



American Certification Body Inc.
6731 Whittier Ave, C110, McLean, VA 22101

February 9, 2012

RE: Honeywell International Inc.

FCC ID: CFS8DL6280ZW
IC: 573F-6280ZW

ATCB011697

After a review of the submitted information, I have a few comments on the above referenced Application.

- 1) FYI...Regarding bandedge I was able to determine compliance based on RTL radiated and Honeywell Delta measurements. However to aid in the review process, kindly try to provide a table or similar in the future that combines this information to show compliance.

Thank You,

Timothy R. Johnson
Examining Engineer

[mailto: tjohnson@ACBcert.com](mailto:tjohnson@ACBcert.com)

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information may result in application termination. Correspondence should be considered part of the permanent submission and may be viewed from the Internet after a Grant of Equipment Authorization is issued.

Please do not respond to this correspondence using the email reply button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must submit your documents through the ACBcert.com website. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the sender.

From: "oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov" <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov>
To: hotline <hotline@acbcert.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2011 08:58:15 -0400
Subject: Response to Inquiry to FCC (Tracking Number 960725) (TCB)
Thread-Topic: Response to Inquiry to FCC (Tracking Number 960725) (TCB)
Thread-Index: AcxxSr1Yf1IAz9Y/SZuR+9dJv2Xfyw==
Accept-Language: en-US
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthAs: Anonymous
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthSource: SERVER5.atcb4.atcb.com
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-Auto-Response-Suppress: DR, OOF, AutoReply
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
x-originalarrivaltime: 12 Sep 2011 12:58:15.0339 (UTC)
FILETIME=[A2B887B0:01CC714B]



Inquiry:

We have a client who has a Certification on a RECEIVER.

They are changing the the value of one of the LO capacitor slightly. An exhibit attached with this inquiry shows the change.

The question is if this is allowed under a Permissive Change or whether a new FCC Identifier is necessary. The applicants opinion is that since the device is not an intentional radiator, a PC should be allowed.

It is noted that KDB 178919 allows for changes in RX design (including depopulation) under a permissive change - however in KDB 178919 it is assumed that the TX portion of the device is being certified (where RX defaults to Verification). We assume this guidance would not apply if the RX portion was what was Certified.

Thank You,

Tim Johnson

Response:

Changing the value of one of the LO capacitors slightly would be considered a Class I or Class II change. If the emissions degrade, it would be considered a Class II change. If they do not, it would be a Class I change.

[Schematic Change](#)

Do not reply to this message. Please select the [Reply to an Inquiry Response](#) link from the OET Inquiry System to add any additional information pertaining to this inquiry.