

Amber Helm Development L.C.

92723 Michigan Hwy-152

Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA

Tel: 888-847-8027

EMC Test Report

CSCU-WR1908TX

Issued: March 25, 2019

regarding

USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.231 (Emissions)

Canada: ISED RSS-210v9/GENv5 (Emissions)

for



A2C16488500

Category: Keyless Entry Transmitter

Judgments:

Compliant 15.231/RSS-210v9 Transmitter

Testing Completed: March 22, 2019



Prepared for:

Continental Automotive

4685 Investment Drive, Troy Michigan 48098 USA


Phone: +1 (248) 764-6783, Fax: +1 (248) 764-7281

Contact: Charles Muma, Charles.Muma@continental-corporation.com


Data Recorded by:


Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Prepared by:


Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Reviewed by:


Gordon Helm, EMC-002401-NE

Date of Issue: March 25, 2019

Revision History

Rev. No.	Date	Details	Revised By
r0	March 25, 2019	Initial Release.	J. Brunett

Contents

Revision History	2
Table of Contents	2
1 Test Report Scope and Limitations	4
1.1 Laboratory Authorization	4
1.2 Report Retention	4
1.3 Subcontracted Testing	4
1.4 Test Data	4
1.5 Limitation of Results	4
1.6 Copyright	4
1.7 Endorsements	4
1.8 Test Location	5
1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used	5
2 Test Specifications and Procedures	6
2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures	6
3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test	7
3.1 Description and Declarations	7
3.1.1 EUT Configuration	7
3.1.2 Modes of Operation	8
3.1.3 Variants	8
3.1.4 Test Samples	8
3.1.5 Functional Exerciser	8
3.1.6 Modifications Made	8
3.1.7 Production Intent	8
3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes	8
4 Emissions	9
4.1 General Test Procedures	9
4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures	9
4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures	11
4.1.3 Power Supply Variation	11
4.2 Intentional Emissions	12
4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation	12
4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth	14
4.2.3 Fundamental Emission Field Strength	16
4.3 Unintentional Emissions	17
4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions	17
4.3.2 Radiated Digital Spurious	18
5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents	19

List of Tables

1	Test Site List.	5
2	Equipment List.	5
3	EUT Declarations.	7
4	Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	12
5	Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.	14
6	Fundamental Emission Field Strength.	16
7	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.	17
8	Measurement Uncertainty.	19

List of Figures

1	Photos of EUT.	7
2	EUT Test Configuration Diagram.	7
3	Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.	9
4	Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).	10
5	Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	13
6	Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.	15
7	Accreditation Documents	19

1 Test Report Scope and Limitations

1.1 Laboratory Authorization

Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: US5348 and US5356) and with ISED Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: US0213). Amber Helm Development L.C. holds accreditation under NVLAP Lab Code 200129-0.

1.2 Report Retention

For equipment verified to comply with the regulations herein, the manufacturer is obliged to retain this report with the product records for the life of the product, and no less than ten years. A copy of this Report will remain on file with this laboratory until April 2029.

1.3 Subcontracted Testing

This report does not contain data produced under subcontract.

1.4 Test Data

This test report contains data included within the laboratories scope of accreditation.

1.5 Limitation of Results

The test results contained in this report relate only to the item(s) tested. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to the test date shall invalidate the data presented in this report. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to this test date shall require reevaluation.

1.6 Copyright

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Amber Helm Development L.C.

1.7 Endorsements

This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by any accrediting, regulatory, or governmental agency.

1.8 Test Location

The EUT was fully tested by **Amber Helm Development L.C.**, headquartered at 92723 Michigan Hwy-152, Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA. Table 1 lists all sites employed herein. Specific test sites utilized are also listed in the test results sections of this report where needed.

Table 1: Test Site List.

Description	Location	Quality Num.
OATS (3 meter)	3615 E Grand River Rd., Williamston, Michigan 48895	OATSC

1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used

Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 2. The quality system employed at Amber Helm Development L.C. has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards.

Table 2: Equipment List.

Description	Manufacturer/Model	SN	Quality Num.	Last Cal By / Date Due
Biconical	EMCO / 93110B	9802-3039	BICEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2019
Log Periodic Antenna	EMCO / 3146	9305-3614	LOGEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2019
BNC-BNC Coax	WRTL / RG58/U	001	CAB001-BLACK	AHD / Jul-2019
3.5-3.5MM Coax	PhaseFlex / PhaseFlex	001	CAB015-PURP	AHD / Jul-2019
Spectrum Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz / FSV30	101660	RSFSV30001	RS / Apr-2019
Quad Ridge Horn	Singer / A6100	C35200	HQR1TO18S01	Keysight / Aug-2019

2 Test Specifications and Procedures

2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The ultimate goal of Continental Automotive is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Continental Automotive A2C16488500 for compliance to:

Country/Region	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.231
Canada	ISED Canada	ISED RSS-210v9/GENv5

It has been determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2014	”Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz”
ANSI C63.10:2013	”American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices”
TP0102RA	”AHD Internal Document TP0102 - Radiated Emissions Test Procedure”
ISED Canada	”The Measurement of Occupied Bandwidth”
ICES-003; Issue 6 (2016)	”Information Technology Equipment (ITE) Limits and methods of measurement”

3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

3.1 Description and Declarations

The equipment under test is a remote keyless entry transmitter. The EUT is approximately 6.5 x 3.5 x 1 cm in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 1. It is powered by 3 VDC Lithium cell battery. In use, this device is hand held. Table 3 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.



Figure 1: Photos of EUT.

Table 3: EUT Declarations.

General Declarations			
Equipment Type:	Keyless Entry Transmitter	Country of Origin:	Not Declared
Nominal Supply:	3 VDC	Oper. Temp Range:	Not Declared
Frequency Range:	433.92 MHz	Antenna Dimension:	Not Declared
Antenna Type:	PCB Trace	Antenna Gain:	-20 dBi (approx)
Number of Channels:	1	Channel Spacing:	Not Applicable
Alignment Range:	Not Declared	Type of Modulation:	FSK
United States			
FCC ID Number:	KR5A2C16488500	Classification:	DSC
Canada			
IC Number:	7812D-A2C16488500	Classification:	Remote Control Device, Vehicular Device

3.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

3.1.2 Modes of Operation

This device is capable of only a single mode of operation, as a manually activated dual channel FSK transmitter.

3.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of the EUT, as tested.

3.1.4 Test Samples

Two samples in total were provided; one sample capable of CW transmission, and one normal operating sample. Both samples were fully tested.

3.1.5 Functional Exerciser

Normal operating EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal.

3.1.6 Modifications Made

There were no modifications made to the EUT by this laboratory.

3.1.7 Production Intent

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes

None.

4 Emissions

4.1 General Test Procedures

4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our screen room. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.8 are employed. After pre-scan, emission measurements are made on the test site of record. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 3. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded. If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied.




Figure 3: Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna and/or E-field and H-Field broadband probes are used depending on the regulations. Shielded loops are placed at a 1 meter receive height at the desired measurement distance. For exposure in this band, the broadband probes employed are 10cm diameter single-axis shielded transducers and measurements are repeated and summed over three axes.

Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain or broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a 4 × 5 m rectangle of ECCOSORB absorber covering the OATS ground screen and a 1.5m table height. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to dB μ V/m at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where P_R is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm, K_A is the test antenna factor in dB/m, K_G is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB, K_E is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and C_F is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4: Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

The EUT is not subject to measurement of power line conducted emissions as it is powered solely by its internal battery.

4.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

In the case the EUT is designed for operation from a battery power source, the extreme test voltages are evaluated over the range specified in the test standard; no less than $\pm 10\%$ of the nominal battery voltage declared by the manufacturer. For all battery operated equipment, worst case intentional and spurious emissions are re-checked employing a new (fully charged) battery.

4.2 Intentional Emissions

4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Duty cycle is reported for all relevant modes of operation. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 4. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 5.

Table 4: Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.

Detector Pk	Span 0	IF Bandwidth 1 MHz	Video Bandwidth 3 MHz	Internal Frame Characteristics				Test Date: 15-Mar-19	Test Engineer: J. Brunett	EUT: Conti SCU	EUT Mode: Normal Operating	Meas. Distance: 10 cm	
				Min. Repetition Rate (sec)	Max. No. of Frames	Total Transmission Length (sec)	Max. Frame Length (ms)	Min. Frame Period (ms)	Frame Encoding				
R0	Test Freq. (MHz)	EUT Test Mode*	Overall Transmission										FCC/IC
R6	433.92	Manual Activated, FSK	Min. Repetition Rate (sec)	single	Max. No. of Frames	Total Transmission Length (sec)	Max. Frame Length (ms)	Min. Frame Period (ms)	Frame Encoding	(%)	(dB)		
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8		54.6	-5.3	C9	C10

Example Calculation: $(4.275 \text{ ms} + 50.33 \text{ ms}) / 100 \text{ ms} = 54.6\% \text{ on-time.}$




Figure 5: Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.

4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available frame length and minimum frame spacing. The 20 dB EBW is measured as the max-held peak-detected signal when the IF bandwidth is greater than or equal to 1% of the receiver span. For complex modulations other than ASK and FSK, the 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures has a different result, and is also reported. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 5. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 6.

Table 5: Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.

Detector	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	15-Mar-19
Pk	10 kHz	30 kHz	Test Engineer:	J. Brunett
			EUT:	Conti SCU
			EUT Mode:	Normal Operating
			Meas. Distance:	10 cm
FCC/IC				
R0	Mode	Center Frequency (MHz)	20 dB EBW (MHz)	EBW Limit (MHz)
R1	FSK	433.59	0.075	1.084
R2	FSK	434.25	0.066	1.086
#	C1	C2	C3	C4
				C5
				C7
				C8

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:

R0 C8 Per KDB 926416, for FCC 15.231 non-sweeping devices, total bandwidth is sum of the individual occupied 20 dB bandwidths. At most the manuf. uses 2 channels. Device bandwidth is restricted to 0.0025 (.25%) of the center frequency.




Figure 6: Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.

4.2.3 Fundamental Emission Field Strength

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Fundamental emissions are measured at the regulatory distance on our OATS. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Fundamental Emission Field Strengths.

4.3 Unintentional Emissions

4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

Test Setup & Procedure The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 2.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Spurious radiated emissions measurements are performed to 10 times the highest fundamental operating frequency. The test equipment employed includes RSFSV30001, LOGEMCO01, HQR1TO18S01.

Measurement Results The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7: Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

EUT Modes:										a1	CW - KEY IN	a5		a6		a7		a8												
Test Date(s):		03/15/19		a3																										
Test Engineer:		J. Brunett		a4																										
R0	Frequency Start MHz	Frequency Stop MHz	Temp. (C)	Table Hum. %	Table Angle deg	MR	DR	N/F	CF	Mode see table	Volt. (V)	Dim. cm	Pol. H/V	Ant. m	Dim. cm	Ka	Cable Kg	Receiver Rx Power Pk dBm	Bandwidth RBW MHz	Field Strength @ DR Pk dBm	Qpk / Avg Meas. USA CAN	EIRP Calc. dBm	Details Pass							
																			Limit USA CAN	Calc. dBm	Fail									
R1	SETUP		OATSC				CONTI SCU		EMCOLOG		CAB001		RSFSV30001		NOTES: H-POL - FLAT, V-POL END Worst Case Orient															
R2	867.2	867.2	7 / 58	110.0	3.0	3.0		0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H	1.0	100.0	15.3	-0.2		0.12	0.30	25.4	80.8	80.8	20.1	60.8	60.8	-69.8		40.7	
R3	867.2	867.2	7 / 58	90.0	3.0	3.0		0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	V	1.1	100.0	15.3	-0.2		0.12	0.30	22.0	80.8	80.8	16.7	60.8	60.8	-73.2		44.1	
R4	SETUP		OATSC				CONTI SCU		HRNSINGQOR		CAB015		RSFSV30001		NOTES: max all orientations of EUT															
R5	1300.8	1300.8	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.2	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	22.0	-2.9			1.00	3.00	40.1	74.0	74.0	34.8	54.0	54.0	-55.1		19.2
R6	1734.4	1734.4	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.3	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	26.7	-3.4			1.00	3.00	36.1	74.0	74.0	30.8	54.0	54.0	-59.1		23.2
R7	2167.9	2167.9	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.3	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	29.5	-3.9			1.00	3.00	38.6	74.0	74.0	33.3	54.0	54.0	-56.6		20.7
R8	2601.5	2601.5	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.4	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.1	-4.4			1.00	3.00	38.6	74.0	74.0	33.3	54.0	54.0	-56.6		20.7
R9	3035.1	3035.1	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.5	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.8	-4.9			1.00	3.00	37.5	74.0	74.0	32.2	54.0	54.0	-57.7		21.8
R10	3468.7	3468.7	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.5	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.9	-5.4			1.00	3.00	38.6	74.0	74.0	33.3	54.0	54.0	-56.6		20.7
R11	3902.3	3902.3	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.6	0.0		a1	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	32.0	-5.9			1.00	3.00	39.3	74.0	74.0	34.0	54.0	54.0	-55.9		20.0
R12	4335.9	4335.9	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.7	0.0		a1	4.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	32.3	-6.3			1.00	3.00	40.7	74.0	74.0	35.4	54.0	54.0	-54.5		18.6
R13																														
R14	SETUP		OATSC				0		EMCOLOG		CAB001		RSFSV30001		NOTES: H-POL - FLAT, V-POL END Worst Case Orient															
R15	867.2	867.2	7 / 58	110.0	3.0	3.0		0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H	1.0	100.0	15.3	-0.2			0.12	0.30	25.8	80.8	80.8	20.5	60.8	60.8	-69.4		40.3
R16	867.2	867.2	7 / 58	90.0	3.0	3.0		0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	V	1.1	100.0	15.3	-0.2			0.12	0.30	20.9	80.8	80.8	15.6	60.8	60.8	-74.3		45.2
R17	SETUP		OATSC				0		HRNSINGQOR		CAB015		RSFSV30001		NOTES: max all orientations of EUT															
R18	1300.8	1300.8	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.2	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	22.0	-2.9			1.00	3.00	39.4	74.0	74.0	34.1	54.0	54.0	-55.8		19.9
R19	1734.4	1734.4	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.3	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	26.7	-3.4			1.00	3.00	37.4	74.0	74.0	32.1	54.0	54.0	-57.8		21.9
R20	2167.9	2167.9	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.3	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	29.5	-3.9			1.00	3.00	36.2	74.0	74.0	30.9	54.0	54.0	-59.0		23.1
R21	2601.5	2601.5	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.4	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.1	-4.4			1.00	3.00	37.9	74.0	74.0	32.6	54.0	54.0	-57.3		21.4
R22	3035.1	3035.1	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.5	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.8	-4.9			1.00	3.00	37.4	74.0	74.0	32.1	54.0	54.0	-57.8		21.9
R23	3468.7	3468.7	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.5	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	31.9	-5.4			1.00	3.00	38.3	74.0	74.0	33.0	54.0	54.0	-56.9		21.0
R24	3902.3	3902.3	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.6	0.0		a2	3.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	32.0	-5.9			1.00	3.00	39.5	74.0	74.0	34.2	54.0	54.0	-55.7		19.8
R25	4335.9	4335.9	7 / 58	all	3.0	3.0	0.7	0.0		a2	4.0	8.0	H/V	all	15.0	32.3	-6.3			1.00	3.00	39.7	74.0	74.0	34.4	54.0	54.0	-55.5		19.6
R26	#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18	C19	C20	C21	C22	C23	C24	C25	C26	C27	C28	C29
(ROW)		(COLUMN)				NOTE:																								
R0		C5	MR is Measurement Range, which is reduced from DR to achieve necessary SNR.																											
R0		C6	DR is the regulatory Desired Range measurement distance.																											
R0		C7	N/F is Near-Field / Far-Field distance computed for max of EUT Antenna Dimension (C10) computed above 1 GHz.																											
R0		C8	CF is computed using a 20 dB/decade Decay Rate.																											
R0		C18/19	When E-field or EIRP is reported directly from Spectrum Analyzer, Antenna Factors and Cable losses are included directly in SA settings and Pr is not reported.																											

4.3.2 Radiated Digital Spurious

The results for the measurement of digital spurious emissions are not reported herein as all digital emissions were greater than 20 dB below the regulatory limit. Radiation from digital components was measured to 4 GHz, or to five times the maximum digital component operating frequency, whichever is greater.

5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

The maximum values of measurement uncertainty for the laboratory test equipment and facilities associated with each test are given in the table below. This uncertainty is computed for a 95.45% confidence level based on a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Table 8: Measurement Uncertainty.

Measured Parameter	Measurement Uncertainty [†]
Radio Frequency Conducted Emm. Amplitude	$\pm(f_{Mkr}/10^7 + RBW/10 + (SPN/(PTS - 1))/2 + 1 \text{ Hz})$ $\pm1.9 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude (30 – 200 MHz)	$\pm4.0 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude (200 – 1000 MHz)	$\pm5.2 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ($f > 1000 \text{ MHz}$)	$\pm3.7 \text{ dB}$

[†]Ref: CISPR 16-4-2:2011+A1:2014



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Laboratory Division
7435 Oakland Mills Road
Columbia, MD 21046

July 06, 2018

National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program
100 Bureau Drive
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2140

Attention: Timothy Rasinski
Re: Accreditation of AHD (Amber Helm Development, L.C.)
Designation Number: US3348
Test Firm Registration #: 639064

Dear Sir or Madam:

We have been notified by National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program that AHD (Amber Helm Development, L.C.) has been accredited as a testing laboratory.

At this time AHD (Amber Helm Development, L.C.) is hereby recognized to perform compliance testing on equipment subject to Declaration Of Conformity (DOC) and Certification of the Commission's Rules.

This recognition will expire upon expiration of the accreditation or notification of withdrawal of recognition. Any questions about this recognition should be submitted as an inquiry to the FCC Knowledge Database at www.fcc.gov/kdb.

Sincerely,

George Tammill
Electronics Engineer



Figure 7: Accreditation Documents