

Mike Kuo

From: emily [emily.fan@ccsemc.cn]
Sent: April 28日 2004年 Wednesday 11:01 AM
To: Mike Kuo
Cc: Helen
Subject: Re: RE: RE: Re: FW: PADIX COMPANY LTD., FCC ID: K5MRF-520GU27M, AN04T3833



Test Report
Revised.pdf

Hi Mike,

In fact, the FCC ID number should be K5MRF-520GU27F. It is because the 6D form what the applicant signed is wrong. We just received their e-mail to confirm the ID number. I revised the test report. As to the application form, it seems that I can't correct it by myself. Would you please help me deal with it? Thank you for your time and review.

Attached is the revised test report.

Best Regards,

Emily

===== 2004-04-28 15:48:00 ? ? ? ? ? ? : =====

>Hi Emily :

>

>When you submit the application for regulatory approval, you have to double
>check the information that you provided.

>

>The FCC ID number listed in the revised FCC ID label format is
>K5MRF-520GU27F which is different than the FCC ID number listed in the
>revised test report and the TCB application form. Please check it again.

>

>Best Regards

>

>Mike Kuo

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: emily [mailto:emily.fan@ccsemc.cn]

>Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2004 4:26 AM

>To: Mike Kuo

>Cc: Helen

>Subject: Re: RE: RE: FW: PADIX COMPANY LTD., FCC ID: K5MRF-520GU27M,
>AN04T3833

>

>

>Hi Mike,

>

>The applicant is Charm Winner Co Ltd, We have modified the related

>documents. Pls refer to the
>
>attachment. Thank you for your review.
>
>Best Regards,
>
>Emily
>
>
>
>
>
>===== 2004-04-16 17:30:00 ? ? ? ? ? ? : =====
>
>>Hi Emily :
>>
>>The Grantee code K5M is assigned to Charm Winner Co Ltd, the grantee code
>>for Padix Company should be Q00.
>>
>>Please confirm who is the applicant and make necessary correction.
>>
>>Best Regards
>>
>>Mike Kuo
>>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: emily [mailto:emily.fan@ccsemc.cn]
>>Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2004 6:13 PM
>>To: Mike
>>Subject: Fw: Re: FW: PADIX COMPANY LTD., FCC ID: K5MRF-520GU27M, AN04T3833
>>
>>
>>Hello Mike,
>>
>>Have you received my e-mail on 04/13? Thank you for your reply.
>>
>>Best Regards,
>>
>>Emily
>>
>>
>>
>>*****? ? ? ? ? ? *****
>>? ? ? ? ? ? : emily
>>? ? ? ? ? ? : emily.fan@ccsemc.cn
>>
>>>Hello Mike,
>>>
>>>I could not enter TCB/IC-CB Electronic Filing System. I have tried again
>>and again. So, I send
>>>
>>>the revised documents to you. Sorry for causing you any troubles.
>>>
>>>Thank you very much for your time and review.
>>>
>>>The attached is revised documents.
>>>
>>>Best Regards,
>>>
>>>Emily
>>>

>>>
>>>===== 2004-04-08 14:16:00 ? ? ? ? ? ? : =====
>>>
>>>-----Original Message-----
>>>From: CERTADM
>>>Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2004 2:15 PM
>>>To: Mike Kuo
>>>Subject: PADIX COMPANY LTD., FCC ID:K5MRF-520GU27M, AN04T3833
>>>
>>>
>>>Notice_content
>>> -----
>>>Question #1: There is typo on the FCC ID label format. Please change
>"ont
>"
>>>to " not ". Submit revised FCC ID label format.
>>>
>>>Question #2: In the submitted schematic diagram file, receiver schematic
>>>diagram is included. Please delete receiver schematic diagram which is
>>not
>>>included in this review. Please submit revised schematic diagram file
>>>again.
>>>
>>>Question #3: Submitted internal photos can not be read. Please take a
>>close
>>>up photo of PCB board and make sure all the components on the PCB can be
>>>read.
>>>
>>>Question #4: In the request for confidentiality letter, electronic part
>>form
>>>and layout diagram are listed as confidential document but these two
>files
>>>can not be located. Please explain. Note: For FCC Part 15 subpart C
>>>submission, Part list and layout diagram are not required.
>>>
>>>Question #5:FCC Docket 01-278, 95-19 and FCC 03-149 has been published in
>>>Federal Register on Dec. 09, 2003 with effective date of Jan. 08, 2004.
>>All
>>>applications submitted after Jan.08 shall comply with ANSI C63.4:2001
>>>procedures. In the test report, ANSI C63.4:1992 is used. Please confirm
>>>the tests were performed per ANSI C63.4:2001 and revised the test report
>>to
>>>indicate the test procedure used.
>>>
>>>Question #6: Per ANSI C63.4:2001, section 13.1.4.1 of procedures,
>>hand-held
>>>device shall be investigated with three orthogonal axes to determine
>which
>>>attitude and equipment arrangement produces highest emission. In the
>test
>>>report, there is no indication that this procedure was followed during
>>>preliminary radiated emission tests. Please explain and / or provide
>>>additional data.
>>>
>>>Question #7: There are duplicated FCC warning statement in page 1 and
>page
>>2
>>>of user manual. In the user manual, it requires the end user to use
>>>shielded AC and I/O cables. Please explain why these two requirement are
>>>necessary for FCC compliance.

>>>>
>>>>Best Regards
>>>>
>>>>Mike Kuo
>>>>The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can
>continue
>>>>on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
>>>>information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in
>>>>application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
>>>>that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
>>submitted.
>>>>Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed
>>to
>>>>the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

>>>
>>>= = = = =

>>>
>>>
>>> ?
>>>? !
>>>
>>>
>>> emily
>>> emily.fan@ccsemc.cn
>>> 2004-04-13
>>

>>= = = = =

>>
>> ?
>>? !
>>
>>
>> emily
>> emily.fan@ccsemc.cn
>> 2004-04-16
>

>= = = = =

>
>
> ?
>? !
>
>
> emily
> emily.fan@ccsemc.cn
> 2004-04-28
>

= = = = =

?
? !

emily
emily.fan@ccsemc.cn
2004-04-29