



Date: February 4, 2003

Subject: Request for additional information (FCC ID: IHDT56CD1)

Reference:

Correspondence Reference Number:	6431
Confirmation Number:	TC588094
Date of Original Email:	1/6/2003

Prepared by:

Andrew Bachler, Principal Staff Engineer
Motorola Personal Communications Sector
Libertyville, Illinois

Summary of FCC request for additional information

Regarding your answer to correspondence 6093 question 1. Please provide the requested manual change. Each grant must stand on its own. Future perm II changes cannot be included in this grant.

Response: Motorola understands and agrees that “each grant must stand on its own”. In this particular instance, the FCC asked for a user manual revision because a body worn device was not available. Since the equipment had not yet shipped, the solution was to accelerate implementation of a body worn accessory for the product. Motorola believes that filing a class two permissive change was the proper procedure, since the equipment (although not shipping) was granted. Please advise if an “amendment” to the grant would have been possible and preferred.

Regarding your answer to correspondence 6177. The FCC is concerned about this untested position (speaker phone) . We believe that users will inevitably hold this device while using this mode. Please revise the user manual and provide users clear instruction of how to maintain compliance with FCC RF exposure limits while using this mode.

Response: Motorola is currently scheduling a meeting with the FCC to discuss user manual language. We request that user manual language, involving speaker phone operation, be included.