.89	1	6.7	12
Device Holder Uncertainty	5.0	Normal	0.84	1	5.9	8
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	7.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	4	Inf.
Phantom and Tissue Parameters						
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.3	Inf.
Liquid Conductivity Target tolerance	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.0	Inf.
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	10.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	3.5	Inf.
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.3	Inf.
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.7	Inf.
Combined Standard Uncertainty					13.7	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)					27.4	

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.

FCC ID: OAM2250

Model No: 2250

Notes.

1. The Divisor is a function of the probability distribution and degrees of freedom (v_i and v_{eff}). See NIST Technical Note TN1297, NIS 81 and NIS 3003.
2. c_i is the sensitivity coefficient that should be applied to convert the variability of the uncertainty component into a variability of SAR.

2.8 Measurement Tractability

All measurements described in this report are traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards or appropriate national standards.

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

3 SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE

3.1 Test Limits

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operating in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

Exposure (General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	SAR (W/kg)
Average over the whole body	0.08
Spatial Peak (1g)	1.60
Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)	4.00

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

3.2 Test Equipment

SAR Measurement System			
EQUIPMENT	SPECIFICATIONS	S/N #	Last Cal. Data
Robot	Stäubli RX60L	597412-01	N/A
	Repeatability: ± 0.025 mm Accuracy: 0.806×10^{-3} degree Number of Axes: 6		
E-Field Probe	ER3DV6	1785	07/28/2003
	Dynamic Range: 5 μ W/g to >100 mW/g Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Probe Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz) Axial isotropy: ± 0.2 dB Spherical isotropy: ± 0.2 dB Length: 34.5 cm Distance between the probe tip and the dipole center: 2.7 mm Calibration: 450, 835/900, 1800/1900, 2450 MHz for head & body liquid		
Data Acquisition	DAE3	317	N/A
	Measurement Range: 1 μ V to >200 mV Input offset Voltage: < 1 μ V (with auto zero) Input Resistance: 200 M		
Phantom	SAM Twin V4.0	TP-1243	QD000P40CA
Complies with IEEE P1528-200x, draft 6.5 (See certificate in App. C)	Type SAM Twin, Homogenous Shell Material: Fiberglass Thickness: 2 ± 0.2 mm Capacity: 20 liter Size of the flat section: approx. 320 x 230 mm		
Device holder	Non-conductive holder supplied with DASY3, dielectric constant less than 5.0	N/A	N/A
Power Meter	Boonton 5232 RF Power Meter / Voltmeter	13601	10/08/03
	Power Meter Frequency Range: 10 kHz to 40 GHz Power Meter Measurement Range: -70 dBm to +44 dBm		
Signal Generator	HP 83620 B	3614A00199	8/21/03
	Frequency Range: 10 MHz – 20 GHz Amplitude Range: -110 dBm – 25 dBm		

3.3 Tissue Simulating Liquid Description and Validation

Figure 3-1: Recommended Head Tissue Composition

Simulation Liquid; Frequency: 800 MHz	
Ingredient	Body
Water	41.45
Salt	1.45
Sugar	56.0
HEC	1.0
Bactericide	0.1

Figure 3-2: Recommended Body Tissue Composition

Simulation Liquid; Frequency: 1900 MHz	
Ingredient	Body
Water	40.4
Salt	0.5
Sugar	58.0
HEC	1.0
Bactericide	0.1

Note: The amounts of each ingredient specified in the tables are not the exact amounts of the final test solution. The final test solution was adjusted by adding small amounts of water, sugar, and/or salt to calibrate the solution to meet the proper dielectric parameters.

Figure 3-3: Body Tissue Parameters Measured Just Before SAR Testing

900 MHz Body Tissue Parameters								
Frequency Measure (MHz)	Dielectric Constant Target	Dielectric Constant Measure	Dielectric % Deviation	Imaginary Part	Conductivity Target	Conductivity Measure	Conductivity % Deviation	Date
835	55.2	57.7	4.53	21.9	0.97	1.02	4.81	9/26/03
900	55	57.5	4.55	21.8	1.05	1.09	3.88	9/26/03
915	55	57.3	4.18	21.7	1.06	1.10	4.14	9/26/03

1900 MHz Body Tissue Parameters								
Frequency Measure (MHz)	Dielectric Constant Target	Dielectric Constant Measure	Dielectric % Deviation	Imaginary Part	Conductivity Target	Conductivity Measure	Conductivity % Deviation	Date
1800	53.3	53	0.56	15.4	1.52	1.54	1.39	9/26/03
1900	53.3	52.6	1.31	15	1.52	1.58	4.24	9/26/03
2000	53.3	52	2.44	14.2	1.52	1.58	3.88	9/26/03

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

3.4 Dipole System Validation

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified by using the system validation kit. The validation was performed at 900 and 1800 MHz using 900 and 1800 MHz head tissue.

Figure 3-4: Dipole Validation Data

Reference Dipole Validation								
Frequency Measure (MHz)	Dipole Type	Dipole Serial Number	Fluid Type	Dipole Power Input	Cal. Lab SAR (1g)	Measured SAR (1g)	% Error SAR (1g)	Date
900	D900V2	13	900 MHz Head	250 mW	2.66	2.77	4.14	9/26/2003
1800	D1800V2	224	1800 MHz Head	250 mW	9.93	9.76	1.71	9/26/2003

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

3.4.1 Test Procedure

Prior to any testing, the appropriate fluid was used to fill the phantom to a depth of 15 cm +0.2cm. The fluid parameters were verified and the dipole validation was performed as described in the previous sections.

3.4.2 Conducted Output Power:

Before SAR testing started, the conducted output power of the device was measured. The transmitter output was connected to a calibrated coaxial cable, the other end of which was connected to a CMU-200 Base Station Simulator. The EUT was placed into a call and the transmitter output was read off the CMU-200 in dBm. The power output at the transmitter antenna port was determined by adding the value of the cable insertion loss to the CMU-200 power reading.

Tests were performed at three frequencies (low, middle, and high channels) and on the highest power levels, which can be setup on the transmitters.

3.4.3 Test Positions:

The Device was positioned against the SAM and flat phantoms using the exact procedure described in Supplement C Edition 01 – 01 of Federal Communications Commission, “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields”, OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997.

3.4.4 Reference Power Measurement:

The measurement probe was positioned at a fixed location above the reference point. A power measurement was made with the probe above this reference position so it could be used for assessing the power drift later in the test procedure.

3.4.5 Coarse Scan:

A coarse area scan with a horizontal grid spacing of 20 x 20 mm was performed in order to find the approximate location of the peak SAR value. This scan was performed with the measurement probe at a constant height in the simulating fluid. A two dimensional spline interpolation algorithm was then used to determine the peaks and gradients within the scanned area.

3.4.6 Zoom Scan:

A zoom scan was performed around the approximate location of the peak SAR as determined from the coarse scan. The zoom scan was comprised of a measurement volume of 32 x 32 x 34 mm based on 5 x 5 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

3.4.7 Data Extrapolation:

Since the center of the dipoles in the measurement probe are 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe, and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 1.6 mm the data at the surface was extrapolated. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward sorting algorithm. Around this maximum, the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with a trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

3.4.8 Reference Power Measurement:

The probe was positioned at precisely the same reference point and the reference power measurement was repeated. The difference between the initial reference power and the final one is referred to as the power drift. If the power drift exceeded 5% of the final peak SAR value, the measurement was repeated.

3.4.9 RF Ambient Activity:

During the entire SAR evaluation, the RF ambient activity was monitored using a spectrum analyzer with an antenna connected to it. The spectrum analyzer was tuned to the frequency of measurement and with one trace set to max hold mode. In this way, it was possible to determine if at any point during the SAR measurement there were an interfering ambient signal. If an ambient signal was detected, then the SAR measurement was repeated.

3.5 Test Results

The 2250 was compliant with the requirements defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01). Since the measured 1-g SAR was more than 3dB below the limit in all configurations tested on the middle channel, testing was not performed on the band edge channels. All scans were done with the EUT touching the flat phantom to simulate the worst case environment.

The high drift values are due to the fact that the 2100NC personal tracking system was designed to transmit for short periods of time (15-20 seconds) and each SAR scan required approximately 25 minutes. By forcing the 2100NC personal tracking system to transmit for a much longer period of time that it was designed for, it got extremely hot. This extreme change in temperature over the course of the 25minute SAR scan caused the drift to be larger than normal.

The following data has been corrected for duty cycle and extrapolated for the SAR drift.


Body Mode / PCS and Cell Bands / Internal Antenna / Crest Factor of 3											
Freq. (MHz)	Test Mode (Cell / PCS)	Battery	Test Position	Antenna Type	Other Attachments	Conducted Power (dBm)	SAR Drift (dB)	Measured 1-g SAR (mW/g)	Meas. 10g-SAR (mw/g)	Extrapolated Worst Case 1-g SAR (mW/g)	Extrapolated Worst Case 10-g SAR (mW/g)
836.5200	Cell	Li-Ion	Belt Clip Against Phantom	Internal	Belt Clip ³	22.68	-2.080	0.124	0.091	0.200	0.147
1880.0000	PCS	Li-Ion	Belt Clip Against Phantom	Internal	Belt Clip ³	24.60	-3.720	0.003	0.002	0.008	0.004

³ The belt clip had a metal spring inside and provided 2.5 cm of spacing between the chassis of the device and the body.

Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

Figure 3-5: CDMA Cell Channel 384 with Internal Antenna



Evaluation For: ISECUREtrac Corp.
Model No: 2250

FCC ID: OAM2250

Figure 3-6: CDMA PCS Channel 600 With Internal Antenna

