






Z (m m)	0.00	2.00	4.00	6.00	8.00	10.0 0	12.0 0	14.0 0	16.0 0	18.0 0	20.0	22.0
SA R (W/ Kg)	0.81 03	0.48 15	0.23 13	0.13 35	0.09 00	0.05 92	0.05 50	0.04 02	0.03 96	0.04 17	0.04 53	0.03 88
		0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.0	1	4 6	8 1	0 12 Z (m	14 16 mm)	18 20	1 22 2	4 26		









# **MEASUREMENT 3**


Date of measurement: 7/5/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

7 11 Experimental conditioner				
<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm			
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm			
Phantom	Validation plane			
<u>Device Position</u>	<u>Body</u>			
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11b ISM</u>			
Channels Middle				
Signal	IEEE802.11b (Crest factor: 1.0)			

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.332344
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	12.986813
Conductivity (S/m)	1.758270
Variation (%)	-3.170000






Maximum location: X=-2.00, Y=-1.00 SAR Peak: 0.31 W/kg


SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.074022		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.160530		















## 12. Appendix D. Calibration Certificate

Table of contents
E Field Probe - SN 08/16 EPGO287
2450 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 2G450-352
5000-6000 MHz Dipole - SN 13/14 WGA 33
Extended Calibration Certificate









### **COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

Report No.: S22050701701001

## SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

SERIAL NO.: SN 08/16 EPGO287

#### Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise - 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 02/01/2022



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).









#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	2/1/2022	JE
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	2/1/2022	JES
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	2/1/2022	Gann Toutain
				/

2022.02.0 1 10:07:13 +01'00'

	Customer Name
	SHENZHEN NTEK
Distribution:	TESTING
Distribution:	TECHNOLOGY
	CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications	
A	Jérôme Luc	2/1/2022	Initial release	







Page 45 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Devi	ce Under Test4	
2	Prod	uct Description4	
	2.1	General Information	
3	Mea	surement Method4	
	3.1	Linearity	
	3.2	Sensitivity	
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
	3.4	Isotropy	
	3.1	Boundary Effect	
4	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
5	Calil	oration Measurement Results	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	(
	5.2	Linearity	
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	
	5.4	Isotropy	9
6	List	of Equipment10	



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test			
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE		
Manufacturer	MVG		
Model	SSE2		
Serial Number	SN 08/16 EPGO287		
Product Condition (new / used)	Used		
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz		
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.211 MΩ		
	Dipole 2: R2=0.199 MΩ		
	Dipole 3: R3=0.199 MΩ		

#### 2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

#### 3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

#### 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10





Page 47 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

#### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

#### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-180^{\circ})$  in  $15^{\circ}$  increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-360^{\circ})$ .

#### 3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and  $d_{\rm be}$  +  $d_{\rm step}$  along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$\mathrm{SAR}_{\mathrm{uncertainty}} [\%] = \delta \mathrm{SAR}_{\mathrm{be}} \, \frac{\left(d_{\mathrm{be}} + d_{\mathrm{step}}\right)^2}{2 d_{\mathrm{step}}} \frac{\left(e^{-d_{\mathrm{be}} \left(\delta \beta \right)}\right)}{\delta / 2} \quad \mathrm{for} \, \left(d_{\mathrm{be}} + d_{\mathrm{step}}\right) < 10 \; \mathrm{mm}$$

where

SAR<sub>uncertainty</sub> is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect

dbe is the distance between the surface and the closest zoom-scan measurement

point, in millimetre

 $\Delta_{ ext{step}}$  is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that

are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect

at the second location is negligible

 $\delta$  is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent

liquids defined in this standard, i.e.,  $\delta \approx 14$  mm at 3 GHz;

\( \Delta SAR\_{be} \) in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the

distance  $d_{be}$  from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.







Page 48 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

The measured worst case boundary effect SARuncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

#### 4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide							
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)		
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %		

#### 5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Humidity	30-70 %	

#### 5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

	Normy dipole $2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	
0.72	0.66	0.77

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
107	110	110

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$

Page: 6/10







Page 49 of 76


Report No.: S22050701701001



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A






Dipole 1
Dipole 2
Dipole 3

#### 5.2 LINEARITY

### Linearity



Linearity:+/-1.90% (+/-0.08dB)







Page 50 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### 5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

<u>Frequency</u> (MHz +/- 100MHz)	<u>ConvF</u>
750	1.49
835	1.50
900	1.61
1800	1.73
1900	1.91
2000	1.97
2300	1.92
2450	1.98
2600	1.87
3300	1.79
3500	1.85
3700	1.79
3900	2.07
4200	2.21
4600	2.25
4900	2.05
5200	1.80
5400	2.05
5600	2.16
5800	2.07
	(MHz +/- 100MHz) 750 835 900 1800 1900 2000 2300 2450 2600 3300 3500 3700 3900 4200 4600 4900 5200 5400

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg







Page 51 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001




#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### 5.4 **ISOTROPY**

#### **HL1800 MHz**









Page 52 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Valldated. No cal required.	Valldated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023









### **SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

## SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

> FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 03/15 DIP2G450-352

#### Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise - 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 03/01/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed at MVG, using the COMOSAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).







Page 54 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

5	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JES
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JES
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	3/1/2021	Gann Toutain
	-		200	Made Carrier 2021.03.0

13:13:40 +01'00'

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN NTEK
	TESTING
	TECHNOLOGY
	CO., LTD.

Name	Date	Modifications	
Jérôme LE GALL	3/1/2021	Initial release	







Page 55 of 76

Report No.: S22050701701001



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intr	oduction4	
2	Dev	rice Under Test	
3	Pro	duct Description	
	3.1	General Information	
4	Me	asurement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	
5	Me	asurement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	
6	Cal	ibration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	(
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	(
7	Val	idation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	
	7.3	Measurement Result	
8	List	of Equipment	