

To: Steven Dayhoff
Date: 4/27/2005 12:19:46
Subject: Info Request- Reply

Message:

Response to the following correspondence:

To: □□ Kenneth Pilcher, Pacific Bioscience Laboratories, Inc.

From: □□ Steven Dayhoff

□□ Steven.Dayhoff@fcc.gov

□□ FCC Application Processing Branch

Re: □□ FCC ID SD2RBMK3

Applicant: □□□□ Pacific Bioscience Laboratories, Inc.

Correspondence Reference Number: □28836

731 Confirmation Number: □□□EA609697

The application was filed as a Part 18 device, however the test report references Part 15 limits. Please clarify.

Steven,

I could use some help here. We tested the device to Part 15 since, at that time we believed the device would be covered by those limits. Since that time, we learned of the special (less stringent?) category (Part 18) for devices like power toothbrushes, etc. We beleive that we fall under the same rules.

If Part 15 is more stringent than Part 18, then I would like to seek approval under Part 15. What is your recommendation?

Regards,

Ken Pilcher