FCC and ISED Test Report

Apple Inc
Model: A3247

In accordance with FCC 47 CFR Part 15B and ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN

Prepared for: Apple Inc
One Apple Park Way
Cupertino
California
95014
USA

TUV SUD Digitally signed by TUV SUD Date: 2024.07.16 13:35:37 +01'00'

FCC ID: BCGA3247 IC: 579C-A3247

COMMERCIAL-IN-CONFIDENCE

Document 75960488-11 Issue 01

SIGNATURE			
			
NAME	**JOB TITLE**	**RESPONSIBLE FOR**	**ISSUE DATE**
John Laydon	General Manager	Authorised Signatory	16 July 2024

Signatures in this approval box have checked this document in line with the requirements of TÜV SÜD document control rules.

ENGINEERING STATEMENT

The measurements shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures described on test pages. All reported testing was carried out on a sample equipment to demonstrate limited compliance with FCC 47 CFR Part 15B and ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN. The sample tested was found to comply with the requirements defined in the applied rules.

RESPONSIBLE FOR	NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE
Testing	Matthew Dawkins	16 July 2024	
Testing	Connor Lee	16 July 2024	

FCC Accreditation
492497/UK2010 Octagon House, Fareham Test Laboratory

ISED Accreditation
12669A Octagon House, Fareham Test Laboratory

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A sample of this product was tested and found to be compliant with FCC 47 CFR Part 15B, ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN: 2023, Issue 7: 2020 and Issue 5 and A2 (2021-02) for the tests detailed in section 1.3.

TÜV SÜD
is a trading name of TUV SUD Ltd
Registered in Scotland at East Kilbride,
Glasgow G75 0QF, United Kingdom
Registered number: SC215164

TUV SUD Ltd is a
TÜV SÜD Group Company

Phone: +44 (0) 1489 558100
Fax: +44 (0) 1489 558101
www.tuvsud.com/en

TÜV SÜD
Octagon House
Concorde Way
Fareham
Hampshire PO15 5RL
United Kingdom

Contents

1 Report Summary 2

1.1 Report Modification Record 2
1.2 Introduction 2
1.3 Brief Summary of Results 3
1.4 Product Information 4
1.5 Deviations from the Standard 4
1.6 Identification of the EUT 5
1.7 EUT Modification Record 5
1.8 Test Location 5

2 Test Details 6

2.1 Conducted Disturbance at Mains Terminals 6
2.2 Radiated Disturbance 11

3 Incident Reports 19

4 Measurement Uncertainty 20

1 Report Summary

1.1 Report Modification Record

Alterations and additions to this report will be issued to the holders of each copy in the form of a complete document.

Issue	Description of Change	Date of Issue
1	First Issue	16 July 2024

Table 1

1.2 Introduction

Applicant	Apple Inc
Manufacturer	Apple Inc
EUT/Sample Identification	Refer to section 1.6
Test Specification/Issue/Date	FCC 47 CFR Part 15B, ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN: 2023, Issue 7: 2020 and Issue 5 and A2 (2021-02)
Start of Test	12-June-2024
Finish of Test	14-June-2024
Name of Engineer(s)	Matthew Dawkins and Connor Lee
Related Document(s)	ANSI C63.4: 2014

1.3 Brief Summary of Results

A brief summary of the tests carried out in accordance with FCC 47 CFR Part 15B and ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN is shown below.

Section	Specification Clause	Test Description	Result	Comments/Base Standard
Configuration and Mode: AC Powered - Transmitter Idle				
2.1	15.107, 3.1 and 8.8	Conducted Disturbance at Mains Terminals	Pass	ANSI C63.4: 2014
2.2	15.109, 3.2 and 7.1	Radiated Disturbance	Pass	ANSI C63.4: 2014

Table 2

1.4 Product Information

1.4.1 Technical Description

The equipment under test (EUT) was a desktop computer.

1.4.2 EUT Port/Cable Identification

Port	Max Cable Length specified	Usage	Type	Screened
Configuration and Mode: AC Powered - Transmitter Idle				
AC Power	3 m	Power	AC/DC converter power brick with mag safe connector.	No
USB 1 Port	1 m	Data	USB Type C	No
USB 2 Port	1 m	Data	USB Type C	No
Audio Jack Port	Unterminated	Data	Audio Jack 3.5mm	No

Table 3

1.4.3 Test Configuration

Configuration	Description
AC Powered	The EUT was powered from a 120 V 60 Hz AC supply. A 3.5 mm audio jack port was unterminated. A mouse was used to terminate a USB-C port. A keyboard was used to terminate a USB-C port. PSU model: A2388

Table 4

1.4.4 Modes of Operation

Mode	Description
Transmitter Idle	The EUT had all internal transmitters disabled.

Table 5

1.5 Deviations from the Standard

No deviations from the applicable test standard were made during testing.

1.6 Identification of the EUT

The table below details identification of the EUT(s) that have been used to carry out the testing within this report.

Model: A3247			
Serial Number	Hardware Version	Software Version	Firmware
L9L40D9RHJ	REV1.0	24A81452a	WLAN: 23.30.16 Bluetooth: 22.1.65.459

Table 6

1.7 EUT Modification Record

The table below details modifications made to the EUT during the test programme.

The modifications incorporated during each test are recorded on the appropriate test pages.

Modification State	Description of Modification still fitted to EUT	Modification Fitted By	Date Modification Fitted
Model: A3247, Serial Number: L9L40D9RHJ			
0	As supplied by the customer	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Table 7

1.8 Test Location

TÜV SÜD conducted the following tests at our Octagon House Test Laboratory.

Test Name	Name of Engineer(s)	Accreditation
Configuration and Mode: AC Powered - Transmitter Idle		
Conducted Disturbance at Mains Terminals	Matthew Dawkins	UKAS
Radiated Disturbance	Matthew Dawkins and Connor Lee	UKAS

Table 8

Office Address:

TÜV SÜD
Octagon House
Concorde Way
Fareham
Hampshire
PO15 5RL
United Kingdom

2 Test Details

2.1 Conducted Disturbance at Mains Terminals

2.1.1 Specification Reference

FCC 47 CFR Part 15B, ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN, Clause 15.107, 3.1 and 8.8

2.1.2 Equipment Under Test and Modification State

A3247, S/N: L9L40D9RHJ - Modification State 0

2.1.3 Date of Test

12-June-2024

2.1.4 Test Method

The EUT was setup according to ANSI C63.4, clause 5.2.

The EUT was placed on a non-conductive table 0.8 m above a reference ground plane. A vertical coupling plane was placed 0.4 m from the EUT boundary.

A Line Impedance Stabilisation Network (LISN) was directly bonded to the ground-plane. The EUT was located so that the distance between the boundary of the EUT and the closest surface of the LISN was 0.8 m.

Interconnecting cables that hanged closer than 0.4 m to the ground plane were folded back and forth in the centre forming a bundle 0.3 m to 0.4 m long.

Input and output cables were terminated with equipment or loads representative of real usage conditions.

The EUT was configured to give the highest level of emissions within reason of a typical installation as described by the manufacturer.

2.1.5 Example Calculation

Quasi-Peak level (dBµV) = Receiver level (dBµV) + Correction Factor (dB)
Margin (dB) = Quasi-Peak level (dBµV) - Limit (dBµV)

CISPR Average level (dBµV) = Receiver level (dBµV) + Correction Factor (dB)
Margin (dB) = CISPR Average level (dBµV) - Limit (dBµV)

2.1.6 Example Test Setup Diagram



Figure 1 - Conducted Disturbance

2.1.7 Environmental Conditions

Ambient Temperature	19.8 °C
Relative Humidity	50.7 %
Atmospheric Pressure	1004.0 mbar

2.1.8 Specification Limits

Required Specification Limits - Class B			
Line Under Test	Frequency Range (MHz)	Quasi-Peak Test Limit (dBµV)	CISPR Average Test Limit (dBµV)
AC Power Port	0.15 to 0.5	66 to 56[(1)]	56 to 46[(1)]
	0.5 to 5	56	46
	5 to 30	60	50
Supplementary information: Note 1. Decreases with the logarithm of the frequency.			

Table 9

2.1.9 Test Results

Results for Configuration and Mode: AC Powered - Transmitter Idle.

This test was performed to the requirements of the Class B limits.

Performance assessment of the EUT made during this test: Pass.

Detailed results are shown below.



Figure 2 - Graphical Results - Live Line

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Detector
0.158	42.29	65.60	-23.31	Q-Peak
0.158	34.65	55.60	-20.95	CISPR Avg
0.183	44.16	64.30	-20.14	Q-Peak
0.183	39.21	54.30	-15.09	CISPR Avg
0.214	39.39	63.00	-23.61	Q-Peak
0.214	31.82	53.00	-21.18	CISPR Avg
0.243	38.09	62.00	-23.91	Q-Peak
0.243	34.43	52.00	-17.57	CISPR Avg
0.271	27.47	51.10	-23.63	CISPR Avg
0.271	33.45	61.10	-27.65	Q-Peak

Table 10

No other final measurements were made as all other peak emissions seen above the measurement system noise floor during the pre-scan were greater than 6 dB below the CISPR Average test limit.



Figure 3 - Graphical Results - Neutral Line

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Detector
0.155	43.22	65.70	-22.48	Q-Peak
0.155	34.02	55.70	-21.68	CISPR Avg
0.180	43.73	64.50	-20.77	Q-Peak
0.180	36.78	54.50	-17.72	CISPR Avg
0.213	37.35	63.10	-25.75	Q-Peak
0.213	31.01	53.10	-22.09	CISPR Avg
0.241	31.79	52.00	-20.21	CISPR Avg
0.241	37.69	62.00	-24.31	Q-Peak
0.271	34.34	61.10	-26.76	Q-Peak
0.271	27.81	51.10	-23.29	CISPR Avg

Table 11

No other final measurements were made as all other peak emissions seen above the measurement system noise floor during the pre-scan were greater than 6 dB below the CISPR Average test limit.

2.1.10 Test Location and Test Equipment Used

This test was carried out in EMC Chamber 12.

Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No	TE No	Calibration Period (months)	Calibration Expires
Transient Limiter	Hewlett Packard	11947A	15	12	24-Oct-2024
LISN (CISPR 16, Single Phase)	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z5	1390	12	01-Feb-2025
Emissions Software	TUV SUD	EmX V3.2.0	5125	-	Software
Thermo-Hygro-Barometer	PCE Instruments	PCE-THB 40	5478	12	13-May-2025
EMI Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESW44	5527	12	15-Jun-2024
3m Semi-Anechoic Chamber	MVG	EMC Chamber 12	5621	36	07-Aug-2026
Cable (N-Type, 10 Hz-18 GHz)	Junkosha	MWX221-02000AMSAMS	5724	6	17-Aug-2024
Cable (N-Type to N-Type, 8 m)	Junkosha	MWX221-08000NMSNMS/B	6321	12	04-Feb-2025

Table 12

2.2 Radiated Disturbance

2.2.1 Specification Reference

FCC 47 CFR Part 15B, ICES-003 and ISED RSS-GEN, Clause 15.109, 3.2 and 7.1

2.2.2 Equipment Under Test and Modification State

A3247, S/N: L9L40D9RHJ - Modification State 0

2.2.3 Date of Test

12-June-2024 to 14-June-2024

2.2.4 Test Method

The EUT was set up on a non-conductive table 0.8 m above a reference ground plane within a semi-anechoic chamber on a remotely controlled turntable.

A pre-scan of the EUT emissions profile using a peak detector was made at a 3 m antenna distance whilst varying the antenna-to-EUT azimuth and polarisation.

For an EUT which could reasonable be used in multiple planes, pre-scans were performed with the EUT orientated in X, Y and Z planes with reference to the ground plane.

Using a list of the highest emissions detected during the pre-scan along with their bearing and associated antenna polarisation, the EUT was then formally measured using a Quasi-Peak, Peak or CISPR Average detector as appropriate.

The readings were maximised by adjusting the antenna height, polarisation and turntable azimuth, in accordance with the specification.

2.2.5 Example Calculation

Below 1 GHz:

Quasi-Peak level (dBµV/m) = Receiver level (dBµV) + Correction Factor (dB/m)
Margin (dB) = Quasi-Peak level (dBµV/m) - Limit (dBµV/m)

Above 1 GHz:

CISPR Average level (dBµV/m) = Receiver level (dBµV) + Correction Factor (dB/m)
Margin (dB) = CISPR Average level (dBµV/m) - Limit (dBµV/m)

Peak level (dBµV/m) = Receiver level (dBµV) + Correction Factor (dB/m)
Margin (dB) = Peak level (dBµV/m) - Limit (dBµV/m)

2.2.6 Example Test Setup Diagram



Figure 4 - Radiated Disturbance Example Test Setup

2.2.7 Environmental Conditions

Ambient Temperature	19.8 – 22.6 °C
Relative Humidity	40.2 – 50.7%
Atmospheric Pressure	1001.0 - 1006.0 mbar

2.2.8 Specification Limits

Required Specification Limits, Field Strength - Class B Test Limit at a 3 m Measurement Distance		
Frequency Range (MHz)	Test Limit (µV/m)	Test Limit (dBµV/m)
30 to 88	100	40.0
88 to 216	150	43.5
216 to 960	200	46.0
Above 960	500	54.0
Supplementary information: Note 1. A Quasi-peak detector is to be used for measurements below 1 GHz. Note 2. A CISPR Average detector is to be used for measurements above 1 GHz. Note 3. The Peak test limit above 1 GHz is 20 dB higher than the CISPR Average test limit.		

Table 13

2.2.9 Test Results

Results for Configuration and Mode: AC Powered - Transmitter Idle.

This test was performed to the requirements of the Class B limits.

Performance assessment of the EUT made during this test: Pass

Detailed results are shown below.

Highest frequency generated or used within the EUT: 6 GHz
Which necessitates an upper frequency test limit of: 40 GHz



Figure 5 - 30 MHz to 1 GHz, Quasi-Peak, Horizontal

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Detector	Angle (°)	Height (cm)	Polarisation
*							

*No final measurements were made as all peak emissions seen above the measurement system noise floor during the pre-scan were greater than 10 dB below the test limit.



Figure 6 - 30 MHz to 1 GHz, Quasi-Peak, Vertical

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Detector	Angle (°)	Height (cm)	Polarisation
54.068	28.43	40.00	-11.57	Q-Peak	266	110	Vertical

No other final measurements were made as all peak emissions seen above the measurement system noise floor during the pre-scan were greater than 10 dB below the test limit.



Figure 7 - 1 GHz to 40 GHz, Horizontal

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Detector	Angle (°)	Height (cm)	Polarisation
*							

*No final measurements were made as all peak emissions seen above the measurement system noise floor during the pre-scan were greater than 10 dB below the test limit.



Figure 8 - 1 GHz to 40 GHz, Vertical

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Detector	Angle (°)	Height (cm)	Polarisation
*							

*No final measurements were made as all peak emissions seen above the measurement system noise floor during the pre-scan were greater than 10 dB below the test limit.

2.2.10 Test Location and Test Equipment Used

This test was carried out in EMC Chamber 12.

Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No	TE No	Calibration Period (months)	Calibration Expires
Antenna (DRG, 18 GHz to 40 GHz)	Link Microtek Ltd	AM180HA-K-TU2	230	24	23-Sep-2024
Pre-Amplifier (18 GHz to 40 GHz)	Phase One	PSO4-0087	1534	12	13-Feb-2025
Emissions Software	TUV SUD	EmX V3.2.0	5125	-	Software
Pre-Amplifier (1 GHz to 18 GHz)	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9718 C	5350	12	01-Dec-2024
Thermo-Hygro-Barometer	PCE Instruments	PCE-THB 40	5478	12	13-May-2025
Cable (K-Type to K-Type, 1 m)	Junkosha	MWX241-01000KMSKMS/A	5512	12	23-May-2025
EMI Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESW44	5527	12	15-Jun-2024
Antenna (DRG, 1 GHz to 10.5 GHz)	Schwarzbeck	BBHA9120B	5611	12	15-Oct-2024
Turntable & Mast Controller	Maturo Gmbh	NCD/498/2799.01	5612	-	TU
Tilt Antenna Mast	Maturo Gmbh	TAM 4.0-P	5613	-	TU
Antenna (Bi-Log, 30 MHz to 1 GHz)	Teseq	CBL6111D	5615	24	15-Mar-2025
3m Semi-Anechoic Chamber	MVG	EMC Chamber 12	5621	36	07-Aug-2026
Cable (N-Type to N-Type, 2 m)	Junkosha	MWX221-02000AMSAMS/B	5729	6	21-Jun-2024
Cable (K-Type to K-Type, 2 m)	Junkosha	MWX241-02000KMSKMS/B	5934	12	18-Jun-2024
Cable (SMA to SMA 1m)	Junkosha	MWX221/B	5998	12	24-Oct-2024
Cable (N-Type to N-Type, 8 m)	Junkosha	MWX221-08000NMSNMS/B	6321	12	04-Feb-2025

Table 14

TU - Traceability Unscheduled

3 Incident Reports

No incidents reports were raised.

4 Measurement Uncertainty

For a 95% confidence level, the measurement uncertainties for defined systems are:

Test Name	Measurement Uncertainty
Conducted Disturbance at Mains Terminals	150 kHz to 30 MHz, LISN, ±3.7 dB
Radiated Disturbance	30 MHz to 1 GHz, Bilog Antenna, SAC, ±5.2 dB 1 GHz to 6 GHz, Horn Antenna, SAC, ±5.1 dB 6 GHz to 18 GHz, Horn Antenna, SAC, ±4.9 dB 18 GHz to 40 GHz, Horn Antenna, SAC, ±6.3 dB

Table 15

Worst case error for both Time and Frequency measurement 12 parts in 10^6.

Measurement Uncertainty Decision Rule

Determination of conformity with the specification limits is based on the decision rule according to IEC Guide 115:2021, Clause 4.4.3 (Procedure 2). The measurement results are directly compared with the test limit to determine conformance with the requirements of the standard.

Risk: The uncertainty of measurement about the measured result is negligible with regard to the final pass/fail decision. The measurement result can be directly compared with the test limit to determine conformance with the requirement (compare IEC Guide 115). The level of risk to falsely accept and falsely reject items is further described in ILAC-G8.