Test Report 2024-110

Version A
Issued 6 Sept 2024

Project: GCL-0580
Model Identifier: A05000
Primary Test Standard(s):
CFR 47, FCC Part 15.247
RSS-247 Issue 3

Garmin Compliance Lab
Garmin International
1200 E 151st Street
Olathe Kansas 66062 USA

Client-supplied Information

FCC ID:	IPH-05000
IC ID:	1792A-05000

See section 6 of this report regarding the presence or absence of accreditation logos or marks on this cover page.

1. Summary

The equipment or product described in section 5 of this report was tested at the Garmin Compliance Lab according to standards listed in section 6. This report focuses on the 2.4 GHz Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) transceiver(s). Test records within this report may include data for the ANT transmitter, but ANT is addressed in a separate report. The results are as follows.

Parameter	Description	Key Performance Values	Result	Data starts at page
Radio Modulation	Summary of the kinds of communication this radio can achieve, as stated by the client. [RSS-GEN at Annex A item 10b]	Digitally modulated spread spectrum at rates as high as 2 Mbps.	Reported	N/A
Hopping Channels	The radio manages it use of channels appropriately. [15.247(a)(1); RSS-247 at 5.1]	N/A. The radios described in this report are not subjected to the Frequency Hopping rules.	N/A	N/A
DTS Bandwidth	The nature of the radio signal is broadband, being at least 500 kHz wide. [15.247(a)(2); RSS-247 at 5.2(a)]	The 6dB bandwidth is 1080 kHz or greater.	PASS	13
Other Bandwidths	Regulatory agencies also require the reporting of signal bandwidths using alternate processes. [2.202; RSS-GEN at 6.7]	These values are reported but have no actual performance requirements.	Reported	16
Transmit Power	The peak transmit power presented to the antenna is no greater than 1 Watt or 30 dBm. The effective radiated power is limited to 4 Watts or 36 dBm EIRP. [15.247(b); RSS-247 at 5.4(d)]	The maximum transmit power is 3.46 dBm or 1.73 mW.	PASS	21
Antenna Gain	The radio should not focus too much energy in any direction. Unless additional rules are applied, the antenna gain is no greater than 6 dBi. [15.247(b)(4) and (c)]	NT. The client stated that the antenna gain was -1.537 dBi and will document antenna gain separately.	NT	NT
Unwanted Emissions (Conducted Spurious)	The radio should not provide too much radio energy to the antenna at frequencies beyond its intended frequency band. [15.247(d); RSS-247 at 5.5]	NT. Emissions outside the band must be reduced at least 20 dB from in-band levels.	NT	NT
Restricted Bands	The radio must not emit in certain designated restricted frequency bands above a set of limit values. [15.247(d) and 15.205; RSS-247 at 3.3]	NT	NT	NT
Power Spectral Density	The radio must not focus too much radio energy in a narrow frequency band. [15.247(e); RSS-247 at 5.2(b)]	The limit is 8 dBm in a 3 kHz band. The strongest emission level was -11.36 dBm in a band of at least 3 kHz.	PASS	23
Hybrid Systems	A radio that is both frequency hopping and digitally modulated should satisfy a combination of system rules. [15.247(f); RSS-247 at 5.3]	N/A. The radios described in this report are not subjected to the Hybrid System rules.	N/A	N/A

Frequency Hopping Rules	Frequency hopping systems have additional functional requirements. [15.247(g) and (h); RSS-247 at 5.1]	N/A. The radios described in this report are not subjected to the Frequency Hopping rules.	N/A	N/A
Radio Safety	The radio emissions must meet public health & safety guidelines related to human exposure. [15.247(i) and 1.1307; RSS-Gen at 3.4]	NT. Client will report radio energy safety results separately.	NT	NT
Unwanted Emissions (Radiated Spurious)	While transmitting, the radiated emissions must not be too strong. [15.209, RSS-Gen at 8.9]	NT	NT	NT
Unwanted Emissions (Mains Conducted)	While transmitting, the emissions conducted into the power mains must not be too strong. [15.207, RSS-Gen at 8.8]	Emissions other than the fundamental and harmonics must meet the 'Class B' limits. The measured emissions had at least 24.68 dB of margin.	PASS	25

NT (Not Tested) means the requirement may or may not be applicable, but the relevant measurement or test was not performed as part of this test project.

N/A (Not Applicable) means the lab judged that the test sample is exempt from the requirement.

Table 1: Summary of results

Report Organization

For convenience of the reader, this report is organized as follows:

1. Summary
2. Test Background
3. Report History and Approval
4. Test Sample Modifications and Special Conditions
5. Description of Equipment Tested
6. Test Standards Applied
7. Measurement Instrumentation Uncertainty
8. Selected Examples of Calculations
9. Environmental Conditions During Test
10. Immunity Performance Criteria

Annex: Test records are provided for each type of test, following the order and page numbering stated in the summary table. Concluding notes appear on the final page of this report.

Due to confidentiality, certain material (such as test setup photographs) has been removed from this report and placed in GCL Test Report 2024-116. That report is treated as a part of this document by way of this reference.

2. Test Background

2.1 The Test Lab

The testing reported here was performed at the Garmin Compliance Lab, an organization within Garmin International, located at 1200 E 151st St, Olathe Kansas, USA. The contact telephone number is +1.913.397.8200.

2.2 The Client

The testing was performed on behalf of the Garmin design group, a separate organization located at 1200 E 151st St, Olathe Kansas, USA. Witnesses from the business group included: None.

2.3 Other Information

Test Sample received:	22 July 2024
Test Start Date:	24 July 2024
Test End Date:	22 Aug 2024

The data in this test report apply only to the specific samples tested.

Upon receipt all test samples were believed to be properly assembled and ready for testing.

3. Report History and Approval

This report was written by Andy Heier and initially issued on 6 Sept 2024 as Version A.

Report Technical Review: ______________________

David Arnett
Technical Lead EMC Engineer

Report Approval: ______________________

Shruti Kohli
Manager Test and Measurement (EMC, Reliability and Calibration)

4. Test Sample Modifications and Special Conditions

The following special conditions or usage attributes were judged during test to be necessary to achieve compliance with one or more of the standards listed in section 6 of this report:
None

The following modifications to the test sample(s) were made, and are judged necessary to achieve compliance with one or more of the standards listed in section 6 of this report:
None

5. Description of the Equipment Tested

5.1 Unique Identification

Product Model	A05000
Serial Number tested	477224758

This product tested is a mobile device for collecting and sharing data with the user and nearby electronic devices.

The client affirmed that the test samples will be representative of production in all relevant aspects.

5.2 Key Parameters

EUT Input Power:	5 Vdc
I/O Ports:	USB
Radio Transceivers:	Bluetooth Low Energy, ANT, NFC
Radio Receivers:	GPS L1, GPS L5, Galileo E1, Galileo E5a/b, BeiDou, GLONASS
Primary Functions:	Data collection and communication
Typical use:	Portable in multiple orientations
Highest internal frequency:	2.484 GHz
Firmware Revision	4.03

5.3 Operating modes

During test, the EUT was operated in one or more of the following modes.

Mode 3: M3 (BleTx). Bluetooth Low Energy radio transmitting consistently on a selected channel at 1 Mbps or 2 Mbps.

Mode 4: M4 (BleLnk). Bluetooth Low Energy radio is paired to a companion device, transmitting and receiving data on various channels in accordance with the protocol, and maintaining the paired relationship.

Mode 5: M5 (AntTx). ANT radio transmitting consistently on a selected channel.

Mode 6: M6 (AntLnk). ANT radio is paired to a companion device, transmitting and receiving data in accordance with the protocol, and maintaining the paired relationship.

Mode 9: M9 (RxBtBlA). The radio was set to receive 2.4 GHz signals but not transmitting in Bluetooth, Bluetooth low energy or ANT.

Mode 12: M12 (NfcLnk). The NFC radio was transmitting and actively linked to a NFC Card Reader.

Mode 13: M13 (GNSS). The Global Navigation Satellite System receiver is monitoring the GNSS bands, attempting to detect a constellation and determine location. Unless otherwise noted, the EUT was provided simulated GNSS signals representing one of more constellation types. In addition, the EUT may have been reporting signal levels and satellite data to an attached computer to monitor link health.

Mode 14: M14 (NfcIdle). The NFC Radio was powered, but not transmitting or linked to any devices.

Mode 19: M19 (ML1). Multiple link, combining modes M4 & M6. The EUT is actively paired to both a BLE and an ANT companion device, used for Immunity tests.

Mode 20: M20 (ML2). Multiple link, combining modes M12 & M13. The EUT is actively linked to a NFC card reader and the specified satellite system, used for immunity tests.

5.4 EUT Arrangement

During test, the EUT components and associated support equipment were selected including the following arrangement sets.

Arrangement 1: A1 (Solo). The test sample operates from its battery and no external physical connections. No block diagram is needed for this arrangement.

Arrangement 2: A2 (Upwr). The test sample is attached to a Mains-powered device connected that provides dc power to the sample over a cable but no user data. See the block diagram in Figure 1.

Arrangement 3: A3 (Udata). The test sample is attached to a Mains-powered device connected that provides dc power to the sample and user data over a cable. See the block diagram in Figure 1.

Arrangement 4: A4 (Udc). The test sample is attached to a Mains-powered device connected that provides dc power to the sample and may or may not provide user data. This arrangement is specified in the test plan to provide staff flexibility when the presence or absence of data on the cable is not pertinent. See the block diagram in Figure 1.



This interconnect drawing is not to scale.
It does not indicate the placement of devices.

Figure 1: Block diagram of equipment arrangements A2, A3, A4

Arrangement 6: A6 (NFCu). The test sample is powered via internal battery and actively linked to a NCR reader powered by a laptop PC.



Figure 2: Block diagram of equipment arrangement A6

Arrangement 7: A7 (NFCu). The test sample is powered via internal battery and actively linked to a passive NFC tag.



Figure 2: Block diagram of equipment arrangement A7

5.5 Associated Equipment (AE) used

Description	Manufacturer	Model	Serial/Part Number
USB C power adaptor	Phihong (Garmin)	AQ27A-59CFA	362-00118-00
Tablet	Apple	iPad Pro 11 inch	DMPZ7582KD6L
Laptop	Dell	Latitude 5410	5VSPFB3
Power Supply	Dell	HA65NM191	0BD-7TC0-A02
Phone	Samsung	SM-G973U (S10)	RF8MC0W9XVR
NFC Card Reader	ACS	ACR1252U-M1	RR554-118449
Auxiliary Device	Garmin	A04600	3423419439
Auxiliary Device	Garmin	A04883	3477207518

Table 2: List of associated equipment that may have been used during test

5.6 Cables used

Description	From	To	Length	EMC Treatment
USB C to custom cable	Power and/or Data source	EUT	0.5m	None

Table 3: List of cables that may have been used during test

6. Test Standards Applied

6.1. Accredited Standards

The following test or measurement standards were applied and are within the scope of the lab's accreditation. All results in this report that cite these standards are presented as Accredited results consistent with ISO/IEC 17025.

CFR 47, FCC Part 15, Subpart C
ANSI C63.10: 2020 and ANSI C63.10: 2020 +Cor 1: 2023
AS/NZS 4268: 2017
RSS-GEN Issue 5 Amd 2
RSS-247 Issue 3

6.2 Non-accredited Standards

The following test or measurement standards were applied and are either outside the scope of the lab's accreditation, or were performed in such a way that results are not presented as being fully accredited.

(None)

6.3 Variances

The following variances were applied to standards cited in this section.

Where different test standards cover the same test parameter or phenomenon, and the standards have compatible differences, the stricter of the requirements is typically applied. For example, a consolidated limit may be applied to emission tests selecting the strictest of the limits at each frequency. Likewise, if one standard requires a vertical antenna sweep with boresighting and another does not, swept motion with boresighting will typically be used as it is the more stringent requirement.

6.4 Laboratory Accreditation

The Garmin Compliance Lab, an organization within Garmin International, is registered with the US Federal Communication Commission as US1311. The lab is recognized by the Canada Department of Innovation, Science, and Economic Development (ISED) under CAB identifier US0233.

The Garmin Compliance Lab, an organization within Garmin International, is accredited by A2LA, Certificate No. 6162.01. The presence of the A2LA logo on the cover of this report indicates this is an accredited ISO/IEC 17025 test report. If the logo is absent, this report is not issued as an accredited report. Other marks and symbols adjacent to the A2LA logo are accreditation co-operations of which A2LA is a member under a mutual recognition agreement, and to which the Garmin Compliance Lab has been sublicensed.

7. Measurement Instrumentation Uncertainty

The lab has analyzed the sources of measurement instrumentation uncertainty. The analysis concludes that the actual measurement values cited in this report are accurate within the U_{LAB} intervals shown below with approximately 95% statistical confidence. Where the report shows a judgment that a test sample passes a test against a published limit based on these measured values, that judgment has a statistical confidence of 97.5% or greater. Measurement Instrumentation Uncertainty is one component of over-all measurement uncertainty, and other uncertainty components are not considered as part of this analysis.

The primary benchmark for measurement instrumentation uncertainty (MIU) in an electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) test lab is the set of U_{CISPR} values published in CISPR 16-4-2. In all cases where a U_{CISPR} value is published by CISPR, the analysis shows that U_{LAB} – this lab's estimated MIU – is better than the U_{CISPR} benchmark.

The secondary benchmark for MIU in an EMC lab performing radio transceiver tests is a set of uncertainty limit values published in various ETSI standards. In this report, U_{ETSI} is the most restrictive of the values found in the ETSI EN standards listed in section 5 of this report. The analysis principles are described in the ETSI TR documents listed there. In most cases U_{LAB} is better than the U_{ETSI} benchmark. Where U_{LAB} exceeds the U_{ETSI} benchmark cited here, that entry is preceded by an asterisk. When required by the ETSI EN standards, excess uncertainty will be added to the measurand before comparison to a limit. In an individual test report, staff may re-evaluate that excess uncertainty based on the uncertainty of the method used and the uncertainty limits of the actual ETSI EN standard being applied, and the revised uncertainty values will be shown in the test report.

Some measurement uncertainties analyzed and reported here are not addressed in CISPR 16-4-2 or the ETSI standards, as indicated by the entry 'None.'

Test Type		**U_{LAB}**	**U_{CISPR}**	**U_{ETSI}**
Conducted DC voltage		0.09% + 2 x LSDPV	None	1%
Conducted AC voltage below 500 Hz		1.0% + 3 x LSDPV	None	2%
Conducted Emissions, Mains Voltage		0.10% + 10 mV	None	None
Conducted Emissions, Mains Current		0.10% + 3 mA	None	None
Conducted Emissions, Mains Power		0.15% + 100 mW	None	None
Conducted Emissions, Power Mains, 9 kHz to 150 kHz		1.49 dB	3.8 dB	None
Conducted Emissions, Power Mains, 150 kHz to 30 MHz		1.40 dB	3.4 dB	None
Conducted Emissions, Cat 6 LCL, 150 kHz to 30 MHz		2.80dB	5 dB	None
Conducted Emissions, Cat 5 LCL, 150 kHz to 30 MHz		3.21 dB	5 dB	None
Conducted Emissions, Cat 3 LCL, 150 kHz to 30 MHz		4.24 dB	5 dB	None
Radiated Emissions, below 30 MHz		0.88 dB	None	6 dB
Radiated Emissions, 30 MHz to 1000 MHz		2.77 dB	6.3 dB	6 dB
Radiated Emissions, 1 GHz to 18 GHz		2.60 dB	5.2 & 5.5 dB	6 dB
Radiated Emissions, 18 GHz to 26.5 GHz		2.73 dB	None	6 dB
*Radio Signal Frequency Accuracy		*1.55 x 10^-7	None	1.0 x 10^-7
Radio Signal Occupied Bandwidth		0.95%	None	5%
Radio Power or Power Spectral Density		0.98 dB	None	1 dB
Temperature		0.38 °C	None	1 °C
Barometric Pressure		0.38 kPA	None	None
Relative Humidity		2.85% RH	None	±5% RH
Signal Timing	The greater of these three...	0.63 usec 0.01% of value 0.5 x LSDPV	None	None

Note: *LSDPV stands for the Least Significant Digit Place Value reported. In the value 1470 msec, the least significant digit is the 7. It has a 10 msec place value. The LSDPV is thus 10 msec and the maximum error due to roundoff would be 5 msec. If the time value were reported as 147<u>0</u> msec, the underscore indicates that the 0 is a significant figure and the error due to roundoff would be 0.5 msec. All digits provided to the right of a decimal point radix are significant.*

8. Selected Example Calculations

Certain regulators require samples of the calculations that lead from the raw measurement to the final result for AC Mains conducted and unintended radiated emissions. The assumption is that the lab performs raw measurements, then adds, subtracts, multiplies, or divides based on transducer factors, amplifier gains, and losses in the signal transmission path. In this lab, our CISPR 16 Receiver does not work that way. The calibration factors and losses and gains are provided to the receiver as detailed data files. These factors are applied in the RF measurement path prior to the detector. But as a step in the lab measurement process, staff frequently verify that these factors are applied correctly. They make a measurement with the factors applied inside the receiver, then they disable the factors and remeasure the result manually adding in the various relevant factors.

The transmission loss is measured including the combined losses and gains of preamplifiers, cables, and any band-selective filters. In many cases above 1 GHz it is a negative value, indicating that the preamplifier gain is greater than these other losses.

Here are examples of these calculations. The data in these examples was not taken as part of this project:

8.1 AC Mains conducted emissions at 22 MHz

(Raw measurement) + (AMN factor) + (transmission loss) = Result

(7.145 dBuV) + (9.812 dB) + (0.216 dB) = 17.173 dBuV

8.2 Radiated Emissions at 630 MHz

(Raw measurement) + (Antenna factor) + (transmission loss) = Result

(2.25 dBuV) + (27.80 dB/m) + (2.89 dB) = 32.94 dBuV/m

8.3 Radiated Emissions at 2.7 GHz

(Raw measurement) + (Antenna factor) + (transmission loss) = Result

(43.72 dBuV) + (32.22 dB/m) + (-36.09 dB) = 39.85 dBuV/m

9. Environmental Conditions During Test

Environmental conditions in the test lab were monitored during the test period. Temperature and humidity are controlled by an air handling system. As information to the reader, the conditions were observed at the values or within the ranges noted below. For any tests where environmental conditions are critical to test results and require further constraints or details, the test records in the annex may provide more specific information.

Temperature: 20 to 21 °C
Relative Humidity: 49% to 61% (non-condensing)
Barometric Pressure 98 to 110 kPa

Description	Make	Model #	Serial #	Last Cal/Ver	Next Due
Barometer	Traceable	6453	221702700	3-Aug-2022	1-Aug-2024
Barometer	Traceable	6453	240300703	9-Apr-2024	9-Apr-2027

Table 4: Environmental monitoring device

10. Immunity Performance Criteria

If this report includes immunity tests then results have been categorized as Performance Criteria A, B, C, or D. The standards that the lab applied will define the details for A, B, and C, as well as which criterion is required for each type of test. They will also define the electrical stresses that were applied during each test. In a very general sense the observed criteria noted in this report are as follows:

Criterion A. The stress applied did not alter product operation. This criterion is generally used for 'continuous' stresses that can be present for a long time in the places the product will be used, or that can appear often, even though they may come and go over time.

Criterion B. The stress applied altered product operation, but the product self-recovered so that the user would not have to try to figure out how to restore it to full operation. This criterion is generally used for 'transient' stresses that appear briefly and occasionally, but are usually not present in the places the product will be used.

Criterion C. The stress applied altered product operation, but the user could restore it to full operation, for example by power cycling the product. This criterion is generally used for 'transient' stresses that appear briefly and only rarely in the places the product will be used.

Criterion D. This is not an official criterion in the standards, because it would be a failure of the requirements. This indication in a test record means the product was affected in a way that the user might not be able to correct. The effect could include some degree of hardware damage, or it could include loss of program files or data files necessary for operation.

Repeatability is an issue in all EMC immunity work. When the product operation changes unexpectedly during a test, and the change would fail the requirements of the standard, this is an anomaly. The test operator needs to determine whether the anomaly was a result of the applied electrical stress. The investigation is done by repeating the section of the test where the anomaly occurred three times. If the same or a similar anomaly occurs in any of the three repeat trials, it is confirmed as a response to the stress. If not, the anomaly is judged unreproducible and is not considered when judging the A, B, or C observed performance. Since there is usually no ability to confirm a Criterion D anomaly, these are usually treated as Criterion D upon a single occurrence.

Tests that require Criterion B performance will be judged to Pass if criteria A or B is observed. Similarly, tests that require Criterion C performance will be judged to Pass if criteria A, B, or C is observed.

ANNEX

The remainder of this report is an Annex containing individual test data records. These records are the basis for the judgments summarized in section 1 of this report. The Annex ends with a set of concluding notes regarding use of the report.

Test Record
Transmitter Bandwidth Tests
Test IDs TR06
Project GCL-0580

Test Date(s)	24 Jul 2024
Test Personnel	Vladimir Tolstik supervised by Jim Solum
Product Model	A05000
Serial Number tested	477224758
Operating Mode	M3 (BleTx)
Arrangement	A4 (Udc)
Input Power	USB 5Vdc
Test Standards:	FCC Part 15, ANSI C63.10, RSS-GEN, RSS-247 (as noted in Section 6 of the report).
Radio Protocol	Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE)
Radio Band	2400 to 2483.5 MHz
Pass/Fail Judgment:	**PASS**
Test record created by:	Vladimir Tolstik
Date of this record:	03 Sep 2024

Original record, Version A.

Test Equipment Used

Description	Make	Model #	Serial #	Last Cal/Ver	Next Due
MXE Receiver 8.4 GHz	Keysight	N9038B	MY63460112	28-Feb-2024	1-Mar-2025

Table TR06.1: List of test equipment used

Test Software Used: Keysight PXE firmware A.37.02

Test Method

During this test the transmitter output is fed directly, or through RF attenuators, to the spectrum analyzer. The analyzer has a built-in capability to identify the minimum bandwidth that contains a specified portion of the total power observed, and also identify parameters such as the edge frequencies for that bandwidth and the center frequency error. The spectrum is scanned many times so that the varied effects of modulation are appropriately assessed. Since the focus is on the relative distribution of energy across a range of frequencies, the absolute amplitudes recorded during this test are not relevant and may not include cable losses or attenuation factors.

For BLE operating at 2 Mbps, the lowest operating frequency was 2404 MHz, and the highest operating frequency was 2478 MHz. For all other non-WiFi radios reported here, the lowest operating frequency was 2402 MHz, and the highest operating frequency was 2480 MHz.

Test Setup

This block diagram shows the test equipment setup.



Figure TR06.1: Test setup

Test Data

The data for each test is summarized below, followed by the spectral data for each case highlighted in yellow.

The DTS Bandwidth is measured using a spectrum analyzer operating with a defined resolution bandwidth. The analysis finds the smallest continuous range of frequencies containing all emissions within 6 dB of the highest value. The requirement is that the DTS Bandwidth be greater than 500 kHz. As such the lowest measured bandwidth is worst case. All radios reported here are judged to have met this requirement.

Frequency	(MHz)	2402	2404	2440	2478	2480
BT Low Energy	1 Mbps	712.30	NT	699.30	NT	712.70
BT Low Energy	2 Mbps	NT	1197.00	1198.00	1190.00	NT

Table TR06.2: Summary of DTS bandwidth data in kHz for BLE modes



Figure TR06.2: Bandwidth data for BLE 1 at mid channel (2440 MHz)



Figure TR06.3: Bandwidth data for BLE 2 at high channel (2478 MHz)

This line is the end of the test record.

Test Record
Transmitter Bandwidth Tests
Test IDs TR10 – TR11
Project GCL0580

Test Date(s)	24 Jul 2024
Test Personnel	Vladimir Tolstik supervised by Jim Solum
Product Model	A05000
Serial Number tested	477224758
Operating Mode	M3 (BleTx), M5 (AntTx)
Arrangement	A4 (Udc)
Input Power	USB 5 Vdc
Test Standards:	FCC Part 2.202, ANSI C63.10, TRC-43, RSS-GEN (as noted in Section 6 of the report).
Radio Protocol	Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE), ANT
Radio Band	2480 to 2483.5 MHz
Pass/Fail Judgment:	**Reported**
Test record created by:	Vladimir Tolstik
Date of this record:	26 Jul 2024

Original record, Version A.

Test Equipment Used

Description	Make	Model #	Serial #	Last Cal/Ver	Next Due
MXE Receiver 8.4 GHz	Keysight	N9038B	MY63460112	28-Feb-2024	1-Mar-2025

Table TR10.1 Equipment Used

Software used: Keysight PXE software A.37.02,

Background
There are regulatory requirements to present two additional types of bandwidth analyses: 99% Occupied Bandwidth and Necessary Bandwidth. There are no limits or functional requirements around these data, beyond a reporting requirement. The contents of this test record are for information, and do not affect compliance of the devices that are the subject of this report.

For BLE operating at 2 Mbps, the lowest operating frequency was 2404 MHz, and the highest operating frequency was 2478 MHz. For all other Bluetooth, BLE, and ANT radios reported here, the lowest operating frequency was 2402 MHz, and the highest operating frequency was 2480 MHz.

Test Setup

This block diagram shows the test equipment setup.



Figure TR10.1: Test setup

Occupied Bandwidth, 99% Test Method

During this test the transmitter output is fed directly, or through RF attenuators, to the spectrum analyzer. The analyzer has a built-in capability to identify the minimum bandwidth that contains a specified percentage of the total power observed. The spectrum is scanned hundreds of times so that the varied effects of modulation are appropriately assessed. Since the focus is on the relative distribution of energy across a range of frequencies, the absolute amplitudes recorded during this test are not relevant and may not include cable losses or attenuation factors.

Occupied Bandwidth, 99% Test Data

The data for each type of bandwidth is summarized below, followed by the spectral data for the cases highlighted in yellow. The analysis threshold for this test was the bandwidth containing 99% of the observed power using the ANSI C63.10 method. The standards require testing a frequency near the bottom, middle, and top of the band. The measured bandwidth data are in bold font and have MHz as their units of measure.

Frequency	(MHz)	2402	2404	2440	2478	2480
BT Low Energy	1 Mbps	1.0571	NT	1.0618	NT	1.0622
BT Low Energy	2 Mbps	NT	2.0711	2.0784	2.0783	NT
ANT	----	0.9880	NT	0.9890	NT	0.9877

Table TR10.2: Summary of 99% Occupied Bandwidth Data in MHz for Bluetooth, ANT and BLE modes



Figure TR10.2: Occupied bandwidth data for BLE 1 Mbps at high channel (2480 MHz)

Figure TR10.3: Occupied bandwidth data for BLE 2 Mbps at mid channel (2440 MHz)



Figure TR10.4: Occupied bandwidth data for ANT at mid channel (2440 MHz)

Necessary Bandwidth Calculations

The Necessary Bandwidth is a theoretical value based on the specifications for a communication protocol, rather than the hardware implementation and a subsequent lab measurement. The analysis methods in FCC Part 2.202 and TRC-43 are the same for NFC, Bluetooth, ANT, and IEEE 802.11b WiFi. However, they differ for IEEE 802.11g and 11n systems because the Canadian TRC-43 standard provides different analysis methods for Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing systems (OFDM). The tables below will show the analysis for most of the radios signals as a combined approach, then separately analyze the results for IEEE 802.11g and n systems. The tables below may include radio protocols that are not part of the product being evaluated.

NFC (Near Field Communication) at 13.56 MHz uses continuous wave telegraphy without tone modulation. The bit rate 'B' in the FCC and TRC equations is split into two parts here. B is the baud rate. C is a coding factor. C=1 for Miller encoding where the transition speed is as high as the bit rate, or C=2 for Manchester encoding where the transition speed is as high as twice the bit rate). K is a factor set to 3 for non-fading circuits under the standards. The Necessary Bandwidth, B_N is then:

$$B_N = BCK$$

Radio Type	B (kbaud)	C	K	B_N (kHz)
NFC A	106	1	3	318.0
NFC B	212	2	3	1272.0
NFC B	424	2	3	2544.0

Table TR10.100: Necessary Bandwidth for NFC

The radio modulation schemes for Ant, for the various Bluetooth protocols, and for IEEE 802.11 b WiFi are a mix of Phase Shift Key (PSK) and Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM) techniques. The Necessary Bandwidth calculations use the equations from 47CFR Part 2.202(g) table section 6. We have set the variable K=1, which leaves the equation for both PSK and QAM as:

$$B_N = 2R / \log_2(S)$$

where B_N is the Necessary Bandwidth, R is the bit rate, and S is the number of signaling states.

Radio Type	R Mbps	K	S	LogBase2 of (S)	B_N (MHz)
ANT / ANT+	1	1	2	1	2

Table TR10.101: Necessary Bandwidth for ANT and ANT+ Radio Protocols (FCC and TRC-43)

Radio Type	Sub-type	Method	R Mbps	K	S	LogBase2 of (S)	B_N (MHz)
Bluetooth	BR	GFSK	1	1	2	1	2
	EDR2	Pi/4 DPSK	2	1	4	2	2
	EDR3	8DPSK	3	1	8	3	2
BLE	1Mbps	GFSK	1	1	2	1	2
	2Mbps	DQPSK	2	1	4	2	2

Table TR10.102: Necessary Bandwidth for Bluetooth Radio Protocols (FCC and TRC-43)

Radio Type	Sub-type	R Mbps	K	S	LogBase2 of (S)	B_N (MHz)
802.11 b	1	1	1	2	1	2
	2	2	1	4	2	2
	5.5	5.5	1	4	2	5.5
	11	11	1	4	2	11

Table TR10.103: Necessary Bandwidth for IEEE 802.11 b Radio Protocol (FCC and TRC-43)

Radio Type	Sub-type	R Mbps	K	S	LogBase2 of (S)	B_N (MHz)
802.11 a/g	6	6	1	2	1	12
	9	9	1	2	1	18
	12	12	1	4	2	12
	18	18	1	4	2	18
	24	24	1	16	4	12
	36	36	1	16	4	18
	48	48	1	64	6	16
	54	54	1	64	6	18
802.11 n/ac	MCS0	7.2	1	2	1	14.4
	MCS1	14.4	1	4	2	14.4
	MCS2	21.7	1	4	2	21.7
	MCS3	28.9	1	16	4	14.5
	MCS4	43.3	1	16	4	21.7
	MCS5	57.8	1	64	6	19.3
	MCS6	65	1	64	6	21.7
	MCS7	72.2	1	64	6	24.1
	MCS8	86.7	1	256	8	21.7

Table TR10.104: Necessary Bandwidth for IEEE 802.11 a, g, n, and ac 20 MHz Radio Protocols (FCC)

Radio Type	Sub-type	R Mbps	K	S	LogBase2 of (S)	B_N (MHz)
802.11 n/ac	MCS0	15	1	2	1	30.0
	MCS1	30	1	4	2	30.0
	MCS2	45	1	4	2	45.0
	MCS3	60	1	16	4	30.0
	MCS4	90	1	16	4	45.0
	MCS5	120	1	64	6	40.0
	MCS6	135	1	64	6	45.0
	MCS7	150	1	64	6	50.0
	MCS8	180	1	256	8	45.0
	MCS9	200	1	256	8	50.0

Table TR10.105: Necessary Bandwidth for IEEE 802.11 n and ac 40 MHz Radio Protocols (FCC)

As a note, the bit rate for IEEE 802.11 n or ac WiFi is calculated based on the IEEE standard’s short guard interval of 400 nsec. If only the long guard interval of 800 nsec were implemented, the bit rates would decrease by a small amount.

The TRC-43 method for OFDM signals simply multiplies the number of subcarriers, K, and the subcarrier spacing, N_S. In both cases, Ns is 312.5 kHz. The count of subcarriers includes nulls. So for example, 802.11 n uses 4 pilot subcarriers, 52 data subcarriers, and one null suppressed subcarrier in the middle for 57 total subcarrier channels.

$$B_N = N_S * K$$

Radio Type	Mode	N_S (MHz)	K	B_N (MHz)
802.11a/g	20 MHz	0.3125	53	16.6
802.11n/ac	20 MHz	0.3125	57	17.8
802.11n/ac	40 MHz	0.3125	117	36.6

Table TR10.106: Necessary Bandwidth for IEEE 802.11 a, g, n, and ac Radio Protocols (TRC-43)

This line is the end of the test record.

Test Record
Transmitter Power, Duty Cycle
Test IDs TR02, TR03
Project GCL0580

Test Date(s)	24 Jul 2024
Test Personnel	Vladimir Tolstik supervised by Jim Solum
Product Model	A05000
Serial Number	477224758
Operating Mode	M3 (BleTx), M5 (AntTx)
Arrangement	A4 (Udc)
Input Power	5Vdc
Test Standards:	FCC Part 15, ANSI C63.10, ETSI EN 300 328, RSS-GEN, RSS-247, FCC Parts 1.1310 and Part 2.1093, RSS-102, IEC/EN 62479 (as noted in Section 6 of the report).
Antenna Gain	-1.537 dBi, as reported by the client
Radio Protocol	Bluetooth Low Energy, ANT
Pass/Fail Judgment:	**PASS**
Test record created by:	Jim Solum, Vladimir Tolstik
Date of this record:	05 Sept 2024

Original record, Version A.

Test Equipment Used

Description	Make	Model #	Serial #	Last Cal/Ver	Next Due
MXE Receiver 8.4 GHz	Keysight	N9038B	MY63460112	28-Feb-2024	1-Mar-2025
RF Power Sensor	Rohde&Schwarz	NRP8S	109124	18-Jul-2023	15-Jul-2025
Thermometer	Thermco	ACCD370P	210607316	21-Sep-2023	15-Sep-2025
Thermal Chamber	Tenney	T2RC	32774-02	Calibration	Not Required
DMM Multimeter 87V	Fluke	87V	63490051	21-Jun-2024	21-Jun-2025

Table TR02.1: List of test equipment used

Software used: Rohde & Schwarz Power Viewer V11.3; TimePowerAnalysisSpreadsheetv11a.xls

Test Method
The basic test standards provide options for the time evaluation test method. The following test methods were applied.

ETSI EN 300 328: 5.4.2.2.1.3
ANSI C63.10: 11.9.1.3

Under the ETSI standard, the parameters of duty cycle, transmitter timing, or medium utilization are typically not required for adaptive transceivers or transceivers emitting at 10 dBm EIRP or less, so those results will be omitted from the data set. Duty Cycle data will be included if it is relevant to test methods used for other standards such as Average Detector methods in the ANSI standards that apply duty cycle correction or certain kinds of analysis under the RF exposure standards.

Transmit Power and Timing Data
Each measurement is made conducted from the antenna port with the transmitter on a specified channel and in a selected transmission protocol. Where standards cited here apply harmonized test methods and different limits, the more strict limit has applied.

This test record will show results based on one or more of the following methods of analyzing the same set of raw power data vs. time. The ANSI peak power method looks for the highest power in the data record, with results in dBm units. Under the US and Canadian rules a limit of 30 dBm is applied.

The ETSI 300 328 method looks at the individual transmission bursts within the data record and reports the power level from the burst with the for the highest average power. The ETSI result is presented in dBm EIRP units, and a 20 dBm EIRP limit is applied. The RF exposure analysis asks for the average power observed over time, with results in linear power units such as milliwatts. RF exposure limits are not addressed in this test record. Many of the these standards also care about duty cycle, the portion of the time when the transmitter was actually transmitting. That is presented as a pure number less than 1, and no limit applies. All of these results are drawn from the same power data. The results are shown below.

Frequency	(MHz)	2402	2404	2440	2478	2480
BT Low Energy	1 Mbps	3.46	NT	3.42	NT	3.38
BT Low Energy	2 Mbps	NT	3.46	3.43	3.39	NT
ANT	----	3.47	NT	3.43	NT	3.39

Table TR02.2: Transmit Power, ANSI method, in dBm

Frequency	(MHz)	2402	2404	2440	2478	2480
BT Low Energy	1 Mbps	1.85	NT	1.81	NT	1.77
BT Low Energy	2 Mbps	NT	1.82	1.79	1.76	NT
ANT	----	1.82	NT	1.79	NT	1.75

Table TR02.3: Transmit Power, ETSI method, in dBm EIRP

The ETSI method also requires that transmit power be verified for stability at the extremes of operating temperature. The BLE1 transmitter was verified for power stability vs temperature on 2402 MHz.

Tx Mode	Temp	Power	Limit	Result
	°C	dBm EIRP	dBm EIRP	
BLE 1 Mbps	60	1.5	20	Pass
BLE 1 Mbps	20	2.05	20	Pass
BLE 1 Mbps	-20	2.51	20	Pass

Table TR02.4: Transmit Power over temperature, ETSI method, in dBm EIRP

Frequency	(MHz)	2402	2404	2440	2478	2480
BT Low Energy	1 Mbps	1.71	NT	1.70	NT	1.68
BT Low Energy	2 Mbps	NT	1.73	1.72	1.71	NT
ANT	----	2.08	NT	2.06	NT	2.04

Table TR02.5: Transmit Power, RF exposure method, in mW

Frequency	(MHz)	2402	2404	2440	2478	2480
BT Low Energy	1 Mbps	0.787	NT	0.787	NT	0.787
BT Low Energy	2 Mbps	NT	0.813	0.813	0.813	NT
ANT	----	0.963	NT	0.963	NT	0.963

Table TR02.6: Duty cycle, radio

Setup Diagram
The following block diagrams show how the EUT and test equipment is arranged for test.



Figure TR02.1: Test equipment setup



Figure TR02.2: Transmit Power over temperature test equipment setup

This line is the end of the test record.

Test Record
Transmitter Power Spectral Density
Test IDs TR19
Project GCL-0580

Test Date(s)	26 Jul 2024
Test Personnel	Vladimir Tolstik supervised by Jim Solum
Product Model	A05000
Serial Number tested	477224758
Operating Mode	M3 (BleTx)
Arrangement	A1 (Solo)
Input Power	Battery
Test Standards:	FCC Part 15, ANSI C63.10, RSS-GEN, RSS-210 (as noted in Section 6 of the report).
Antenna Gain	-1.537 dBi, as reported by the client
Radio Protocol	Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE)
Pass/Fail Judgment:	**PASS**
Test record created by:	Jim Solum
Date of this record:	29 Jul 2024

Original record, Version A.

Test Equipment Used

Description	Make	Model #	Serial #	Last Cal/Ver	Next Due
MXE Receiver 8.4 GHz	Keysight	N9038B	MY63460112	28-Feb-2024	1-Mar-2025

Table TR19.1: Test equipment used

Software Used: Keysight PXE software A.37.02

Test Method

The basic test standards provide options for the test method. The following test methods were applied.
ANSI C63.10: PKPSD (11.10.2)

Test Setup

This block diagram shows the test equipment setup.



Figure TR19.1: Test setup

Test Data

Each measurement is made conducted from the antenna port with the transmitter on a specified channel and in a selected transmission protocol. The results include the effects of any measurement cable losses. Results reported are in units of dBm/Bandwidth and do not include the effect of antenna gain. The standard limit is 8 dBm / 3 kHz, and meeting the limit with a wider resolution bandwidths is permitted. All data met the limit using a 3 kHz resolution bandwidth.

For BLE operating at 2 Mbps, the lowest operating frequency was 2404 MHz, and the highest operating frequency was 2478 MHz. For all other Bluetooth, BLE, and ANT radios reported here, the lowest operating frequency was 2402 MHz, and the highest operating frequency was 2480 MHz.

The highest PSD levels for each mode are highlighted in yellow, and graphical results are provided for those cases.

	2402 (04)	2440	2480 (78)
BLE 1 Mbps	**-11.63**	**-11.80**	**-11.36**
BLE 2 Mbps	**-14.51**	**-14.31**	**-14.30**

Table TR19.2: Summary of results



Figure TR19.2: Test data for BLE 1 Mbps 2480 MHz

This line is the end of the test record.

Test Record
Conducted Emissions Mains Test CE01
Project GCL0580

Test Date(s)	26 July 2024
Test Personnel	Andy Heier supervised by Dave Arnett
Product Model	A05000
Serial Number tested	477224793
Operating Mode	M3 (BleTx)
Arrangement	A2 (Upwr)
Input Power	120 Vac 60 Hz
Test Standards:	FCC Part 15, ANSI C63.10, RSS-247 (as noted in Section 6 of the report).
Frequency Range:	150 kHz to 30 MHz
Pass/Fail Judgment:	**PASS**
Test record created by:	Andy Heier
Date of this record:	30 July 2024

Original record, Version A.

Test Equipment

Description	Make	Model #	Serial #	Last Cal/Ver	Next Due
PXE Receiver 44GHz	Keysight	N9048B	MY62220139	13-Mar-2024	15-Mar-2025
LISN multiline; 20A 50uH	Com-Power	LIN-120C	20160005	3-Apr-2024	1-Apr-2027

Table CE01.1: Test Equipment Used

Software Used
Keysight PXE software A.33.03; CE Mains 150kHz to 30M Data Analysis V3 2024May23.xlsx

Test Data

The conducted emission test process began with a set of preliminary scans on both power conductors using both Quasi-Peak and Average detectors across the frequency range. Where the test standard requires cable manipulation, one or more likely worst case frequencies selected by the test personnel. Cables were manipulated to find the maximal signal strength while observing the receiver levels at those selected frequencies. At each of the frequencies selected for final measurements, Quasi-peak and Average detector readings were taken on each conductor.

The table shows the selected final measurement data. It includes at least the six strongest emissions observed relative to the limit lines, along with other data points of interest. The yellow highlight indicate the data points with the least margin to the quasi-peak detector limit and the average detector limit. A positive margin value indicates that the emission was below the test limit. The test limit is the Composite FCC/CISPR Class B Limit.

Frequency (kHz)	QP Limit (dBuV)	AV Limit (dBuV)	L1 QP (dBuV)	L2 QP (dBuV)	L1 AV (dBuV)	L2 AV (dBuV)	QP Margin (dB)	AV Margin (dB)
150	66.00	56.00	35.56	34.30	24.94	24.07	30.44	31.06
443	57.01	47.01	27.37	26.38	22.28	21.17	29.64	24.74
715	56.00	46.00	26.39	26.27	21.32	21.22	29.61	24.68
3930	56.00	46.00	25.84	25.60	20.50	20.10	30.16	25.50
10001	60.00	50.00	29.03	29.05	24.97	25.17	30.95	24.83
30000	60.00	50.00	29.08	29.51	23.70	24.12	30.49	25.88

Table CE01.1: Emission summary (BLE 2 Mbps)

The graph below shows preliminary scan data as continuous curves. Superimposed are the final measurement data points reported in the table above.



Figure CE01.1: Spectral data (BLE 2 Mbps)

Setup Photographs

The following photographs show the EUT configured and arranged in the manner in which it was measured.



Figure CE01.2: Test setup, first view



Figure CE01.3: Test setup, second view

This line is the end of the test record.

Concluding Notes

This report stands as an integrated record of the tests performed and must be copied or distributed in its complete form. The reproduction of selected pages or sections separate from the complete report would require specific approval from the manager of the Garmin Compliance Lab.

This is the final page of the report.