



element

Remote Technologies, Inc.

TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module

FCC 15.231:2019

Low Power Radio

Report # REMT0034.3 Rev. 1



NVLAP LAB CODE: 200881-0



This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the U.S. Government. This Report shall not be reproduced, except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

Last Date of Test: April 12, 2019
Remote Technologies, Inc.
Model: TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module

Radio Equipment Testing

Standards

Specification	Method
FCC 15.231:2019	ANSI C63.10:2013

Results

Method Clause	Test Description	Applied	Results	Comments
6.2	Powerline Conducted Emissions	No	N/A	Not required for a battery powered EUT.
6.5, 6.6	Field Strength of Fundamental	Yes	Pass	
6.5, 6.6	Spurious Radiated Emissions	Yes	Pass	
6.9.2	Occupied Bandwidth	Yes	Pass	
7.5	Duty Cycle	Yes	Pass	

Deviations From Test Standards

None

Approved By:



Matt Nuernberg, Operations Manager

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client; therefore, the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested. The specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test. This report reflects only those tests from the referenced standards shown in the certificate of test. It does not include inspection or verification of labels, identification, marking or user information. As indicated in the Statement of Work sent with the quotation, Element's standard process is to always use the latest published version of the test methods even when earlier versions are cited in the test specification. Issuance of a purchase order was de facto acceptance of this approach. Otherwise, the client would have advised Element in writing of the specific version of the test methods they wanted applied to the subject testing.

REVISION HISTORY



Revision Number	Description	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Page Number
01	Adjusted print area in Field Strength of Fundamental to include full test description	2019-05-20	12
	Updated EUT power in Occupied Bandwidth to match test photos	2019-05-20	19

ACCREDITATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS



United States

FCC - Designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). Certification chambers, Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities are listed with the FCC.

A2LA - Accredited by A2LA to ISO / IEC 17065 as a product certifier. This allows Element to certify transmitters to FCC and IC specifications.

NVLAP - Each laboratory is accredited by NVLAP to ISO 17025

Canada

ISED - Recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada as a Certification Body (CB) and as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

European Union

European Commission – Within Element, we have a EU Notified Body validated for the EMCD and RED Directives.

Australia/New Zealand

ACMA - Recognized by ACMA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Korea

MSIT / RRA - Recognized by KCC's RRA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Japan

VCCI - Associate Member of the VCCI. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities are registered.

Taiwan

BSMI – Recognized by BSMI as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

NCC - Recognized by NCC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Singapore

IDA – Recognized by IDA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Israel

MOC – Recognized by MOC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Hong Kong

OFCA – Recognized by OFCA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Vietnam

MIC – Recognized by MIC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

SCOPE


For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:

<https://www.nwemc.com/emc-testing-accreditations>

FACILITIES



California Labs OC01-17 41 Tesla Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 861-8918	Minnesota Labs MN01-10 9349 W Broadway Ave. Brooklyn Park, MN 55445 (612)-638-5136	Oregon Labs EV01-12 6775 NE Evergreen Pkwy #400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066	Texas Labs TX01-09 3801 E Plano Pkwy Plano, TX 75074 (469) 304-5255	Washington Labs NC01-05 19201 120 th Ave NE Bothell, WA 98011 (425)984-6600
NVLAP				
NVLAP Lab Code: 200676-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200881-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200630-0	NVLAP Lab Code:201049-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200629-0
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada				
2834B-1, 2834B-3	2834E-1, 2834E-3	2834D-1	2834G-1	2834F-1
BSMI				
SL2-IN-E-1154R	SL2-IN-E-1152R	SL2-IN-E-1017	SL2-IN-E-1158R	SL2-IN-E-1153R
VCCI				
A-0029	A-0109	A-0108	A-0201	A-0110
Recognized Phase I CAB for ISED, ACMA, BSMI, IDA, KCC/RRA, MIC, MOC, NCC, OFCA				
US0158	US0175	US0017	US0191	US0157



MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY



Measurement Uncertainty

When a measurement is made, the result will be different from the true or theoretically correct value. The difference is the result of tolerances in the measurement system that cannot be completely eliminated. To the extent that technology allows us, it has been our aim to minimize this error. Measurement uncertainty is a statistical expression of measurement error qualified by a probability distribution.


A measurement uncertainty estimation has been performed for each test per our internal quality document QM205.4.6. The estimation is used to compare the measured result with its "true" or theoretically correct value. The expanded measurement uncertainty (K=2) can be found included as part of the applicable test description page. Our measurement data meets or exceeds the measurement uncertainty requirements of the applicable specification; therefore, the test data can be compared directly to the specification limit to determine compliance. The calculations for estimating measurement uncertainty are based upon ETSI TR 100 028 (or CISPR 16-4-2 as applicable), and are available upon request.

The following table represents the Measurement Uncertainty (MU) budgets for each of the tests that may be contained in this report.


Test	+ MU	- MU
Frequency Accuracy (Hz)	0.0007%	-0.0007%
Amplitude Accuracy (dB)	1.2 dB	-1.2 dB
Conducted Power (dB)	0.3 dB	-0.3 dB
Radiated Power via Substitution (dB)	0.7 dB	-0.7 dB
Temperature (degrees C)	0.7°C	-0.7°C
Humidity (% RH)	2.5% RH	-2.5% RH
Voltage (AC)	1.0%	-1.0%
Voltage (DC)	0.7%	-0.7%
Field Strength (dB)	5.2 dB	-5.2 dB
AC Powerline Conducted Emissions (dB)	2.4 dB	-2.4 dB

Test Setup Block Diagrams


Antenna Port Conducted Measurements



Near Field Test Fixture Measurements



Spurious Radiated Emissions



PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Client and Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

Company Name:	Remote Technologies, Inc.
Address:	5775 12th Avenue East, Suite 180
City, State, Zip:	Shakopee, MN 55379
Test Requested By:	Mark Melville
Model:	TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module
First Date of Test:	April 4, 2019
Last Date of Test:	April 12, 2019
Receipt Date of Samples:	April 4, 2019
Equipment Design Stage:	Production
Equipment Condition:	No Damage
Purchase Authorization:	Verified

Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

Functional Description of the EUT:
Module with ZigBee and 433 MHz radios
Testing Objective:
To demonstrate compliance to of the low power radio to FCC 15.231(b) specifications.

CONFIGURATIONS



Configuration REMT0034- 1

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
Remote	Remote Technologies Inc.	T4x	Regulatory 1

MODIFICATIONS



Equipment Modifications

Item	Date	Test	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT
1	2019-04-04	Field Strength of Fundamental	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
2	2019-04-04	Duty Cycle	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
3	2019-04-08	Occupied Bandwidth	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
4	2019-04-12	Spurious Radiated Emissions	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Scheduled testing was completed.

FIELD STRENGTH OF FUNDAMENTAL



PSA-ESCI 2019.02.26

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data. The test data represents the configuration / operating mode/ model that produced the highest emission levels as compared to the specification limit.

MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting 433.92 MHz CW

POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

Battery

CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

REMT0034 - 1

FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED

Start Frequency	433 MHz	Stop Frequency	435 MHz
-----------------	---------	----------------	---------

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Cable	Element	Biconilog Cable	MXN	23-Feb-2019	12 mo
Antenna - Biconilog	ETS Lindgren	3142D	AXO	15-Dec-2017	24 mo
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A (EXA)	AFQ	13-Dec-2018	12 mo

MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS

Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0

TEST DESCRIPTION

The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was configured for continuous un-modulated CW operation at its single transmit frequency. The field strength of the transmit frequency was maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.10:2013).

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" = $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle = $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{mS}$ or T, whichever is less. (Where T is the period of the pulse train.)

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = 100 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 0.8033 mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 2 Pulse = 0.4017 mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = 12

Number of Type 2 Pulses = 57

Duty Cycle = $20 \log [((12)(0.8033) + (57)(0.4017))/100] = -9.75 \text{ dB}$

The duty cycle correction factor of -9.75 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz.

FIELD STRENGTH OF FUNDAMENTAL




EmiRS 2018.09.26 PSA-ESCI 2019.02.26

Work Order:	REMT0034	Date:	4-Apr-2019	<i>Dustin Sparks</i>
Project:	None	Temperature:	22.4 °C	
Job Site:	MN09	Humidity:	22.5% RH	
Serial Number:	Regulatory 1	Barometric Pres.:	1030 mbar	Tested by: Dustin Sparks
EUT:	TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module			
Configuration:	1			
Customer:	Remote Technologies, Inc.			
Attendees:	None			
EUT Power:	Battery			
Operating Mode:	Transmitting 433.92 MHz CW			
Deviations:	None			
Comments:	Average data calculated from 32.54% duty cycle measured during normal operation.			

Test Specifications	FCC 15.231:2019	Test Method	ANSI C63.10:2013
----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------	------------------

Run #	1	Test Distance (m)	3	Antenna Height(s)	1 to 4(m)	Results	Pass
--------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	-----------	----------------	------



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
433.915	56.9	23.3	1.1	229.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	70.5	80.8	-10.4	EUT vertical
433.915	56.8	23.3	2.2	250.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	70.4	80.8	-10.5	EUT horizontal
433.915	56.8	23.3	2.1	98.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	70.4	80.8	-10.5	EUT on side
433.915	53.1	23.3	1.1	339.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	66.7	80.8	-14.2	EUT horizontal
433.915	49.6	23.3	1.8	144.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	63.2	80.8	-17.7	EUT vertical
433.915	49.0	23.3	1.0	360.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	62.6	80.8	-18.3	EUT on side
433.915	56.9	23.3	1.1	229.0	0.0	0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	80.2	100.8	-20.6	EUT vertical
433.915	56.8	23.3	2.2	250.0	0.0	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	80.1	100.8	-20.7	EUT horizontal
433.915	56.8	23.3	2.1	98.0	0.0	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	80.1	100.8	-20.7	EUT on side
433.915	53.1	23.3	1.1	339.0	0.0	0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	76.4	100.8	-24.4	EUT horizontal
433.915	49.6	23.3	1.8	144.0	0.0	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	72.9	100.8	-27.9	EUT vertical
433.915	49.0	23.3	1.0	360.0	0.0	0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	72.3	100.8	-28.5	EUT on side

SPURIOUS RADIATED EMISSIONS



PSA-ESCI 2019.02.26

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data. The test data represents the configuration / operating mode/ model that produced the highest emission levels as compared to the specification limit.

MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting 433.92 MHz OOK

POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

Battery

CONFIGURATIONS INVESTIGATED

REMT0034 - 1

FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED

Start Frequency | 30 MHz | Stop Frequency | 8200 MHz

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Attenuator	Fairview Microwave	SA18E-10	TYA	24-Sep-2018	12 mo
Amplifier - Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-3D-00100800-32-13P	AVT	8-Feb-2019	12 mo
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Double Ridge Guide Horn Cables	MNI	24-Sep-2018	12 mo
Antenna - Double Ridge	ETS Lindgren	3115	AJA	27-Jun-2018	24 mo
Amplifier - Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AM-1616-1000	AVO	2-Nov-2018	12 mo
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	Bilog Cables	MNH	2-Nov-2018	12 mo
Antenna - Biconilog	Teseg	CBL 6141B	AYD	25-Jan-2018	24 mo
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A (EXA)	AFQ	13-Dec-2018	12 mo

MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS

Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0

TEST DESCRIPTION

The highest gain antenna of each type to be used with the EUT was tested. The EUT was configured for the required transmit frequency in each operational band and the modes as showed in the data sheets.

For each configuration, the spectrum was scanned throughout the specified range as part of the exploratory investigation of the emissions. These "pre-scans" are not included in the report. Final measurements on individual emissions were then made and included in this test report.

The individual emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.10). A preamp and high pass filter (and notch filter) were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.

Measurements were made with the required detectors and annotated on the data for each individual point using the following annotation:

QP = Quasi-Peak Detector
PK = Peak Detector
AV = RMS Detector

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" = $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle = $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{mS}$ or T, whichever is less. (Where T is the period of the pulse train.)

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = 100 mSec
Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = 0.8033 mSec
Pulsewidth of Type 2 Pulse = 0.4017 mSec
Number of Type 1 Pulses = 12
Number of Type 2 Pulses = 57

Duty Cycle = $20 \log [((12)(0.8033) + (57)(0.4017))/100] = -9.75 \text{ dB}$

The duty cycle correction factor of -9.75 dB was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz.

SPURIOUS RADIATED EMISSIONS




EmiR5 2018.09.26 PSA-ESCI 2019.02.26

Work Order:	REMT0034	Date:	12-Apr-2019	<i>Dustin Sparks</i>
Project:	None	Temperature:	22.6 °C	
Job Site:	MN05	Humidity:	29.4% RH	
Serial Number:	Regulatory 1	Barometric Pres.:	998 mbar	
EUT:	TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module			
Configuration:	1			
Customer:	Remote Technologies, Inc.			
Attendees:	None			
EUT Power:	Battery			
Operating Mode:	Transmitting 433.92 MHz OOK			
Deviations:	None			
Comments:	None			

Test Specifications	Test Method
FCC 15.231:2019	ANSI C63.10:2013

Run #	46	Test Distance (m)	3	Antenna Height(s)	1 to 4(m)	Results	Pass
--------------	----	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	-----------	----------------	------



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
1301.727	45.5	-6.8	1.0	216.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	29.0	54.0	-25.1	EUT on side
1301.635	43.0	-6.8	1.0	322.9	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	26.5	54.0	-27.6	EUT horizontal
867.840	27.1	11.1	1.0	22.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	28.5	60.8	-32.4	EUT on side
1735.380	42.7	-5.6	1.0	203.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	27.4	60.8	-33.5	EUT on side
1737.638	41.4	-5.6	1.1	203.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	26.1	60.8	-34.8	EUT horizontal
867.835	24.6	11.1	2.3	340.9	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	26.0	60.8	-34.9	EUT vertical
867.815	24.4	11.1	1.0	41.9	-9.8	0.0	Horz	AV	0.0	25.8	60.8	-35.1	EUT horizontal
1301.727	45.5	-6.8	1.0	216.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	38.7	74.0	-35.3	EUT on side
867.845	23.1	11.1	1.2	271.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	24.5	60.8	-36.4	EUT horizontal
867.840	22.8	11.1	1.0	250.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	24.2	60.8	-36.7	EUT vertical
1301.635	43.0	-6.8	1.0	322.9	-9.8	0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	36.2	74.0	-37.8	EUT horizontal
867.810	21.5	11.1	1.0	213.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	AV	0.0	22.9	60.8	-38.0	EUT on side
867.840	27.1	11.1	1.0	22.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	38.2	80.8	-42.6	EUT on side
1735.380	42.7	-5.6	1.0	203.0	-9.8	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	37.1	80.8	-43.7	EUT on side
1737.638	41.4	-5.6	1.1	203.0	-9.8	0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	35.8	80.8	-45.0	EUT horizontal
867.835	24.6	11.1	2.3	340.9	-9.8	0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	35.7	80.8	-45.1	EUT vertical

Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Antenna Height (meters)	Azimuth (degrees)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity/ Transducer Type	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted (dBuV/m)	Spec. Limit (dBuV/m)	Compared to Spec. (dB)	Comments
867.815	24.4	11.1	1.0	41.9		0.0	Horz	PK	0.0	35.5	80.8	-45.3	EUT horizontal
867.845	23.1	11.1	1.2	271.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	34.2	80.8	-46.6	EUT horizontal
867.840	22.8	11.1	1.0	250.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	33.9	80.8	-46.9	EUT vertical
867.810	21.5	11.1	1.0	213.0		0.0	Vert	PK	0.0	32.6	80.8	-48.2	EUT on side

OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH



XMI 2019.02.26

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Probe - Near Field Set	ETS Lindgren	7405	IPO	NCR	NCR
Cable	ESM Cable Corp.	TTBJ141 KMKM-72	MNU	15-Mar-19	15-Mar-20
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A (EXA)	AFQ	13-Dec-18	13-Dec-19

TEST DESCRIPTION

A near-field probe was placed near the transmitter. A low-loss coaxial cable was used to connect the near-field probe to the spectrum analyzer. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum data rate.

The 20 dB occupied bandwidth is required to be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency for devices operating above 70 MHz and below 900 MHz.

OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH



XMM 2019.02.28

EUT: TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module		Work Order: REMT0034
Serial Number: Regulatory 1		Date: 8-Apr-19
Customer: Remote Technologies, Inc.		Temperature: 23.1 °C
Attendees: None		Humidity: 38.2% RH
Project: None		Barometric Pres.: 1009 mbar
Tested by: Dustin Sparks	Power: 3.6VDC	Job Site: MN08
TEST SPECIFICATIONS		
FCC 15.231:2019		Test Method
		ANSI C63.10:2013
COMMENTS		
None		
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD		
None		
Configuration #	1	Signature <i>Dustin Sparks</i>


	Value	Limit	Result
433.92 MHz Periodic Occupied Bandwidth	10.551 kHz	≤ 1.08 MHz	Pass

OCCUPIED BANDWIDTH



XMI 2019.02.26

433.92 MHz Periodic, Occupied Bandwidth			
	Value	Limit	Result
	10.551 kHz	≤ 1.08 MHz	Pass



DUTY CYCLE



XMI 2019.02.26

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Cal. Due
Cable	Element	Biconilog Cable	MNX	23-Feb-19	23-Feb-20
Antenna - Biconilog	ETS Lindgren	3142D	AXO	15-Dec-17	15-Dec-19
Analyzer - Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9010A (EXA)	AFQ	13-Dec-18	13-Dec-19

TEST DESCRIPTION

The measurement was made in a radiated configuration of the fundamental with the carrier fully maximized for its highest radiated power. For software controlled or pre-programmed devices, the manufacturer shall declare the duty cycle class or classes for the equipment under test. For manually operated or event dependant devices, with or without software controlled functions, the manufacturer shall declare whether the device once triggered, follows a pre-programmed cycle, or whether the transmission is constant until the trigger is released or manually reset. The manufacturer shall also give a description of the application for the device and include a typical usage pattern. The typical usage pattern as declared by the manufacturer shall be used to determine the duty cycle and hence the duty class.

Where an acknowledgement is required, the additional transmitter on-time shall be included and declared by the manufacturer.

To derive average emission measurements, a duty cycle correction factor was utilized:

Duty Cycle = On time/100 milliseconds (or the period, whichever is less)

Where "On time" = $N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots$

Where N1 is the number of type 1 pulses, L1 is length of type 1 pulses, N2 is the number of type 2 pulses, L2 is the length of type 2 pulses, etc.

Therefore, Duty Cycle = $(N1L1 + N2L2 + \dots)/100\text{mS}$ or T, whichever is less. (Where T is the period of the pulse train.)

The measured values for the EUT's pulse train are as follows:

Period = **100** mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 1 Pulse = **0.8033** mSec

Pulsewidth of Type 2 Pulse = **0.4017** mSec

Number of Type 1 Pulses = **12**

Number of Type 2 Pulses = **57**

Duty Cycle = $20 \log [((12)(0.8033) + (57)(0.4017)) / 100] = -9.75 \text{ dB}$

The duty cycle correction factor of **-9.75 dB** was added to the peak readings to mathematically derive the average levels. Peak measurements were made with a resolution bandwidth of 100kHz and a video bandwidth of 300kHz.

DUTY CYCLE



XMM 2019.02.28


EUT: TXB-DB2 Dual Transmitter Module		Work Order: REMT0034	
Serial Number: Regulatory 1		Date: 4-Apr-19	
Customer: Remote Technologies, Inc.		Temperature: 22.9 °C	
Attendees: None		Humidity: 20.9% RH	
Project: None		Barometric Pres.: 1027 mbar	
Tested by: Dustin Sparks		Power: Battery	
		Job Site: MN09	
TEST SPECIFICATIONS			
FCC 15.231:2019		Test Method	
		ANSI C63.10:2013	
COMMENTS			
None			
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD			
None			
Configuration #	1	Signature <i>Dustin Sparks</i>	
		# of Pulses (Type 1)	# of Pulses (Type 2)
		Pulse Length (Type 1, ms)	Pulse Length (Type 2, ms)
		Total On Time (ms)	Result
300ms Sweep		N/A	N/A
80ms Sweep		12	57
40ms Sweep With Pulse Lengths		12	57
		0.8033	0.4017
		32.5365	Pass

DUTY CYCLE




XMI 2019.02.26

300ms Sweep						
# of Pulses (Type 1)	# of Pulses (Type 2)	Pulse Length (Type 1, ms)	Pulse Length (Type 2, ms)	Total On Time (ms)	Result	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



80ms Sweep						
# of Pulses (Type 1)	# of Pulses (Type 2)	Pulse Length (Type 1, ms)	Pulse Length (Type 2, ms)	Total On Time (ms)	Result	
12	57	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



DUTY CYCLE



XMI 2019.02.26

40ms Sweep With Pulse Lengths						
# of Pulses (Type 1)	# of Pulses (Type 2)	Pulse Length (Type 1, ms)	Pulse Length (Type 2, ms)	Total On Time (ms)	Result	
12	57	0.8033	0.4017	32.5365	Pass	

