SAR EVALUATION REPORT

Report No. : 25IE0245-HO-5

Applicant	:	**Sony Corporation**
Type of Equipment	:	**Wireless LAN Module**
Model No.	:	**IRF303JU**
FCC ID	:	**AK8IRF303**
Test standard	:	**FCC47CFR 2.1093** **FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C**
Test Result	:	**Complied (IEEE 802.11b/g)**
Max. SAR Measured	:	**1.42 W/kg(Body, 2412MHz)**

1. This test report shall not be reproduced except full or partial, without the written approval of UL Apex Co., Ltd.
2. The results in this report apply only to the sample tested.
3. This equipment is in compliance with the above standard. We hereby certify that the data contain a true representation of the SAR profile.
4. The test results in this test report are traceable to the national or international standards.

Date of test : June 13 and 29 , July 5 2005

Tested by : M. Ikuta

Miyo Ikuta
EMC Lab.Head Office

Approved by : T. Maeno

Tetsuo Maeno
Site Manager of Head Office EMC Lab.

UL Apex Co., Ltd.
Head Office EMC Lab.
4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN
Telephone: +81 596 24 8116
Facsimile: +81 596 24 8124

CONTENTS **PAGE**

SECTION 1 : Client information

Company Name	:	Sony Corporation
Brand Name	:	SONY
Address	:	Gate City Osaki West Tower Osaki East Tec. 1-11-1 Osaki Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo, 141-0032 Japan
Telephone Number	:	+81-3-5435-3977
Facsimile Number	:	+81-3-5435-3963
Contact Person	:	Masaki Nishimura

SECTION 2 : Equipment under test

2.1 Identification of EUT

Type of Equipment	:	Wireless LAN Module
Model No.	:	IRF303JU
Serial No.	:	003
Country of Manufacture	:	Japan
Condition of EUT	:	Engineering prototype (Not for Sale: This sample is equivalent to mass-produced items.)
Rating	:	DC3.3V, 0.81A
Receipt Date of Sample	:	June 13, 2005
Category Identified	:	Portable device

2.2 Product Description of EUT

This EUT has IEEE.802.11a/b/g.
The description only of the IEEE.802.11 b/g modes are shown below.

Frequency of operation	:	2412-2462MHz (IEEE802.11b/g)
Modulation	:	DSSS,OFDM
Max.Output Power Tested (2462MHz, Antenna Port 2)	:	23.96dBm Peak Conducted



2.3 Product description of Antenna

Antenna Type	:	Pattern Antenna (M/N : LFANT103)
Antenna Gain(LFANT103)	:	2.35dBi (MAX)
Antenna Connector Type	:	U.FL



SECTION 3 : Requirements for compliance testing defined by the FCC

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order “Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under “worst-case” conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

1 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source (wireless portable device).
2 IEEE/ANSI Std. C95.1-1992 limits are used to determine compliance with FCC ET Docket 93-62.

SECTION 4 : Dosimetry assessment setup

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than +/- 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetry probe ET3DV6, SN: 1684 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in [2] with accuracy of better than +/-10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [3] and found to be better than +/-0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN50361.

4.1 Configuration and peripherals



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software.
   An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

2. A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.

3. A data acquisition electronic (DAE), which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.

4. The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection.
   The EOC is connected to the measurement server.

5. The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.

6. A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.

7. A computer operating Windows 2000.

8. DASY4 software.

9. Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

10. The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.

11. The device holder for handheld mobile phones.

12. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.

13. Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

4.2 System components

4.2.1 ET3DV6 Probe Specification

Construction:
Symmetrical design with triangular core
Built-in optical fiber for surface detection System
Built-in shielding against static charges
PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycol ether)

Calibration:
Conversion Factors (CF) for
900MHz, 1800MHz and 2450MHz (Head and Body)

Frequency:
10 MHz to 3GHz; Linearity: +/-0.2 dB
(30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity:
+/-0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)
+/-0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic Range:
5 mW/g to > 100 mW/g;Linearity: +/-0.2 dB

Optical Surface Detection:
+/-0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces.

Dimensions:
Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm)
Tip length: 16 mm
Body diameter: 12 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

Application:
General dosimetric up to 3 GHz
Compliance tests of mobile phones
Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



ET3DV6 E-field Probe

4.2.2 SAM Twin Phantom

Construction:
The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC EN 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.
Shell Thickness:
2 +/-0.2 mm
Filling Volume:
Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions:
(H x L x W): 810 x 1000 x 500 mm



SAM Twin Phantom

4.2.3 Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter
in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head,flat phantom).
* Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations.
To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

Device holder couldn't be used at this SAR measurement.

Device Holder

SECTION 5 : Test system specifications

Robot RX60L

Number of Axes	:	6
Payload	:	1.6 kg
Reach	:	800mm
Repeatability	:	+/-0.025mm
Control Unit	:	CS7M
Programming Language	:	V+
Manuafacture	:	Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60

DASY4 Measurement server

Features	:	166MHz low power Pentium MMX 32MB chipdisk and 64MB RAM Serial link to DAE (with watchdog supervision) 16 Bit A/D converter for surface detection system Two serial links to robot (one for real-time communication which is supervised by watchdog) Ethernet link to PC (with watchdog supervision) Emergency stop relay for robot safety chainTwo expansion slots for future applications
Manufacture	:	Schimid & Partner Engineering AG

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE)

Features	:	Signal amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic Serial optical link for communication with DASY4 embedded system (fully remote controlled) 2 step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop (not in -R version)
Measurement Range	:	1 µV to > 200 mV (16 bit resolution and two range settings: 4mV, 400mV)
Input Offset voltage	:	< 1 µV (with auto zero)
Input Resistance	:	200 MΩ
Battery Power	:	> 10 h of operation (with two 9 V battery)
Dimension	:	60 x 60 x 68 mm
Manufacture	:	Schimid & Partner Engineering AG

Software

Item	:	Dosimetric Assesment System DASY4
Type No.	:	SD 000 401A, SD 000 402A
Software version No.	:	4.5
Manufacture / Origin	:	Schimid & Partner Engineering AG

E-Field Probe

Model	:	ET3DV6
Serial No.	:	1684
Construction	:	Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency	:	10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity	:	+/-0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Manufacture	:	Schimid & Partner Engineering AG

Phantom

Type	:	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Shell Material	:	Fiberglass
Thickness	:	2.0 +/-0.2 mm
Volume	:	Approx. 25 liters
Manufacture	:	Schimid & Partner Engineering AG

SECTION 6 : Measurement outline

We tested with this EUT was inserted into the limited host device. (Location Free TV, model : LF-X11)
The test operation of the EUT was controlled by the PC. Therefore, we tested connecting the PC and the Location Free TV which inserted in the EUT.

The detail of the Location Free TV that we used for SAR testing is showing in the following.
The shortest distance between the surface of this Location Free TV and antenna is 3.6 mm.

6.1 Information of host device

Type of Equipment	:	Location Free TV
Model name	:	LF-X11
Manufacture	:	SONY
Battery	:	Only one type Model name : BP-LX1A V / mAh : 10.8V / 4400mAh
Position of Antenna	:	See figure and photograph of berrow

Note: There are no accessories attached to this EUT.



Back view



Antenna 2 (Right Antenna)

Antenna 1 (Left Antenna)



SECTION 7 : Test setup of EUT

7.1 Photographs of test setup

This EUT is inserted into the Location Free TV.(model : LF-X11).
When users operate or carry the Location Free TV, it could be considered to touch or get close to their bodies. In order to assume this situation, we performed the test at the following positions. Please refer to "APPENDIX 1" for more details.

1.Left Front : The test was performed in touch with left front surface (ANT.1) of the Location Free TV to the flat section of SAM Twin phantom.

2.Right Front : The test was performed in touch with right front surface (ANT.2) of the Location Free TV to the flat section of SAM Twin phantom.

3.Left Side : The test was performed in touch with left side (ANT.1) of the Location Free TV to the flat section of SAM Twin phantom.

4.Right Side : The test was performed in touch with right side (ANT.2) of the Location Free TV to the flat section of SAM Twin phantom.

5.Left Back : The test was performed in touch with left back surface (ANT.1)of the Location Free TV to the flat section of SAM Twin phantom

6.Left Top : The test was performed in touch with left top surface (ANT.1)of the Location Free TV to the flat section of SAM Twin phantom

1. Front



2. Left Side



3. Right Side



4. Back



5. Top

7.2 EUT Tune-up procedure

This EUT (Wireless LAN module) has IEEE.802.11a/b/g.
The frequency band and the modulation used in the testing of IEEE.802.11b/g are shown as a following.

1. IEEE 802.11b mode

Frequency band : 2412-2462MHz
Channel : 1ch(2412MHz),6ch(2437MHz),11ch(2462MHz)
Modulation : DSSS
Crest factor : 1

2. IEEE 802.11g mode

Frequency band : 2412-2462MHz
Channel : 1ch(2412MHz),6ch(2437MHz),11ch(2462MHz)
Modulation : OFDM
Crest factor : 1

7.3 Methode of measurement

1. IEEE 802.11b of Antenna 1

The 11b (DSSS) test was performed in the CCK(11Mbps) modulation because it was the highest peak power and data rate.

Step1. The searching for the worst position

Step2. The changing to the Low and High channels
This test was performed at the worst conditions of Step1.

2. IEEE 802.11g of Antenna 1

Step3. The data rate in the higher peak power of each modulation was decided, then the worst modulation was searched in the SAR testing.

Step4. The searching for the worst position
This test was performed at the worst modulation of Step3.

Step5. The changing to the Low and High channels
This test was performed at the worst conditions of Step 4.

3. IEEE 802.11b of Antenna 2

The 11b (DSSS) test was performed in the CCK(11Mbps) modulation because it was the highest peak power and data rate.

Step 6. The searching for the worst position
Only the positions of Front and Side was measured.
Because, SAR value of the positions of Back and Top were lower than the position of Front and Side in Step1.
In addition, Antenna 1 and Antenna 2 are located to symmetry in the Location Free TV (model : LF-X11).
Moreover, the distance between the antenna and surface of Location Free TV, the position of Back and Top is longer than the position of Front and Side.

Step7. The changing to the Low and High channels
This test was performed at the worst conditions of Step6.

4. IEEE 802.11g of Antenna 2

Step8. The data rate in the higher peak power of each modulation was decided, then the worst modulation was searched in the SAR testing.
This test was performed at the worst position of Step6.

Step9. The changing to the Low and High channels
This test was performed at the worst conditions of Step8.

SECTION 8 : Measurement uncertainty

8.1 Uncertainty of 802.11b/g modes testing

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY4 measurement system according to SPEAG documents [6] and is given in the following Table.

Error Description	Uncertainty value ± %	Probability distribution	divisor	(ci) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	vi or veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	±4.8	Normal	1	1	±4.8	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	±4.7	Rectangular	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	±9.6	Rectangular	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary effects	±1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Probe linearity	±4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	±2.7	∞
Detection limit	±1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout electronics	±1.0	Normal	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response time	±0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration time	±2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF ambient conditions	±3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	±0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe positioning	±2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrap. and integration	±1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device positioning	±2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	±2.9	24
Device holder uncertainty	±3.6	Rectangular	√3	1	±3.6	6
Power drift	±10.0	Rectangular	√3	1	±5.8	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	±4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	±5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	±5.0	Normal	1	0.64	±3.2	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	±10.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	±3.5	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	±10.0	Normal	1	0.6	±6.0	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					**±13.469**	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**±26.9**	

The result of some test showed that the power drift has exceeded ±5%. Therefore, the uncertainty of power drift expanded to ±10%. However, the extended uncertainty (k= 2) of a test is less than +/-30%.

SECTION 9 : Simulated tissue liquid parameter

9.1 Simulated Tissue Liquid Parameter confirmation

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070D dielectric probe kit.
The dielectric parameters measurement are reported in each correspondent section.

9.2 Head 2450 MHz

Type of liquid : **Head 2450 MHz**
Ambient temperature (deg.c.) : **25.0(June 29 and July 5)**
Relative Humidity (%) : **60(June 29), 65(July 5)**
Liquid depth (cm) : **15.0**

DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
Date	Frequency	Liquid Temp [deg.c]		Parameters	Target Value	Measured	Deviation [%]	Limit [%]
		Before	After					
29-Jun	2450	24.2	24.2	Relative Permittivity εr	39.2	35.9	-8.4	+/-10
				Coductivity σ [mho/m]	1.80	1.85	2.8	+/-5
5-Jul	2450	24.0	24.0	Relative Permittivity εr	39.2	36.9	-5.9	+/-10
				Coductivity σ [mho/m]	1.80	1.89	5.0	+/-5

9.3 Muscle 2450 MHz

Type of liquid : **Muscle 2450 MHz**
Ambient temperature (deg.c.) : **25.0(June 29 and July 5)**
Relative Humidity (%) : **60(June 29), 65(July 5)**
Liquid depth (cm) : **15.0**

DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
Date	Frequency	Liquid Temp [deg.c]		Parameters	Target Value	Measured	Deviation [%]	Limit [%]
		Before	After					
29-Jun	2450	24.7	24.7	Relative Permittivity εr	52.7	50.6	-4.0	+/-5
				Coductivity σ [mho/m]	1.95	1.96	0.5	+/-5
5-Jul	2450	24.2	24.2	Relative Permittivity εr	52.7	50.3	-4.6	+/-5
				Coductivity σ [mho/m]	1.95	1.99	2.1	+/-5

9.4 Simulated Tissues Composition of 2450MHz

Ingredient	MIXTURE(%)	
	Head 2450MHz	Muscle 2450MHz
Water	45.0	69.83
DGMBE	55.0	30.2

Note:DGMBE(Diethylenglycol-monobuthyl ether)

SECTION 10 : System validation data

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of +/-10%. The validation results are in the table below. Please refer to APPENDIX3.

10.1 System validation of 2450MHz

Type of liquid	:	**HEAD 2450MHz**
Frequency	:	**2450MHz**
Ambient temperature (deg.c.)	:	**25.0(June 29 and July 5)**
Relative Humidity (%)	:	**60(June 29), 65(July 5)**
Dipole	:	**D2450V2 SN:713**
Power	:	**250mW**

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK										
Date	Liquid (HEAD 2450MHz)						System dipole validation target & measured			
	Liquid Temp [deg.c.]		Relative Permittivity εr		Conductivity σ [mho/m]		SAR 1g [W/kg]		Deviation [%]	Limit [%]
	Before	After	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured		
29-Jun	24.2	24.3	39.2	35.9	1.80	1.85	13.1	13.9	6.1	+/-10
5-Jul	24.0	24.0	39.2	36.9	1.80	1.89	13.1	14.3	9.2	+/-10

Note: Please refer to Attachment for the result representation in plot format



2450MHz System performance check setup

Test system for the system performance check setup diagram

SECTION 11 : Evaluation procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the E-field at a fixed location above the ear point or central position of flat phantom was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop.

Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of head or body position was measured at a distance of each device from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the antenna of EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 20 mm x 20 mm . Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation.

Step 3: Around this point found in the Step 2 (area scan) , a volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. And for any secondary peaks found in the Step2 which are within 2dB of maximum peak and not with this Step3 (Zoom scan) is repeated. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:

1. The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [4]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes.
This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

2. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions) [4], [5]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

3. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the E-field at the same location as in Step 1.

SECTION 12 : Exposure limit

(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)
0.4	8.0	20.0

(B) Limits for General population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body	Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)
0.08	1.6	4.0

Occupational/Controlled Environments: are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled Environments: are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

NOTE:GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE
SPATIAL PEAK(averaged over any 1g of tissue) LIMIT
1.6 W/kg

SECTION 13 : SAR Measurement results

13.1 Antenna 1

13.1.1 Conducted power of Antenna Port 1

[IEEE802.11b : Antenna Port 1 (by the data rate)]						
Ch	Modulation (Data rate [bps])	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
6	DBPSK (1Mbps)	9.13	0.49	10.00	19.62	91.62
6	DQPSK(2Mbps)	9.93	0.49	10.00	20.42	110.15
6	CCK(5.5Mbps)	11.32	0.49	10.00	21.81	151.71
6	CCK(11Mbps)	12.49	0.49	10.00	22.98	198.61

[IEEE802.11b: Antenna Port 1 (11Mbps)]						
Ch	Freq. [MHz]	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
1	2412	12.47	0.49	10.00	22.96	197.70
6	2437	12.49	0.49	10.00	22.98	198.61
11	2462	13.31	0.49	10.00	23.80	239.88

[IEEE802.11g : Antenna Port 1 (by the data rate)]						
Ch	Modulation (Data rate [bps])	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
6	BPSK (6Mbps)	10.41	0.49	10.00	20.90	123.03
6	BPSK (9Mbps)	10.37	0.49	10.00	20.86	121.90
6	QPSK (12Mbps)	10.49	0.49	10.00	20.98	125.31
6	QPSK (18Mbps)	10.31	0.49	10.00	20.80	120.23
6	16QAM(24Mbps)	10.64	0.49	10.00	21.13	129.72
6	16QAM(36Mbps)	10.82	0.49	10.00	21.31	135.21
6	64QAM(48Mbps)	10.91	0.49	10.00	21.40	138.04
6	64QAM(54Mbps)	11.23	0.49	10.00	21.72	148.59

[The worst data rate in SAR result]

[IEEE802.11g : Antenna Port 1 (12Mbps)]						
Ch	Freq. [MHz]	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
1	2412	9.54	0.49	10.00	20.03	100.69
6	2437	10.49	0.49	10.00	20.98	125.31
11	2462	9.82	0.49	10.00	20.31	107.40

[IEEE802.11g: Antenna Port 1 (54Mbps)]						
Ch	Freq. [MHz]	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
1	2412	10.65	0.49	10.00	21.14	130.02
6	2437	11.23	0.49	10.00	21.72	148.59
11	2462	11.73	0.49	10.00	22.22	166.72

13.1.2 Body 2450MHz SAR of Antenna 1

Liquid Depth (cm)	:	**15.0**	Model	:	**IRF303JU**
Parameters	:	ε_r =**50.6**, σ =**1.96**	Serial No.	:	**003**
Ambient temperature (deg.c.)	:	**25.0**	Modulation	:	**DSSS,OFDM**
Relative Humidity (%)	:	**63**	Crest factor	:	**1**

Date : June 29,2005
Measured By : Miyo Ikuta

BODY SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF ANTENNA 1

Frequency			Modulation (Data rate[bps])	Phantom Section	EUT Set-up Conditions			Liquid Temp.[deg.c]		SAR(1g) [W/kg]
Mode	Channel	[MHz]			Antenna	Position	Separation [mm]	Before	After	Maximum value of multi-peak
11b	**Step 1 Position search**									
	6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Front	0	24.8	24.6	**0.388**
	6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.5	24.5	**1.18**
	6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Back	0	24.5	24.5	**0.048**
	6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Top	0	24.4	24.4	**0.019**
	Step 2 Frequency Change									
	1	2412	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.7	24.7	**1.42**
	11	2462	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.7	24.7	**1.32**
11g	**Step 3 Modulation search**									
	6	2437	BPSK(6Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.5	24.5	**0.660**
	6	2437	QPSK(12Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.5	24.5	**0.686**
	6	2437	16QAM(36Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.5	24.5	**0.682**
	6	2437	64QAM(54Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.5	24.5	**0.681**
	Step 4 Position search									
	6	2437	QPSK(12Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Front	0	24.6	24.6	**0.197**
	6	2437	QPSK(12Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Back	0	24.5	24.5	**0.024**
	6	2437	QPSK(12Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Top	0	24.4	24.4	**0.00973**
	Step 5 Frequency Change									
	1	2412	QPSK(12Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.6	24.6	**0.786**
	11	2462	QPSK(12Mbps)	Flat	ANT.1	Left Side	0	24.5	24.5	**0.430**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population								**Body SAR: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)**		

13.2 Antenna 2

13.2.1 Conducted power of Antenna Port2

[IEEE802.11b : Antenna Port 2 (by the data rate)]						
Ch	Modulation (Data rate [bps])	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
6	DBPSK (1Mbps)	9.25	0.49	10.00	19.74	94.19
6	DQPSK(2Mbps)	9.85	0.49	10.00	20.34	108.14
6	CCK(5.5Mbps)	11.36	0.49	10.00	21.85	153.11
6	CCK(11Mbps)	13.04	0.49	10.00	23.53	225.42

[IEEE802.11b : Antenna Port 2 (11Mbps)]						
Ch	Freq. [MHz]	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
1	2412.0	12.58	0.49	10.00	23.07	202.77
6	2437.0	13.04	0.49	10.00	23.53	225.42
11	2462.0	13.47	0.49	10.00	23.96	248.89

[IEEE802.11g : Antenna Port 2 (by the data rate)]						
Ch	Modulation (Data rate [bps])	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
6	BPSK (6Mbps)	10.23	0.49	10.00	20.72	118.03
6	BPSK (9Mbps)	10.23	0.49	10.00	20.72	118.03
6	QPSK (12Mbps)	9.89	0.49	10.00	20.38	109.14
6	QPSK (18Mbps)	10.07	0.49	10.00	20.56	113.76
6	16QAM(24Mbps)	10.33	0.49	10.00	20.82	120.78
6	16QAM(36Mbps)	10.78	0.49	10.00	21.27	133.97
6	64QAM(48Mbps)	10.95	0.49	10.00	21.44	139.32
6	64QAM(54Mbps)	11.17	0.49	10.00	21.66	146.55

[The worst data rate in SAR result]

[IEEE802.11g : Antenna Port 2 (18Mbps)]						
Ch	Freq. [MHz]	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
1	2412.0	9.62	0.49	10.00	20.11	102.57
6	2437.0	10.07	0.49	10.00	20.56	113.76
11	2462.0	9.70	0.49	10.00	20.19	104.47

[IEEE802.11g : Antenna Port 2 (54Mbps)]						
Ch	Freq. [MHz]	S/A Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result [dBm]	Converted [mW]
1	2412.0	10.37	0.49	10.00	20.86	121.90
6	2437.0	11.17	0.49	10.00	21.66	146.55
11	2462.0	11.79	0.49	10.00	22.28	169.04

13.2.2 Body 2450MHz SAR of Antenna 2

Liquid Depth (cm)	:	**15.0**	Model	:	**IRF303JU**
Parameters	:	ε_r =**50.3**, σ =**1.99**	Serial No.	:	**003**
Ambient temperature (deg.c.)	:	**25.0**	Modulation	:	**DSSS,OFDM**
Relative Humidity (%)	:	**65**	Crest factor	:	**1**

Date : July 5,2005
Measured By : Miyo Ikuta

BODY SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF ANTENNA 2

Frequency			Modulation (Data rate[bps])	Phantom Section	EUT Set-up Conditions			Liquid Temp.[deg.c]		SAR(1g) [W/kg]
Mode	Channel	[MHz]			Antenna	Position	Separation [mm]	Before	After	Maximum value of multi-peak
11b	**Step 6 Position search**									
	6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	Right Front	0	24.3	24.0	**0.398**
	6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.2	24.2	**0.944**
	Step 7 Frequency Change									
	1	2412	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.2	24.1	**0.943**
	11	2462	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.1	24.2	**0.933**
11g	**Step 8 Modulation search**									
	6	2437	BPSK(6Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.3	24.3	**0.314**
	6	2437	QPSK(18Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.4	24.5	**0.458**
	6	2437	16QAM(36Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.2	24.2	**0.343**
	6	2437	64QAM(54Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.2	24.2	**0.329**
	Step 9 Frequency Change									
	1	2412	QPSK(18Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.3	24.3	**0.402**
	11	2462	QPSK(18Mbps)	Flat	ANT.2	RightSide	0	24.3	24.3	**0.248**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population**								**Body SAR: 1.6 W/kg** **(averaged over 1 gram)**		

SECTION 14 : Equipment & calibration information

Name of Equipment	Manufacture	Model number	Serial number	Calibration	
				Last Cal	due date
Power Meter	Agilent	E4417A	GB41290639	2004/11/09	2005/11/08
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9300B	US40010300	2004/11/15	2005/11/14
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9327A	US40440545	2004/11/23	2005/11/22
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4448A	MY44020357	2005/06/03	2006/06/02
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US39174808	2003/10/23	2006/10/22
Signal Generator	Rohde&Schwarz	SML40	100023	2005/01/05	2006/01/04
RF Amplifier	TSJ	TCBP0206	1005	2005/02/24	2006/02/23
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	Schmid&Partner Engineering AG	ET3DV6	1684	2004/09/02	2005/09/01
Data Acquisition Electronics	Schmid&Partner Engineering AG	DAE3	516	2005/03/10	2006/03/09
Robot,SAM Phantom	Schmid&Partner Engineering AG	DASY4	I021834	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	Agilent	US40010300	08498-60012	2004/12/16	2005/12/15
2450MHz System Validation Dipole	Schmid&Partner Engineering AG	D2450V2	765	2004/11/15	2005/11/14
Dual Directional Coupler	N/A	Narda	03702	N/A	N/A
Head 2450MHz	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Body 2450MHz	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ambient Noise <0.012W/kg	SAR room	-	-	2005/6/29 2005/7/05	-

SECTION 15 : References

[1]ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
[2] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, "Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM '97, Dubrovnik, October 15-17, 1997, pp. 120-124.
[3] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, "E-_field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23-25 June, 1996, pp.172-175.
[4] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
[5] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky,W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
[6]SPEAG documents Unsertainty document for DASY 4 System from SPEAG (Shimid & Partner Engineering AG).