

**Amber Helm Development L.C.**  
92723 Michigan Hwy-152  
Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA  
Tel: 888-847-8027

**VAISECU-WR2430TXr1**  
Issued: September 27, 2024

# Radio Test Report

regarding

**USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.209 (Emissions)**  
**Canada: ISED RSS-210v11/GENv5 (Emissions)**

for



## SEER-ECU

**Category: LF Transmitter**

Judgments:

**15.209/RSS-210v11 Compliant Transmitter**

Testing Completed: September 26, 2024



Prepared for:


## VAIS Technology

8811 American Way, suite 125, Englewood Co. 80112

Phone: 720-733-2348, Fax: -

Contact: Eugene Kaspin, eugene.kaspin@vaiitech.com


Data Rec./Rev. by:

  
John Nantz

Rpt. Prep./Rev. by:

  
Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Rpt. Auth. by:

  
Dr. Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Date of Issue:

September 27, 2024

## Revision History

Rev. No.	Date	Details	Revised By
r0	September 27, 2024	Initial Release.	J. Nantz
r1	December 3, 2024	Minor corrections	J. Nantz

## Contents

<b>Revision History</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Test Report Scope and Limitations</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Laboratory Authorization . . . . .	4
1.2 Report Retention . . . . .	4
1.3 Subcontracted Testing . . . . .	4
1.4 Test Data . . . . .	4
1.5 Limitation of Results . . . . .	4
1.6 Copyright . . . . .	4
1.7 Endorsements . . . . .	4
1.8 Test Location . . . . .	5
1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used . . . . .	5
<b>2 Test Specifications and Procedures</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures . . . . .	6
<b>3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 Description and Declarations . . . . .	7
3.1.1 EUT Configuration . . . . .	8
3.1.2 Modes of Operation . . . . .	8
3.1.3 Variants . . . . .	8
3.1.4 Test Samples . . . . .	8
3.1.5 Functional Exerciser . . . . .	8
3.1.6 Modifications Made . . . . .	9
3.1.7 Production Intent . . . . .	9
3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes . . . . .	9
<b>4 Emissions</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 General Test Procedures . . . . .	10
4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures . . . . .	10
4.1.2 Power Supply Variation . . . . .	12
4.2 Intentional Emissions . . . . .	13
4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation . . . . .	13
4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth . . . . .	15
4.2.3 Fundamental Emission . . . . .	16
4.3 Unintentional Emissions . . . . .	17
4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions . . . . .	17
<b>5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents</b>	<b>18</b>

## List of Tables

1.8.0 Test Site List. . . . .	5
1.9.0 Equipment List. . . . .	5
3.1.0 EUT Declarations. . . . .	7
4.2.1 Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle). . . . .	13
4.2.2 Intentional Emission Bandwidth. . . . .	15
4.2.3 Fundamental Radiated Emissions. . . . .	16
4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions. . . . .	17
5.0.0 Measurement Uncertainty. . . . .	18

## List of Figures

3.1.0 Photos of EUT. . . . .	7
3.1.1 EUT Test Configuration Diagram. . . . .	8
4.1.1 Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT. . . . .	10
4.1.1 Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s). . . . .	11
4.2.1 Example Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle). . . . .	14
4.2.2 Example Intentional Emission Bandwidth. . . . .	15
5.0.0 Accreditation Documents . . . . .	18

## 1 Test Report Scope and Limitations

### 1.1 Laboratory Authorization

Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: US5348 and US5356) and with ISED Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: 3161A and 24249). Amber Helm Development L.C. holds accreditation under NVLAP Lab Code 200129-0.

### 1.2 Report Retention

For equipment verified to comply with the regulations herein, the manufacturer is obliged to retain this report with the product records for the life of the product, and no less than ten years. A copy of this Report will remain on file with this laboratory until September 2034.

### 1.3 Subcontracted Testing

This report does not contain data produced under subcontract.

### 1.4 Test Data

This test report contains data included within the laboratory's scope of accreditation. Any data in this report that is not covered under the laboratory's scope is clearly identified.

### 1.5 Limitation of Results

The test results contained in this report relate only to the item(s) tested. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to the test date shall invalidate the data presented in this report. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to this test date shall require reevaluation.

### 1.6 Copyright

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Amber Helm Development L.C.

### 1.7 Endorsements

This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by any accrediting, regulatory, or governmental agency.

## 1.8 Test Location

The EUT was fully tested by **Amber Helm Development L.C.**, headquartered at 92723 Michigan Hwy-152, Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA. Table 1.8.0 lists all sites employed herein. Specific test sites utilized are also listed in the test results sections of this report where needed.

Table 1.8.0 Test Site List.

Description	Location	Quality Num.
OATS (3 meter)	3615 E Grand River Rd., Williamston, Michigan 48895	OATSD

## 1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used

Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 1.9.0. The quality system employed at Amber Helm Development L.C. has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards. All equipment is evaluated on a cycle no greater than 12 months following laboratory validation procedures and is calibrated following manufacturer recommended intervals.

Table 1.9.0 Equipment List.

Description	Manufacturer/Model	SN	Quality Num.	Cal/Ver By / Date Due
Spectrum Analyzer	R & S / FPC1500	101692	RSFPC15001	RS / Feb-2025
Spectrum Analyzer	R & S / FSV30	101660	RSFSV3001	RS / Apr-2025
Biconical	EMCO / 93110B	9802-3039	BICEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2025
Log Periodic Antenna	EMCO / 3146	9305-3614	LOGEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2025
BNC-BNC Coax	WRTL / RG58/U	001	CAB001-BLACK	AHD / March-2025
Shielded Loop Antenna	EMCO / 6502	9502-2926	EMCOLOOP1	Keysight / Jul-2026

## 2 Test Specifications and Procedures

## 2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The goal of VAIS Technology is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the VAIS Technology SEER-ECU for compliance to:

Country/Region/Manu.	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.209
Canada	ISED Canada	ISED RSS-210v11/GENv5

It has been determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2014	”Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz”
ANSI C63.10:2013	”American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices”
WR-ITP0102RA	”AHD Internal Document - Radiated Emissions Test Method”
WR-ITP0101LC	”AHD Internal Document - Conducted Emissions Test Method”

### 3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

#### 3.1 Description and Declarations

The equipment under test is a Vehicle Access Module containing an LF transmitter for automotive use. The EUT is approximately 14.3 x 8 x 2.5 cm in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 3.1.0 . It is powered by 13.5 VDC automotive power system. In use, this device is permanently installed in a motor vehicle. Table 3.1.0 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.



Figure 3.1.0 Photos of EUT.

Table 3.1.0 EUT Declarations.

#### General Declarations

Equipment Type:	LF Transmitter
Country of Origin:	Taiwan
Nominal Supply:	13.5 VDC
Oper. Temp Range:	Not Declared
Frequency Range:	125 kHz
Antenna Dimension:	Not Declared
Antenna Type:	Ferrite Coil
Antenna Gain:	Not Declared
Number of Channels:	1
Channel Spacing:	Not Applicable
Alignment Range:	Not Declared
Type of Modulation:	ASK

#### United States

FCC ID Number:	2BK5KSEERECUA
Classification:	DCD

#### Canada

IC Number:	33061-SEERECUA
Classification:	Other

### 3.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 3.1.1 .




Figure 3.1.1 EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

### 3.1.2 Modes of Operation

This device is capable of two principle modes of operation, POLLING mode and CONNECTED mode. POLLING mode is automatically initiated by the vehicle and results in periodic transmissions on the two LF antennas. Once a programmed SEER-FOB is detected the vehicle transitions to the CONNECTED mode and results in periodic transmissions on the two LF antennas and the PTS (Push To Start) antenna. No two antennas are ever actuated at the same time.

### 3.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of EUT which employs two (2) chassis mounted LF antenna coils (PREMO model KGEA-BFCR) for LF range vehicle access functions and one (1) chassis mounted LF antenna coil (PREMO model KGEA-HB) supporting a push to start function. The EUT communicates with a remote control through an axillary module containing a modular BLE radio (FCC ID: 2APD9-RSL10SIP; IC: 23763-RSL10SIP), separately verified via SDoC.

### 3.1.4 Test Samples

Two samples in total were provided: SN: A00051 and SN: A00052. Both samples are capable of CM transmission on each antenna coil as well as normal POLLING and CONNECTED mode transmissions.

### 3.1.5 Functional Exerciser

Normal operating EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal.

### **3.1.6 Modifications Made**

Resistor R12 was changed to a 23.7K ohms to improve DC power line emissions tested against other test standards. No other changes to the product were made.

### **3.1.7 Production Intent**

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

### **3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes**

The EUT is permanently installed in a transportation vehicle. As such, digital emissions are exempt from US and Canadian digital emissions regulations (per FCC 15.103(a) and IC correspondence on ICES-003).

## 4 Emissions

### 4.1 General Test Procedures

#### 4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our screen room. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.8 are employed. After pre-scan, emission measurements are made on the test site of record. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 4.1.1. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded. If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied.




Figure 4.1.1 Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna and/or E-field and H-Field broadband probes are used depending on the regulation. Shielded loops are placed at a 1 meter receive height at the desired measurement distance. For exposure in this band, 10cm diameter single-axis broadband probes meeting the requirements of ISED SPR-002 section 5.2 are employed. Measurements are repeated and summed over three axes, and the entire frequency range is measured with and without the EUT transmitting.

Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain or broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a 4 × 5 m rectangle of ECCOSORB absorber covering the OATS ground screen and a 1.5m table height. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.1.1.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to dB $\mu$ V/m at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where  $P_R$  is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm,  $K_A$  is the test antenna factor in dB/m,  $K_G$  is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB,  $K_E$  is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and  $C_F$  is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4.1.1 Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

#### 4.1.2 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

## 4.2 Intentional Emissions

### 4.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation

The details and results of testing the EUT for pulsed operation are summarized in Table 4.2.1 .


Table 4.2.1 Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	13-Sep-24
9 kHz ≤ f ≤ 150 kHz	Pk/QPk	300 Hz	1 kHz	Test Engineer:	John Nantz
150 kHz ≤ f ≤ 30 MHz	Pk/QPk	9 kHz/10 kHz	30 kHz	EUT Mode:	See Below
25 MHz ≤ f ≤ 1 000 MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz	Meas. Distance:	10cm
f > 1 000 MHz	Pk	3 MHz	10 MHz	EUT Tested:	SEER ECU
f > 1 000 MHz	Avg	3 MHz	10 MHz		

DUTY CYCLE									
R0	EUT Mode	Overall Transmission			Internal Frame Characteristics			Computed Duty Cycle	
		Min. Repetition Rate (sec)	Max. No. of Frames	Total Transmission Length (sec)	Max. Frame Length (ms)	Min. Frame Period (s)	Frame Encoding	(%)	Duty (dB)
R1	POLLING	1.00	2	1.00	33.5	N/A	When polling, the EUT transmits one 33.5 ms ASK modulated frame from each of 2 antennas, 120 ms apart every 1000 ms.	33.500	-9.5
R2	CONNECTED	0.38	3	0.38	25.0	N/A	When connected, the EUT transmits one 25 ms ASK modulated from each of 3 antennas, 120 ms apart, every 380 ms.	25.000	-12.0
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9
	(ROW)	(COLUMN)	NOTE:						

## POLLING



## COINNCTED








Figure 4.2.1 Example Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).

#### 4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available frame length and minimum frame spacing. Radiated emissions are recorded following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1. The 20 dB EBW is measured as the max-held peak-detected signal when the IF bandwidth is greater than or equal to 1% of the receiver span. For complex modulations other than ASK and FSK, the 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures has a different result, and is also separately reported. The results of EBW testing are summarized in Table 4.2.2. Plots showing measurements employed to obtain the emission bandwidth reported are provided in Figure 4.2.2.

Table 4.2.2 Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	13-Sep-24
9 kHz $\leq$ f $\leq$ 150 kHz	Pk	> 1% Span	$\geq 3 * \text{IFBW}$	Test Engineer:	John Nantz
150 kHz $\leq$ f $\leq$ 30 MHz	Pk	> 1% Span	$\geq 3 * \text{IFBW}$	EUT Mode:	See Below
				Meas. Distance:	0.6 m
				EUT Tested:	SEER ECU

R0	Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Temp (C)	Supply (VDC)	20 dB EBW (kHz)	99% EBW (kHz)	110 kHz Restricted Band (dBc)	
R1	POLLING	0.125	21	13.5	5.697	5.847	47.12	
R2	CONNECTED	0.125	21	13.5	5.697	6.397	47.15	
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:




Figure 4.2.2 Example Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

#### 4.2.3 Fundamental Emission

Following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1, field emissions measurements are made on the EUT for both Horizontal and Vertically polarized coupling fields. The EUT's loop antenna(s) are measured along all three axes, including when the EUT loop axes are aligned in the same axis as the test loop and aligned coplanar (in the same plane) with the test loop antenna. Table 4.2.3 details the results of these measurements.

Table 4.2.3 Fundamental Radiated Emissions.

Frequency Range		Det	IF Bandwidth		Video Bandwidth		Test Date:		13-Sep-24	
9 kHz $\leq f \leq$ 150 kHz		Pk/QPk	200 Hz		300 Hz		Test Engineer:		John Nantz	
150 kHz $\leq f \leq$ 30 MHz		Pk/QPk	9 kHz		30 kHz		EUT Mode:		CM	
25 MHz $\leq f \leq$ 1 000 MHz		Pk/QPk	120 kHz		300 kHz		Meas. Distance:		3 meters	
f > 1 000 MHz		Pk	1 MHz		3MHz		EUT Tested:		SEER ECU	
f > 1 000 MHz		Avg	1 MHz		3MHz					

Fundamental Emissions Measurements																			
R0	Mode	EUT	Freq.	Ant. Used	Ant. Height	Table Azim	Ka	Kg	CF		E-field @ 3m		E-field @ 300m		H-field @ 300m (ISED)			Pass By (dB)	
									QN	m	deg	dB/m	dB	3m / 300m	dB	Pk	Qpk	Limit Qpk	
R1	LF ANTENNA (X-14116-002)	Coaxial	125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	80.0		113.2	103.7	33.2	23.7	25.7	-18.3	-27.8	-25.9	<b>2.0</b>
R2		Coplanar - Vert.	125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	80.0		109.2	99.7	29.2	19.7	25.7	-22.3	-31.8	-25.9	6.0
R3		Coplanar - Hor.	125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	80.0		108.2	98.7	28.2	18.7	25.7	-23.3	-32.8	-25.9	7.0
R4	PTS ANTENNA (X-14116-003)	Coaxial	125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	80.0		94.3	84.8	14.3	4.8	25.7	-37.2	-46.7	-25.9	20.9
R5		Coplanar - Vert.	125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	80.0		88.7	79.2	8.7	-.8	25.7	-42.8	-52.3	-25.9	26.5
R6		Coplanar - Hor.	125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	0	10.1	0.0	80.0		78.4	68.9	-1.6	-11.1	25.7	-53.1	-62.6	-25.9	36.8
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9		C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	C18

R7	Mode	Test Antenna	Freq.	DC Supply		E-field					
R8	R9	Polarization	kHz	Voltage		dBuV/m					
	LF ANTENNA (X-14116-002)	Coaxial	125.0	16.0		113.2					
			125.0	13.5		113.2					
			125.0	9.0		113.2					
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7				

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:

R0/R7 C1 EUT is tested in CM.

R0 C5 Emissions were evaluated at 1m test antenna height.

R0 C9 Correction factor of 40dB/decade is applied in alignment with FCC Part 15.31 (f)(2) therefore EUT field decay rate is not measured over a range of distances to determine CF.

R0 C15 H-field is computed by subtracting dBQ in freespace from E-Field measurements =  $20 * \log(120\pi) = 51.5dB$

## 4.3 Unintentional Emissions

### 4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 4.3.1. Following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1, field emissions measurements are made on the EUT for both Horizontal and Vertically polarized coupling fields. The EUT's loop antenna(s) are measured when the EUT loop axes placed in all three axes, including when they are aligned along the same axis as the test loop antenna and are aligned coplanar with the test loop antenna. For all arrangements, test loop is rotated for maximum field. The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 4.3.1. Measurements are performed to 10 times the highest fundamental operating frequency.

Table 4.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

Frequency Range		Det	IF Bandwidth					Video Bandwidth					Test Date:	13-Sep-24
9 kHz $\leq$ f $\leq$ 150 kHz	Pk/QPk		200 Hz					300 Hz					Test Engineer:	John Nantz
150 kHz $\leq$ f $\leq$ 30 MHz	Pk/QPk		9 kHz					30 kHz					EUT Mode:	CM
25 MHz $\leq$ f $\leq$ 1 000 MHz	Pk/QPk		120 kHz					300 kHz					Meas. Distance:	3 meters
f > 1 000 MHz	Pk/Avg		1 MHz					3MHz					EUT Tested:	SEER ECU

Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions															
R0	EUT	Freq.	Ant.	Ant. Height	Table	Ka	Kg	Cf	E-field @ 3m	E-field @ 30/300m	H-field @ 30/300m (ISED)				
	Mode	Orientation	kHz	Used	deg	dB/m	dB	(3 to 30/300m)	Pk	Qpk	Pk	Qpk	Limit Qpk	Pass By	Comments
LF (X-14116-002)	ANTENNA (X-14116-002)	Max All, Worst	250.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	10.0	0.0	80.0	80.2	0.2	19.6	-51.3	-31.9	19.4
		Max All, Worst	375.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	10.0	0.0	80.0	65.2	-14.8	16.1	-66.3	-35.4	30.9
		Max All, Worst	500.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	10.2	0.0	40.0	41.3	1.3	33.6	-50.2	-17.9	32.3
		Max All, Worst	625.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	10.2	0.0	40.0	39.1	-0.9	31.7	-52.4	-19.8	32.6
		Max All, Worst	750.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	10.1	0.0	40.0	39.1	-0.9	30.1	-52.4	-21.4	31.0
		Max All, Worst	875.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	10.3	0.0	40.0	56.2	16.2	28.8	-35.3	-22.8	<b>12.6</b> background
		Max All, Worst	1000.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	11.5	0.0	40.0	48.9	8.9	27.6	-42.6	-23.9	18.7
		Max All, Worst	1125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	11.3	0.0	40.0	46.7	6.7	26.6	-44.8	-24.9	19.9
		Max All, Worst	1250.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	330	12.3	0.0	40.0	42.0	2.0	25.7	-49.5	-25.9	23.7
R10															
R11	PTS ANTENNA (X-14116-003)	Max All, Worst	250.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	10.0	0.0	80.0	75.1	-4.9	19.6	-56.4	-31.9	24.5
R12		Max All, Worst	375.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	10.0	0.0	80.0	60.7	-19.3	16.1	-70.8	-35.4	35.4
R13		Max All, Worst	500.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	10.2	0.0	40.0	60.3	20.3	33.6	-31.2	-17.9	13.3
R14		Max All, Worst	625.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	10.2	0.0	40.0	55.8	15.8	31.7	-35.7	-19.8	15.9
R15		Max All, Worst	750.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	10.1	0.0	40.0	46.6	6.6	30.1	-44.9	-21.4	23.5
R16		Max All, Worst	875.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	10.3	0.0	40.0	56.2	16.2	28.8	-35.3	-22.8	<b>12.6</b> background
R17		Max All, Worst	1000.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	11.5	0.0	40.0	37.0	-3.0	27.6	-54.5	-23.9	30.6
R18		Max All, Worst	1125.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	11.3	0.0	40.0	36.0	-4.0	26.6	-55.5	-24.9	30.6
R19		Max All, Worst	1250.0	EMCOLOOP1	1.0	240	12.3	0.0	40.0	36.0	-4.0	25.7	-55.5	-25.9	29.7
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15
	(ROW)	(COLUMN)	NOTE:												
RO	C1		EUT is tested in CM mode. Peak data was used to demonstrate compliance.												
RO	C5		Emissions were evaluated at 1m test antenna height from 9 kHz to 30 MHz. No significant spurious were observed past the 10th harmonic.												
RO	C9		Correction factor of 40dB/decade is applied in alignment with FCC Part 15.31 (f)(2) therefore EUT field decay rate was not measured over a range of distances to determine CF.												
RO	C15		H-field is computed by subtracting dBQ in freespace from E-Field measurements = $20 \log(120r) = 51.54B$												

## 5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

The maximum values of measurement uncertainty for the laboratory test equipment and facilities associated with each test are given in the table below. This uncertainty is computed for a 95.45% confidence level based on a coverage factor of  $k = 2$ .

Table 5.0.0 Measurement Uncertainty.

Measured Parameter	Measurement Uncertainty <sup>†</sup>
Radio Frequency	$\pm(f_{Mkr}/10^7 + RBW/10 + (SPN/(PTS - 1))/2 + 1 \text{ Hz})$
Conducted Emm. Amplitude	$\pm 1.9 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ( $f < 30 \text{ MHz}$ )	$\pm 3.1 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ( $30 - 200 \text{ MHz}$ )	$\pm 4.0 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ( $200 - 1000 \text{ MHz}$ )	$\pm 5.2 \text{ dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude ( $f > 1000 \text{ MHz}$ )	$\pm 3.7 \text{ dB}$

<sup>†</sup>Ref: CISPR 16-4-2:2011+A1:2014



Figure 5.0.0 Accreditation Documents