
From: SunHee Kim
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2008 7:40 AM
To: PCTEST TCB
Subject: Re: Questions Regarding FCC ID: BEJKT610

Dear Gregory,

Thank you for your comments.
We attached the revised documents and replies are embedded below your questions.

Please let us know if this is insufficient or if more coordination is necessary.
Thank you!

Best Regards,
Sun-Hee Kim
--- Discretion policies for e-mail message ---

In case you are an unintended recipient of this email message, be aware this message may contain confidential and classified information that is critical for conducting businesses.
If this message and its attachment files are not directed to you, you are not authorized to reveal, use, publish, distribute, copy or trust this message or attachment without intended recipient's authorization.
In case you received this message by chance or in error, please return by forwarding the message and its attachments to the sender.
HCT

----- Original Message -----

From: [PCTEST TCB](#)
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2008 4:16 AM
Subject: Questions Regarding FCC ID: BEJKT610

To: Ms. Sun-Hee Kim / HCT
From: Mr. Gregory Czumak / PCTEST TCB
RE: FCC ID: BEJKT610

Applicant: LG Electronics Inc.

Correspondence Reference Number: BEJ80219
Confirmation Number: 803190219-21
Date of Original Email: March 25, 2008

Subject: Request for additional information

In regards to your recent TCB application referenced above, we kindly request that you

provide the following additional information.

1. Please specify the detector function (i.e., peak or average) used to generate the RF conducted bandedge and RF conducted spurious emission plots on pp. 12 and 27-28 of the Bluetooth test report. A peak detector should be used. If it was not, please re-perform these measurements with a peak detector and submit the new data.

==> As you can see on the upper left side of test plots, all measurements were performed with a peak detector mode and max hold.

We indicated the detector function in the report on page 12 and 36 for your request. <File name: KT610 BT Test Report_Rev.2>

2. Please resubmit the Bluetooth Block Diagram, listing the values of all clocks/oscillators, as required.

==> Please check the attachment file. <File name: KT610_BT_Block Diagram_Rev.1>

3. Various values in the data table on p.44/53 of the Bluetooth report are in the wrong places- please correct the table and resubmit.

==> Please review the corrected BT Test Report on p. 44. <File name: KT610 BT Test Report_Rev.2>

4. Please correct the following typo in Section 10.2 of the SAR report (p.20/57): the Target SAR Value, from the dipole cal report, should be 38.0, and not 39.7. This also affects the deviation value.

==> Please review the revised Target and deviation values on p. 20 of 57. <File name: KT610_SAR Report_Rev.1>

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application.

Sincerely,

Gregory Czumak
Quality Manager
Senior Certification Engineer

PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.
6660-B Dobbin Road
Columbia, MD 21045
410-290-6652
410-290-6654 (Fax)
gregory@pctestlab.com

This communication and its attachments contain information from PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc., and is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient (s) named above. It may contain information that is confidential and/or legally privileged. Any unauthorized use that may compromise that confidentiality via distribution or disclosure is prohibited.