



FCC SAR TEST REPORT

Report No: STS1606003H01

Issued for

Mine Site Technologies Pty Ltd.

113, Wicks Road, North Ryde, NSW 2113, AUSTRALIA

Product Name:	UT33 Tag
Brand Name:	MST
Model Name:	PT33
Series Model:	N/A
FCC ID:	N73-PT33
Test Standard:	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1
	FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
	IEEE 1528: 2013
Max. Report SAR (1g):	Body:0.180 W/kg

Any reproduction of this document must be done in full. No single part of this document may be reproduced without permission from STS, All Test Data Presented in this report is only applicable to presented Test Sample.





Test Report Certification

Applicant's name : Mine Site Technologies Pty Ltd.
 Address : 113, Wicks Road, North Ryde, NSW 2113, AUSTRALIA
Manufacturer's Name : Mine Site Technologies China Co. Ltd
 Address : 4F Building-1 1413 Moganshan Road, Hangzhou, CHINA

Product description

Product name : UT33 Tag
 Trademark : MST
 Model and/or type reference : PT33
 Series Model : N/A

Standards : ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992
 FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
 IEEE 1528: 2013

The device was tested by Shenzhen STS Test Services Co., Ltd. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in KDB 865664 The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Date of Test :
 Date (s) of performance of tests : 06 Jun. 2016
 Date of Issue : 07 Jun. 2016
 Test Result : **Pass**

Testing Engineer : Allen Chen
 (Allen Chen)

Technical Manager : John Zou
 (John Zou)

Authorized Signatory : Bovey Yang
 (Bovey Yang)





Table of Contents

1.General Information	4
1.1 EUT Description	4
1.2 Test Environment	5
1.3 Test Factory	5
2.Test Standards And Limits	6
3. SAR Measurement System	7
3.1 Definition Of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	7
3.2 SAR System	7
4. Tissue Simulating Liquids	10
4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check	10
5. SAR System Validation	11
5.1 Validation System	11
5.2 Validation Result	11
6. SAR Evaluation Procedures	12
7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch	13
7.1 SAR test exclusion consider table	14
8. EUT Test Position	15
9. Uncertainty	16
9.1 Measurement Uncertainty	16
9.2 System validation Uncertainty	18
10. Conducted Power Measurement	20
10.1 Test Result	20
10.2 Tune-up Power	20
11. EUT And Test Setup Photo	21
11.1 EUT Photo	21
11.2 Setup Photo	24
12. SAR Result Summary	27
12.1 Body	27
13. Equipment List	28
Appendix A. System Validation Plots	29
Appendix B. SAR Test Plots	31
Appendix C. Probe Calibration And Dipole Calibration Report	35



1. General Information

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

1.1 EUT Description

Equipment	UT33 Tag		
Brand Name	MST		
Model No.	PT33		
Series Model	N/A		
FCC ID	N73-PT33		
Model Difference	N/A		
Battery	DC 3.6V		
Device Category	Portable		
Product stage	Production unit		
RF Exposure Environment	General Population / Uncontrolled		
Hardware Version	N/A		
Software Version	N/A		
Frequency Range	WLAN 802.11b:2412~2462MHz		
Max. Reported SAR(1g):	Band	Mode	Body Worn (W/kg)
	DTS	WIFI	
FCC Equipment Class	Digital Transmission System (DTS)		
Operating Mode:	WLAN: 802.11 b		
Antenna Specification:	WIFI: Ceramic Antenna		
Hotspot Mode:	Not Support		
DTM Mode:	Not Support		



1.2 Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the SAR laboratory:

Items	Required	Actual
Temperature (°C)	18-25	22~23
Humidity (%RH)	30-70	55~65

1.3 Test Factory

Shenzhen STS Test Services Co., Ltd.

Add. : 1/F, Building B, Zhuoke Science Park, No.190, Chongqing Road, Fuyong, Baoan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

CNAS Registration No.: L7649;

FCC Registration No.: 842334;

IC Registration No.: 12108A-1





2. Test Standards And Limits

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR Part 2	Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations
2	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz
3	IEEE Std. 1528-2013	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
4	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06	Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies
5	FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting
7	FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03	SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets
8	FCC KDB 248227 D01 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02	SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.4 8.0 20.0

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.08 1.6 4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

Population/Uncontrolled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

NOTE

GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

PARTIAL BODY LIMIT

1.6 W/kg

3. SAR Measurement System

3.1 Definition Of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$


SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue,
ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

3.2 SAR System

SATIMO SAR System Diagram:



Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2.1 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 45/15 EPGO281 with following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
 - Tip Diameter: 2.5 mm
 - Length of Individual Dipoles: 2 mm
 - Maximum external diameter: 8 mm
 - Distance between dipoles / probe extremity: 2.7 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
 - Probe linearity: $0 \pm 2.60\%$ (± 0.11 dB)
 - Axial Isotropy: < 0.25 dB
 - Spherical Isotropy: < 0.25 dB
 - Calibration range: 450MHz to 6GHz for head & body simulating liquid.
- Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

3.2.2 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.


SN 32/14 SAM115



SN 32/14 SAM116



3.2.3 Device Holder



The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of ± 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.



4. Tissue Simulating Liquids

4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Frequency (MHz)	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	1,2-Propanediol	X100	Water	Conductivity	Permittivity
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	σ	ϵ_r
750	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
835	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
900	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
1800	/	13.84	/	0.35	/	/	30.45	55.36	1.38	41.0
1900	/	13.84	/	0.35	/	/	30.45	55.36	1.38	41.0
2000	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.55	41.1
2450	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.88	40.3
2600	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.88	40.3

Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms				
Frequency	ϵ_r		σ (S/m)	
	Head	Body	Head	Body
300	45.3	58.2	0.87	0.92
450	43.5	58.7	0.87	0.94
900	41.5	55.0	0.97	1.05
1450	40.5	54.0	1.20	1.30
1800	40.0	53.3	1.40	1.52
2450	39.2	52.7	1.80	1.95
3000	38.5	52.0	2.40	2.73
5800	35.3	48.2	5.27	6.00

LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Date: 06 Jun. 2016

Ambient condition: Temperature 22.30°C Relative humidity: 50%


Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
Frequency	Temp. [°C]					
2450 MHz	22.30	Permittivity:	52.7	52.35	-0.66	±5
		Conductivity:	1.95	1.93	-1.03	±5

5. SAR System Validation

5.1 Validation System

Each SATIMO system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system validation setup is shown as below.



5.2 Validation Result

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SATIMO, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %.

Ambient condition: Temperature 23.2°C Relative humidity: 50%

Freq.(MHz)	Power(mW)	Tested Value (W/Kg)	Normalized SAR (W/kg)	Target(W/Kg)	Tolerance(%)	Date
2450 Body	100	5.153	51.53	52.4	-1.66	2016-06-06

Note: The tolerance limit of System validation $\pm 10\%$.



6. SAR Evaluation Procedures

The procedure for assessing the average SAR value consists of the following steps:

The following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

➤ Area Scan & Zoom Scan


First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01 quoted below.

When the 1-g SAR of the highest peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit, additional zoom scans are required for other peaks within 2 dB of the highest peak that have not been included in any zoom scan to ensure there is no increase in SAR.



7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch

It is a UT33 Tag, support WIFI mode.





7.1 SAR test exclusion consider table

According with FCC KDB 447498 D01, appendix A, <SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100MHz ~ 6GHz and ≤50mm> table, this device SAR test configurations consider as following:

Band	Test position configurations					
	Front	Back	Right edge	Left edge	Top edge	Bottom edge
WIFI	<5mm	<5mm	53mm	14.3mm	38mm	<5mm
	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

Note:

1. maximum power is the source-based time-average power and represents the maximum RF output power among production units.
2. per KDB 447498 D01, for larger devices, the test separation distance of adjacent edge configuration is determined by the closest separation between the antenna and the user.
3. per KDB 447498 D01, standalone SAR test exclusion threshold is applied; if the distance of the antenna to the user is <5mm, 5mm is user to determine SAR exclusion threshold
4. per KDB 447498 D01, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distance ≤50mm are determined by:


$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, Mw}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] * \sqrt{f(\text{GHZ})} \leq 3.0 \text{ for 1-g SAR and } \leq 7.5 \text{ for 10-g extremity SAR}$$
, f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
For <50mm distance, we just calculate mW of the exclusion threshold value(3.0) to do compare
5. per KDB 447498 D01, at 100 MHz to 6GHz and for test separation distances >50mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following
 - a) [threshold at 50mm in step 1] + (test separation distance - 50mm) * (f (MHz)/150)] mW, at 100 MHz to 1500 MHz
 - b) [threshold at 50mm in step 1] + (test separation distance - 50mm) * 10] mW at > 1500MHz and ≤6GHz
6. Per KDB 447498 D02, RMC 12.2kbps setting is used to evaluate SAR. If HSDPA/ HSUPA/DC-HSDPA output power is <0.25db higher than RMC 12.2Kbps, or reported SAR with RMC 12.2kbps setting is ≤1.2W/Kg, HSDPA/HSUPA/DC-HSDPA SAR evaluation can be excluded.
7. Per KDB 248227 D01, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion 8. for each frequency band , testing at higher data rates and higher order modulations is not required when the maximum average output power for each of each of these configurations is less than 1/4db higher than those measured at the lower data rate than 11b mode , thus the SAR can be excluded.

8. EUT Test Position

This EUT was tested in Front Face and Rear Face.

Body-worn Position Conditions:


Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in KDB Publication 447498 D01 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. When the same wireless transmission configuration is used for testing body-worn accessory and hotspot mode SAR, respectively, in voice and data mode, SAR results for the most conservative *test separation distance* configuration may be used to support both SAR conditions. When the *reported SAR* for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, the highest *reported SAR* configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for the body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.



Hotspot mode exposure position condition

For handsets that support hotspot mode operations, with wireless router capabilities and various web browsing function, the relevant hand and body exposure condition are tested according to the hotspot SAR procedures in KDB 941225. A test separation distance of 10 mm is required between the phantom and all surface and edges with a transmitting antenna located within 25 mm from that surface or edge.

When form factor of a handset is smaller than 9cm x 5cm, a test separation distance of 5mm (instead of 10mm) is required for testing hotspot mode. When the separate distance required for body-worn accessory testing is larger than or equal to that tested for hotspot mode, in the same wireless mode and for the same surface of the phone, the hotspot mode SAR data may be used to support body-worn accessory SAR compliance for that particular configuration(surface).





9. Uncertainty

9.1 Measurement Uncertainty

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528: 2013. This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2.

NO	Source	Tol(%)	Prob. Dist.	Div. k	ci (1g)	ci (10g)	1gUi	10gUi	Veff
Measurement System									
1	Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
2	Axial isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
3	Hemispherical isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
4	Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
5	Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
6	System Detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
7	Readout electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
8	Response time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0	0	∞
9	Integration time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
10	Ambient noise	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
11	Ambient reflections	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
12	Probe positioner mech. restrictions	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
13	Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
14	Max.SAR evaluation	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test sample related									



15	Device positioning	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	11
16	Device holder	3	N	1	1	1	3.0	3.0	7
17	Drift of output power	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Phantom and set-up									
18	Phantom uncertainty	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
19	Liquid conductivity (target)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
20	Liquid conductivity (meas)	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
21	Liquid Permittivity (target)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
22	Liquid Permittivity (meas)	5.0	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined standard			RSS	$U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 U_i^2}$			10.63%	10.54%	
Expanded uncertainty (P=95%)		$U = k U_c, k=2$					21.26%	21.08%	



9.2 System validation Uncertainty

NO	Source	Tol(%)	Prob. Dist.	Div. k	ci (1g)	ci (10g)	1gUi	10gUi	Veff
Measurement System									
1	Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
2	Axial isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
3	Hemispherical isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
4	Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
5	Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
6	System Detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
7	Modulation response	0	N	1	1	1	0	0	∞
8	Readout electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
9	Response time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0	0	∞
10	Integration time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
11	Ambient noise	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
12	Ambient reflections	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
13	Probe positioner mech. restrictions	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
14	Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
15	Max.SAR evaluation	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Dipole									
16	Deviation of experimental source from	4	N	1	1	1	4.00	4.00	∞



17	Input power and SAR drift measurement	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
18	Dipole Axis to liquid Distance	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1			∞
Phantom and set-up									
19	Phantom uncertainty	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
20	Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation(in	2.0	N	1	1	0.84	2	1.68	∞
21	Liquid conductivity (target)	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
22	Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
23	Liquid conductivity (meas)	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
24	Liquid Permittivity (target)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
25	Liquid Permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
26	Liquid Permittivity (meas)	5.0	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined standard			RSS	$U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 U_i^2}$			10.15%	10.05%	
Expanded uncertainty (P=95%)		$U = k U_c, k=2$					20.29%	20.10%	



10. Conducted Power Measurement

10.1 Test Result

WIFI

Mode	Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)
802.11b	1	2412	20.65
	6	2437	19.99
	11	2462	19.29

10.2 Tune-up Power

Mode	WIFI(AVG)
IEEE 802.11b	20±1dBm


11. EUT And Test Setup Photo

11.1 EUT Photo

Front side




Back side






Top side




Bottom side






Left side




Right side




11.2 Setup Photo


Body Front side(separation distance is 0mm)




Body Back side(separation distance is 0mm)



Body left side(separation distance is 0mm)



Body Bottom side(separation distance is 0mm)



Liquid depth (15 cm)





12. SAR Result Summary

12.1 Body

Band	Mode	Test Position	Ch.	Result 1g (W/Kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max.Turn-up Power(dBm)	Meas.Output Power(dBm)	Duty cycle(%)	Scaled SAR (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
WIFI	802.11b	Front side	1	0.134	-1.16	21	20.65	100	0.145	1
		Back side	1	0.166	-0.26	21	20.65	100	0.180	2
		Left side	1	0.075	-1.53	21	20.65	100	0.081	3
		Bottom side	1	0.117	3.94	21	20.65	100	0.127	4

Note:

The test separation of all above table is 0mm.





13. Equipment List

Kind of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Last Calibration	Calibrated Until
2450MHzDipole	SATIMO	SID2450	SN 30/14 DIP2G450-335	2014.09.01	2017.08.31
E-Field Probe	MVG	SSE2	SN 45/15 EPMO281	2015.10.12	2016.10.11
Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA3	SN 07/13 ZNTA52	2014.09.01	2017.08.31
Waveguide	SATIMO	SWG5500	SN 13/14 WGA32	2014.09.01	2017.08.31
Phantom1	SATIMO	SAM	SN 32/14 SAM115	N/A	N/A
Phantom2	SATIMO	SAM	SN 32/14 SAM116	N/A	N/A
SAR TEST BENCH	SATIMO	GSM and WCDMA mobile phone POSITIONNING SYSTEM	SN 32/14 MSH97	N/A	N/A
SAR TEST BENCH	SATIMO	LAPTOP POSITIONNING SYSTEM	SN 32/14 LSH29	N/A	N/A
Dielectric Probe Kit	SATIMO	SCLMP	SN 32/14 OCPG52	2015.09.01	2016.08.31
Multi Meter	Keithley	Multi Meter 2000	4050073	2015.11.20	2016.11.19
Signal Generator	Agilent	N5182A	MY50140530	2015.11.18	2016.11.17
Power Meter	R&S	NRP	100510	2015.10.25	2016.10.24
Power Meter	HP	EPM-442A	GB37170267	2015.10.24	2016.10.23
Power Sensor	R&S	NRP-Z11	101919	2015.10.24	2016.10.23
Power Sensor	HP	8481A	2702A65976	2015.10.24	2016.10.23
Network Analyzer	Agilent	5071C	EMY46103472	2015.12.12	2016.12.11
Attenuator 1	PE	PE7005-10	N/A	2015.10.25	2016.10.24
Attenuator 2	PE	PE7005-3	N/A	2015.10.24	2016.10.23
Attenuator 3	Woken	WK0602-XX	N/A	2015.12.12	2016.12.11
Dual Directional Coupler	Agilent	778D	50422	2015.11.18	2016.11.17

Appendix A. System Validation Plots

System Performance Check Data (2450MHz Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm


Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016-06-06

Measurement duration: 14 minutes 23 seconds

Experimental conditions.


Device Position	Validation plane
Band	2450 MHz
Signal	CW
Frequency (MHz)	2450
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.316002
Relative permittivity	12.930000
Conductivity (S/m)	1.92
Power drift (%)	-1.200000
Ambient Temperature	23.2°C
Liquid Temperature	22.3°C
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Crest factor:	1:1



Maximum location: X=3.00, Y=1.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.357362
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.153482

Z Axis Scan



Appendix B. SAR Test Plots


Plot 1: DUT: UT33 Tag; EUT Model: PT33

Test Date	2016-06-06
Ambient Temperature(°C)	22.70
Liquid Temperature(°C)	22.30
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body Front
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Low
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)
Frequency (MHz)	2412
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.40
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Variation (%)	-1.16

Maximum location: X=23.00, Y=-23.00

SAR Peak: 0.24 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.061869
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.134254




Plot 2: DUT: UT33 Tag; EUT Model: PT33

Test Date	2016-06-06
Ambient Temperature(°C)	22.70
Liquid Temperature(°C)	22.30
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body back
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Low
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)
Frequency (MHz)	2412
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.40
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Variation (%)	-0.26

Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=-9.00
SAR Peak: 0.34 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.069181
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.166022




Plot 3: DUT: UT33 Tag; EUT Model: PT33

Test Date	2016-06-06
Ambient Temperature(°C)	22.70
Liquid Temperature(°C)	22.30
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body left side
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Low
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)
Frequency (MHz)	2412
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.40
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Variation (%)	-1.53

Maximum location: X=2.00, Y=32.00

SAR Peak: 0.14 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.038468
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.074942




Plot 4: DUT: UT33 Tag; EUT Model: PT33

Test Date	2016-06-06
Ambient Temperature(°C)	22.70
Liquid Temperature(°C)	22.30
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body Bottom side
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Low
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)
Frequency (MHz)	2412
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.40
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Variation (%)	3.94

Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=-40.00

SAR Peak: 0.22 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.042588
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.117363





Appendix C. Probe Calibration And Dipole Calibration Report

Refer the appendix Calibration Report.

※※※※END OF THE REPORT※※※※

